

Alex Oliveira da Camara<sup>a</sup>; Nathália Silva de Paula<sup>b</sup>; Amine Farias Costa<sup>c</sup>; Fernando Trevisan Frajacom<sup>d</sup>; Cláudia Bessa Pereira Chaves<sup>e</sup>; Gabriela Villaça Chaves<sup>f</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Masters student in Oncology, Nutrition Department of Brazilian National Cancer Institute – INCA, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. <sup>b</sup>Dietitian, Nutrition Department of Brazilian National Cancer Institute – INCA, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. <sup>c</sup>Dietitian, PhD, Nutrition Department of Brazilian National Cancer Institute – INCA, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. <sup>d</sup>Physiotherapist, PhD, Visiting Researcher of Molecular Carcinogenesis Program (INCA), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. <sup>e</sup>Oncologic Surgeon, PhD, Assistant Researcher in Oncology Gynecology, Post-graduate Program in Oncology, Brazilian National Cancer Institute – INCA, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. <sup>f</sup>Permanent Professor, PhD, Post-graduate Program in Oncology, Brazilian National Cancer Institute – INCA, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

## BACKGROUND

Endometrial cancer (EC) is one of the most frequent malignant neoplasms among women in Brazil and in the world<sup>(1,2)</sup>. Obesity has been strongly associated with EC risk<sup>(1)</sup>. Although the incidence of EC is remarkable, insufficient data has addressed the impact of obesity on patients outcomes<sup>(3)</sup>. Since roughly 70% of women diagnosed with endometrioid endometrial cancer (EEC) are obese, the consequences of obesity-related diseases should be taken into account in order to implement strategies to improve survival in this population. Sedentary lifestyle and physical inactivity also seem to be relevant, and have been identified as predictors of poor prognosis in patients with different types of cancer<sup>(3,4)</sup>.

## AIMS

To evaluate the nutritional status, functional capacity and quality of life of patients with EEC undergoing oncological surgery.

## METHODOLOGY

The present study is part of a major research project that aim to implement and evaluate the effect of a counseling program to promote healthy eating and physical activity in EEC outcomes. Patients between 20 and 69 years, referred to the Cancer Hospital II/INCA between November 2016 and May 2017 were enrolled (n=47). Clinical (comorbidities), anthropometric (weight, height and waist and hip circumferences), biochemical (fasting glucose, fasting insulin, cholesterol, HDL, LDL, triglycerides and C-reactive protein), quality of life (EORTC QLQ-C30 score and global health status) and functional capacity (Handgrip strength, 30-s chair stand test, Up and go, 6-min walk distance) data were collected. Data was recorded using the OpenClinica Enterprise program (version 3.4 2014). This project was approved by INCA's Ethics and Research Committee, under protocol Nº. 1,563,774, on May 29, 2016.

## RESULTS

**Table 1.** Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of endometrial cancer patients of National Cancer Institute of Brazil.

Characteristic	n(%)
<b>Age category, y</b>	
<60 years	24 (51.1)
≥60 years	23 (48.9)
<b>Ethnic group</b>	
Caucasian	29 (61.7)
Mixed	15 (31.9)
Black	2 (4.3)
<b>Smoking</b>	
Yes	22 (46.8)
No	25 (53.2)
<b>Comorbidity</b>	
Yes	28 (59.6)
No	19 (40.4)
<b>Comorbidity type</b>	
Hypertension	21 (44.7)
Diabetes	8 (17.0)
Dyslipidemias	6 (12.8)
Others	9 (19.1)

**Table 2.** Factors associated with cachexia in patients with advanced cancer treated at a Palliative Care Unit in the city of Rio de Janeiro- Brazil (n=525).

