

Ministério da Saúde Instituto Nacional de Câncer Coordenação de Ensino Programa de Residência Médica em Transplante de Medula Óssea

IRTIS DE OLIVEIRA FERNANDES JUNIOR

TRANSPLANTE DE CÉLULAS HEMATOPOIÉTICAS MIELOABLATIVO OU DE INTENSIDADE REDUZIDA/NÃO MIELOABLATIVO PARA LEUCEMIA LINFOBLÁSTICA AGUDA PHILADELPHIA POSITIVO EM ADULTOS COM MAIS DE 40 ANOS DE IDADE: UMA ANÁLISE SECUNDÁRIA DE UM BANCO DE DADOS CIBMTR

> Rio de Janeiro 2023

IRTIS DE OLIVEIRA FERNANDES JUNIOR

TRANSPLANTE DE CÉLULAS HEMATOPOIÉTICAS MIELOABLATIVO OU DE INTENSIDADE REDUZIDA/NÃO MIELOABLATIVO PARA LEUCEMIA LINFOBLÁSTICA AGUDA PHILADELPHIA POSITIVO EM ADULTOS COM MAIS DE 40 ANOS DE IDADE: UMA ANÁLISE SECUNDÁRIA DE UM BANCO DE DADOS CIBMTR

Trabalho de Conclusão de Curso apresentado ao Instituto Nacional de Câncer como requisito parcial para a conclusão do Programa de Residência Médica em Transplante de Medula Óssea

Orientadora: Prof^a Dra. Marta Colares Nogueira

CATALOGAÇÃO NA FONTE INCA/COENS/SEITEC/NSIB Kátia Simões CRB7/5952

F363t Fernandes Junior, Irtes de Oliveira.

Transplante de células hematopoiéticas mieloablativo ou de intensidade reduzida/não mieloablativo para Leucemia Linfoblástica Aguda Philadelphia positivo em adultos de mais de 40 anos de idade: uma análise secundária de um banco de dados CIBMTR / Irtes de Oliveira Fernandes Junior – Rio de Janeiro, 2023.

11 f.: il.

Trabalho de Conclusão de Curso (Programa de Residência Médica em Transplante de Medula Óssea) - Instituto Nacional de Câncer, Rio de Janeiro, 2023.

Orientadora: Prof^a. Dr^a. Marta Colares Nogueira.

1. Leucemia-Linfoma Linfoblástico de Células Precursoras. 2. Cromossomo Philadelphia. 3. Transplante de Células-Tronco Hematopoéticas. 4. Condicionamento Pré-Transplante I. Nogueira, Marta Colares (Orient.). II. Instituto Nacional de Câncer. III. Título.

CDD 616.994 41

IRTIS DE OLIVEIRA FERNANDES JUNIOR

Transplante de células hematopoiéticas mieloablativo ou de intensidade reduzida/não mieloablativo para leucemia linfoblástica aguda Philadelphia positivo em adultos com mais de 40 anos de idade: uma análise secundária de um banco de dados CIBMTR

Trabalho de Conclusão de Curso apresentado ao Instituto Nacional de Câncer como requisito parcial para a conclusão do Programa de Residência Médica em Transplante de Medula Óssea.

Aprovado em: 12/12/2023

Banca examinadora:

Prof^a Dra. Marta Colares Nogueira Orientadora/Coordenadora

> Rio de Janeiro 2023

RESUMO

FERNANDES JR., Irtis de Oliveira. **Transplante de células hematopoiéticas mieloablativo ou de intensidade reduzida/não mieloablativo para leucemia linfoblástica aguda Philadelphia positivo em adultos com mais de 40 anos de idade:** uma análise secundária de um banco de dados CIBMTR. Trabalho de Conclusão de Curso (Residência Médica em Transplante de Medula Óssea) — Instituto Nacional de Câncer (INCA), Rio de Janeiro, 2023.

