

Cristóvão Lanna<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Nicole Scherer<sup>1</sup>, Luís Felipe Ribeiro Pinto<sup>2</sup>, Mariana Boroni<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Laboratório de Bioinformática e Biologia Computacional – LBBC/INCA. <sup>2</sup>Programa de Carcinogênese Molecular – PCM/INCA. Instituto Nacional de Câncer – INCA - Rio de Janeiro - RJ – Brasil. \*Email: cristovaolanna@gmail.com





Figure 1 – Consensus molecular subtypes (CMS) characteristics and survival rates for each of them. Adapted from Guinney et al. (2015)<sup>1</sup>.

Β



Α





Α

Figure 4 – A: Distribution of differentially expressed genes among subtypes when comparing each CMS with the other three. B: heatmap containing all of the exclusively differentially expressed genes for CMS1, CMS2, CMS3, and CMS4.



Figure 5 – A, B, C, D: Co-expression modules of differentially expressed genes in CMS1 (A), CMS2 (B), CMS3 (C), and CMS4 (D). E: Protein-protein interaction network of the blue module in CMS1.

В

Table 1 – Number of drugs identified in each of the subtypes using DGIdb.





	CINICI	011132	01100	CINISA
Exclusive DEGs	326	417	196	147
Number of drugs identified	27	1266	306	216
Exclusive drugs identified	5	943	209	139



## **NEXT STEPS**

Mutation data is an important asset in the identification of viable subtype-specific treatment options. This information can help us identify treatment strategies not currently in use in colorectal cancer and lead us to the validation of new drugs currently in pre-clinical phase.

1 – GUINNEY, J. et al. The consensus molecular subtypes of colorectal cancer. Nature Medicine, v. 21, n. 11, p. 1350–1356, 2015.

## Projeto Gráfico: Área de Edição e Produção de Materiais Técnico-Científicos / INCA