Characteristic	Adults (n=24)	Elderly (n=23)
<b>BMI (OMS,2000)(5) (kg/m<sup>2</sup>)</b>	<b>32.9 (±6.4)*</b>	-
Normal weight	4 (16.7)	-
Overweight	5 (20.8)	-
Obese class I	6 (25.0)	-
Obese class II	5 (20.8)	-
Obese class III	4 (16.7)	-
<b>BMI (OPAS,2002)(6) (kg/m<sup>2</sup>)</b>	-	<b>30.5 (±5.9)*</b>
Underweight	-	2 (8.7)
Normal weight	-	7 (30.4)
Overweight	-	3 (13.0)
Obese	-	11 (47.8)
<b>Waist circumference (cm)</b>	<b>97.6 (±14.1)*</b>	<b>94.6 (±15.9)*</b>
Least risk	3 (12.5)	3 (13.0)
High risk	2 (8.3)	6 (26.1)
Very high risk	18 (75.0)	13 (56.5)
<b>Handgrip strength (kg)</b>	<b>24.5 (±4.7)*</b>	<b>22.1 (±5.2)*</b>
Quartile 1	20.6	18.0
Quartile 2	24.0	22.0
Quartile 3	29.3	25.0
<b>30-s chair stand test (repeat)</b>	<b>11.1 (±2.9)*</b>	<b>11.2 (±2.8)*</b>
Quartile 1	9.0	9.0
Quartile 2	11.0	11.0
Quartile 3	12.0	14.0
<b>Up and go (Seconds)</b>	<b>8.7 (±2.5)*</b>	<b>9.3 (±2.8)*</b>
Quartile 1	7.0	7.0
Quartile 2	8.0	8.5
Quartile 3	10.0	11.3
<b>6-min walk distance (m)</b>	<b>510.8 (±107.8)*</b>	<b>441.9 (±97.6)*</b>
Quartile 1	416.0	374.6
Quartile 2	496.0	462.5
Quartile 3	593.0	537.2
<b>Global health status</b>	<b>66.6 (±25.9)*</b>	<b>73.9 (±22.5)*</b>
<b>QLQ-C30 score</b>	<b>79.7 (±17.3)*</b>	<b>80.1 (±14.9)*</b>
<b>Biochemical tests **</b>		
Fasting glucose (mg/dL)	103.0 (78 - 153)	98.0 (85 - 145)
Fasting insulin (uU/mL)	17.7 (5 - 72)	13.18 (4 - 73)
Cholesterol (mg/dL)	209.0 (95 - 385)	216.5 (92 - 262)
HDL (mg/dL)	46.0 (22 - 91)	48.0 (21 - 92)
LDL (mg/dL)	132.0 (11 - 292)	135.0 (28 - 180)
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	109.5 (69 - 344)	119.0 (53 - 333)
C-reactive protein (mg/dL)	0.4 (0.1 - 3.0)	0.3 (0.1 - 2.4)

BMI - Body Mass Index; QLQ-C30: Quality of Life Questionnaire-Core 30 \*Mean (standard deviation) ); \*\*Median (range). Reference values: Fasting glucose: 70-90mg/dL; Fasting insulin: 2,6-24,9 uU/mL; Cholesterol: <200mg/dL; HDL: >65mg/dL; LDL: <100mg/dL; Triglycerides: <200mg/dL; C-reactive protein: <0,5mg/dL.

## CONCLUSION

Preliminary data from the study showed that most patients are obese. The level of central adiposity was also high in this population, implying a very high risk for cardiovascular disease. Regarding functional capacity tests, both adult and elderly patients presented worse results compared to other studies<sup>(7,8,9)</sup>. In conclusion, an intervention directed toward the adoption of a healthy lifestyle may generate positive impacts on the health and quality of life of cancer survivors.

## REFERENCES

- International Agency for Research on Cancer. Globocan. 2012.
- INCA. Estimativa 2016: incidência de câncer no Brasil / Instituto Nacional de Câncer José Alencar Gomes da Silva. Rio de Janeiro; 2016.
- Arem H, Irwin M. Obesity and endometrial cancer survival: a systematic review. Int J Obes. 2013;37(5):634–9.
- Kuiper JG, Phipps AI, Neuhouser ML, Chlebowski RT, Thomson CA, Irwin ML, et al. Recreational physical activity, body mass index, and survival in women with colorectal cancer. Cancer causes & control : CCC [Internet]. 2012 Dec;23(12):1939–48. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23053793>
- Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Report of a WHO consultation. World Health Organization technical report series [Internet]. 2000;894:i–xii, 1–253. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11234459>
- OPAS. Anales da 36a Reunión del Comité Asesor de Investigaciones en salud. Encuesta multicéntrica: salud, bien estar y envejecimiento (SABE) en América Latina y el Caribe. In Washington (DC): Wold Health Organization; 2001.
- Schlüssel MM, dos Anjos LA, de Vasconcellos MTL, Kac G. Reference values of handgrip dynamometry of healthy adults: a population-based study. Clinical nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland) [Internet]. 2008 Aug;27(4):601–7. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18547686>