Poucos estudos abordaram o papel dos regimes de condicionamento de intensidade reduzida (RIC) e não mieloablativos (NMA) em idosos com leucemia linfoblástica aguda Philadelphia positivo (Ph+ALL). O objetivo deste estudo atual foi comparar os resultados de regimes RIC/NMA versus regimes mieloablativos, baseados na Irradiação Corporal Total (TBI) com condicionamento mieloablativo (MAC) em pacientes Ph+ ALL com mais de 40 anos de idade submetidos a transplante de células hematopoiéticas em primeira remissão completa (CR1). Utilizamos um banco de dados disponível gratuitamente no CIBMTR. Os transplantes foram realizados entre 2013 e 2017. Com seguimento médio de 37,6 meses, incluímos 629 pacientes. Usamos a ponderação do escore de propensão. As taxas de sobrevida total em três anos foram de 64% no grupo TBI-MAC e 66% no grupo RIC/NMA. A sobrevida não foi diferente (HR = 0.92; p = 0.69). As incidências de recaída em três anos foram de 21.6% e 27.6% nos grupos TBI-MAC e RIC/NMA. RIC/NMA não foi associado a um aumento na taxa de recidiva (HR 1,02; p = 0,91). As taxas de mortalidade sem recidiva (NRM) em três anos foram de 24,3% no grupo TBI-MAC e 20,3% no grupo RIC/NMA. RIC/NMA não foi associado a NRM superior (HR 0,88; p=0.57). Em resumo, mostramos que os regimes RIC / NMA alcançam resultados comparáveis ao MAC baseado em TBI em pacientes idosos com LLA Ph+ em CR1 que podem tolerar um regime MAC baseado em TBI.

Palavras-chave: leucemia linfoblástica aguda; transplante de células hematopoiéticas, condicionamento pré-transplante reduzido; transplante de células hematopoiéticas, condicionamento pré-transplante mieloablativo; CIBMTR.

ABSTRACT

FERNANDES JUNIOR, Irtis de Oliveira. **Myeloablative or reduced-intensity/ non-myeloablative hematopoietic cell transplantation for Philadelphia-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia in adults older than 40 years old — a secondary analysis of a CIBMTR database.** Final paper (Medical Residency in Bone Marrow Transplantation) — Brazilian National Cancer Institute (INCA), Rio de Janeiro, 2023.

Few studies have addressed the role of reduced-intensity conditioning (RIC) and nonmyeloablative (NMA) regimens in older adults with Philadelphia acute lymphoblastic leukemia (Ph+ALL). The objective of this current study was to compare the outcomes of RIC/NMA versus TBI-based myeloablative (MAC) regimens in Ph+ALL patients older than 40 years old who underwent hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) in first complete remission (CR1). We used a freely available database from the CIBMTR. Transplants were performed between 2013 and 2017. With a median follow-up of 37.6 months, we have included 629 patients. We used propensity score weighting. Threeyear Overall Survival (OS) were 64% in the TBI-MAC group and 66% in the RIC/NMA group. OS was not different (HR = 0.92; p = 0.69). Three-year relapse incidences were 21.6% and 27.6% in the TBI-MAC and RIC/NMA groups. RIC/NMA was not associated with an increase in relapse rate (HR 1.02; p = 0.91). Three-year non relapse mortality (NRM) were 24.3% in the TBI-MAC group and 20.3% in the RIC/NMA group. RIC/NMA was not associated with superior NRM (HR 0.88; p=0.57). In summary, we have shown that RIC/NMA regimens achieve outcomes comparable to TBI-based MAC in Ph+ ALL older patients in CR1 who may tolerate a TBI-based MAC regimen.

Keywords: acute lymphoblastic leukemia; Philadelphia-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia; reduced-intensity conditioning regimen; myeloablative conditioning regimen; allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation; CIBMTR.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Myeloablative or reduced-intensity/non-myeloablative hematopoietic cell transplantation for Philadelphia-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia in adults older than 40 years old — a secondary analysis of a CIBMTR database

Irtis de Oliveira Fernandes Junior¹ · Leonardo Javier Arcuri^{1,2}

Received: 27 October 2022 / Accepted: 30 October 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2023

Abstract

Few studies have addressed the role of reduced-intensity conditioning (RIC) and non-myeloablative (NMA) regimens in older adults with Philadelphia acute lymphoblastic leukemia (Ph + ALL). The objective of this current study was to compare the outcomes of RIC/NMA versus TBI-based myeloablative (MAC) regimens in Ph + ALL patients older than 40 years old who underwent hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) in CR1. We used a freely available database from the CIBMTR. Transplants were performed between 2013 and 2017. With a median follow-up of 37.6 months, we have included 629 patients. We used propensity score weighting. Three-year OSs were 64% in the TBI-MAC group and 66% in the RIC/NMA group. OS was not different (HR = 0.92; p = 0.69). Three-year relapse incidences were 21.6% and 27.6% in the TBI-MAC and RIC/NMA groups. RIC/NMA was not associated with an increase in relapse rate (HR 1.02; p = 0.91). Three-year NRMs were 24.3% in the TBI-MAC group and 20.3% in the RIC/NMA group. RIC/NMA was not associated with a RIC/NMA regimens achieve outcomes comparable to TBI-based MAC in Ph+ ALL older patients in CR1 who may tolerate a TBI-based MAC regimen.

Keywords Acute lymphoblastic leukemia \cdot Philadelphia-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia \cdot Reduced-intensity conditioning regimen \cdot Myeloablative conditioning regimen \cdot Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation \cdot CIBMTR

Introduction

Philadelphia, or BCR-ABL, acute lymphoblastic leukemia (Ph + ALL) used to carry a very poor prognosis until the release of the first tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI), imatinib [1]. Since then, the survival of Ph + ALL has dramatically increased, but adults with Ph + ALL are still often referred for hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) in first complete remission (CR1) [2].

Leonardo Javier Arcuri leonardojavier@gmail.com

> Irtis de Oliveira Fernandes Junior irtisjunior@gmail.com

Ph + ALL increases with age [3] which makes treatment even more challenging because those patients may not be suitable for HCT with a myeloablative conditioning (MAC) regimen. For those, reduced-intensity conditioning (RIC) and non-myeloablative conditioning (NMA) regimens are the available options, but so far, only a few studies have addressed the role of RIC and NMA regimens in older adults with Ph + ALL [4, 5].

The objective of this current study was to compare the outcomes of RIC/NMA versus TBI-based MAC (TBI-MAC) regimens in Ph + ALL patients older than 40 years old who underwent HCT in CR1.

Methods

We used the CIBMTR database used by Wieduwilt et al. [6]. The CIBMTR (Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research) is a research collaboration between

¹ Instituto Nacional de Cancer, Bone Marrow Transplantation Department, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

² Hospital Israelita Albert Einstein, Academic Research Organization, Guaramomis 480/64, São Paulo 04076-010, Brazil

the National Marrow Donor Program/Be The Match and the Medical College of Wisconsin. It comprises a voluntary working group of > 330 participating centers worldwide that contribute detailed data on cellular therapies.

In brief, from that database, we selected Ph + ALL patients, older than 40 years old, which underwent haploidentical, matched-sibling, or unrelated donor HCT in CR1 and received either a TBI-based MAC regimen, or a RIC or an NMA regimen, and we compared the results of TBI-based MAC with RIC/NMA regimens. Transplants were performed between 2013 and 2017. The CIBMTR definition of RIC/NMA included regimens containing melphalan ≤ 150 mg/m², busulfan ≤ 7.2 mg/kg IV, TBI ≤ 5 Gy (single dose), or ≤ 8 Gy (fractionated). Detailed definition of regimen intensity can be found in CIBMTR manual (https://www.manula.com/manuals/cibmtr/fim/1/en/

topic/q155-315-pre-hct-preparative-regimen-conditioni ng). MRD testing methods were as reported from CIB-MTR sites. MRD testing methods included flow cytometry, molecular methods, cytogenetics, or missing, with some patients being evaluated with more than 1 method. We analyzed overall survival (OS), relapse-free survival (RFS), relapse incidence (RI), and non-relapse mortality (NRM). Univariable analyses were performed comparing survival or cumulative incidence curves, as appropriate, with the log rank or Gray tests. Propensity score (PS) weighting was used with Cox models. Inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPTW) was used to estimate the average treatment effect. Variables included in the PS estimation are those included in Table 1, except for sex. TBI-MAC is the reference category throughout the manuscript. All analyses were performed with R, version 4.2.1.

	MAC-TBI	RIC/NMA	Total	p value
Total	351	278	629	
Age				< 0.001
Median (IQR)	48.5 (44.8,54.1)	60.5 (53.8,65.2)	53.3 (46.5,61)	
Sex				0.417
Male	202 (57.5)	151 (54.3)	353 (56.1)	
Female	149 (42.5)	127 (45.7)	276 (43.9)	
KPS				0.395
<90%	149 (42.5)	132 (47.5)	281 (44.7)	
90-100%	200 (57)	144 (51.8)	344 (54.7)	
Missing	2 (0.6)	2 (0.7)	4 (0.6)	
MRD				0.635
MRD –	233 (66.4)	182 (65.5)	415 (66)	
MRD+	115 (32.8)	91 (32.7)	206 (32.8)	
Unknown	3 (0.9)	5 (1.8)	8 (1.3)	
Donor				< 0.001
MSD	167 (47.6)	87 (31.3)	254 (40.4)	
Haplo	15 (4.3)	52 (18.7)	67 (10.7)	
MUD 8/8	150 (42.7)	125 (45)	275 (43.7)	
MMUD 7/8	19 (5.4)	14 (5)	33 (5.2)	
Sex match				0.541
F -> M	62 (17.7)	44 (15.8)	106 (16.9)	
Others	289 (82.3)	234 (84.2)	523 (83.1)	
Graft				0.093
BM	45 (12.8)	49 (17.6)	94 (14.9)	
PBSC	306 (87.2)	229 (82.4)	535 (85.1)	
Time to HCT				0.006
0-5 months	250 (71.2)	166 (59.7)	416 (66.1)	
6–11 months	91 (25.9)	96 (34.5)	187 (29.7)	
12 + months	10 (2.8)	16 (5.8)	26 (4.1)	

IQR interquartile range, KPS Karnofsky performance status, MSD matched-sibling donor, Haplo haploidentical, MUD matched unrelated donor, MMUD mismatched unrelated donor, F->M female donor and male recipient, BM bone marrow, PBSC peripheral blood stem cells, HCT hematopoietic cell transplantation

Table 1 Patients characteristics

Results

With a median follow-up of 37.6 months, we have included 629 patients, 351 and 278 who received TBI-MAC and RIC/NMA regimens, respectively. Patients' characteristics (Table 1) were different for the type of donor — 47.6% matched-sibling donor (MSD) in the TBI-MAC arm, against 31.3% in the RIC/NMA arm — and for time to transplant (71.2% up to 6 months in the TBI-MAC arm and 59.7% in the RIC/NMA arm). Age was higher in the RIC/NMA group (60.5 versus 48.5 in the TBI-MAC and RIC/NMA groups, respectively, p < 0.001).

Three-year OSs were 64% (95CI 59–70%) in the TBI-MAC group and 66% (95CI 60–72%, Fig. 1A) in the RIC/NMA group. OS was not different (HR = 0.92; 95CI 0.63-1.37; p = 0.69). Three-year RFSs were 54% (49–60%) and 52% (46–59%, Fig. 1B) in the TBI-MAC and RIC/NMA groups. RFS was not different either (HR = 0.96; 95CI 0.67-1.36; 0.81).

Three-year relapse incidences were 21.6% (95CI 27.6–26.6%) and 27.6% (95CI 22.6–33.7%, Fig. 1C) in the TBI-MAC and RIC/NMA groups, respectively. In the Cox model with PS weighting, RI was not different (HR 1.02; 95CI 1.59–1.80; p=0.91). Three-year NRMs were 24.3% (95CI 20.1–29.5%) in the TBI-MAC group and 20.3% (95CI 16.0–25.8%, Fig. 1D) in the RIC/NMA group. RIC/NMA was not associated with better NRM (HR 0.88; 95CI 0.56–1.38; p=0.57).



Fig. 1 Overall survival, relapse-free survival, relapse, and non-relapse mortality. A Overall survival, B relapse-free survival, C relapse, D non-relapse mortality. For relapse, death in remission was the competing event. For non-relapse mortality, relapse was the competing event

Our results show comparable results between TBI-MAC and RIC/NMA regimens in terms of OS (HR = 0.92; 95CI 0.63–1.37; p = 0.69), HR = 0.96; 95CI 0.67–1.36; 0.81), and NRM (HR 0.88; 95CI 0.56–1.38; p = 0.57). Relapse was not higher with RIC/NMA regimens (HR 1.02; 95CI 1.59–1.80; p = 0.91).

Relapse in the RIC/NMA regimens (27.6%) was numerically lower compared with a previous CIBMTR analysis [8], which showed 49% relapse incidence, which included patients from 2000 to 2009 (in our study, transplants were performed between 2013 and 2017). This may reflect differential posttransplant TKI maintenance strategies [9], but this is speculative.

High 3-year OS rates were achieved with both TBI-MAC and RIC/NMA regimens. This might reflect the fact that posttransplant relapse prognosis has been increasing in the latest years, mainly due to more effective posttransplant salvage with newer generation TKI [10]. Akahoshi et al. [4], in a study that included 226 patients, did not find any difference between MAC and RIC regimens in any outcome in Ph + ALL patients older than 50 years old who were MRD negative at transplant, although the HR for relapse was numerically high (1.97) with a wide confidence interval. In Akahoshi's study, almost 80% of the RIC patients received a melphalan-based regimen whereas around 40% of the MAC patients received a chemotherapybased conditioning regimen. Yoon [5], in a study with 195 patients, also compared MAC with RIC in Ph+ALL in CR1 and found comparable outcomes. Their RIC regimen was uniformly based on melphalan 140 mg/m², and the MAC regimen was TBI-based. In our study, RIC regimens might have been heterogeneous (this data was not available in the database) while all MAC regimens were TBI-based.

We have not found any advantage of RIC/NMA regimens in terms of NRM. One hypothesis is that frailer patients may have been selected for RIC/NMA regimens, which is supported by the older age in the RIC/ NMA group. However, performance status was not different between the groups, and propensity score weighting should have removed this confounding. Improvement in supportive care may also have played a role.

Our study has several limitations. As already pointed out, RIC regimen specification was not available. However, the main finding — that older patients with Ph + ALL achieve good OS with NMA or RIC regimens — remains. Although MRD data was available for the great majority of the patients, MRD positivity was not prognostic for relapse (HR = 0.78, p = 0.16, in univariate analysis), in contrast to recently published data [11]. The pattern of posttransplant TKI use and/or immunosuppressive management may have been different. Another hypothesis is that MRD simply is not prognostic when some kind of posttransplant maintenance with a TKI is planned. The main strength of our paper is the high number of patients (as far as we are concerned, this is the study in older adults with Ph + ALL with the largest number of patients) and, consequently, the narrow confidence intervals.

In summary, we have shown that both TBI-based MAC HCT and RIC/NMA achieve excellent outcomes in patients with Ph + ALL in CR1. Randomized trials in this older population are warranted.

Acknowledgements We used the freely available CIBMTR database.

Author contribution IOFJ and LJA designed the study and wrote the manuscript. LJA did all analyses.

Data availability The database is already available in the CIBMTR website.

Declarations

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- Lee HJ, Thompson JE, Wang ES, Wetzler M (2011) Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia: current treatment and future perspectives. Cancer 117:1583–1594
- DeFilipp Z et al (2019) Hematopoietic cell transplantation in the treatment of adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia: updated 2019 Evidence-Based Review from the American Society for Transplantation and Cellular Therapy. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 25:2113–2123
- Ravandi F, Kebriaei P (2009) Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Hematol Oncol Clin North Am 23:1043–1063
- 4. Akahoshi Y et al (2020) Reduced-intensity conditioning is a reasonable alternative for Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia among elderly patients who have achieved negative minimal residual disease: a report from the Adult Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia Working Group of the JSHCT. Bone Marrow Transplant 55:1317–1325
- Yoon J et al (2019) Minimal residual disease–based long-term efficacy of reduced-intensity conditioning versus myeloablative conditioning for adult Philadelphia-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Cancer 125:873–883
- Wieduwilt MJ et al (2022) Haploidentical vs sibling, unrelated, or cord blood hematopoietic cell transplantation for acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Blood Adv 6:339–357
- Ali MS et al (2019) Propensity score methods in health technology assessment: principles, extended applications, and recent advances. Front Pharmacol 10:973
- Bachanova V et al (2014) Ph+ ALL patients in first complete remission have similar survival after reduced intensity and myeloablative allogeneic transplantation: impact of tyrosine kinase inhibitor and minimal residual disease. Leukemia 28:658–665
- Giebel S et al (2016) Use of tyrosine kinase inhibitors to prevent relapse after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for patients with Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute

lymphoblastic leukemia: a position statement of the Acute Leukemia Working Party of the: TKIs After AlloHSCT in Ph+ ALL. Cancer 122:2941–2951

- Bazarbachi A et al (2022) 20-year steady increase in survival of adult patients with relapsed philadelphia-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia post allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. Clin Cancer Res 28:1004–1012
- Nishiwaki S et al (2021) Measurable residual disease affects allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation in Ph+ ALL during both CR1 and CR2. Blood Adv 5:584–592

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

The authors state that the material is original, has not been published before nor is under consideration for publication in any other journal.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.