

Effect of 11a-N-Tosil-5-deoxiazapterocarpano (LQB-223) in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma cells

Rebeca S. Brum¹, Camilla D. Buarque³, Paulo R.R. Costa², Raquel Maia¹, Roberta Faccion¹ ¹Laboratorio de Hemato-Oncologia celular e molecular, INCA, RJ, Brasil. ²Laboratório de Química Bioorgânica, Núcleo de Pesquisa de Produtos Naturais, UFRJ, RJ, Brasil. ³Departamento de Química, Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro, PUC-Rio, RJ, Brasil. **Rebecabernardes.98@gmail.com**

ABSTRACT

RESULTS

Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) represents the most frequent type of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL) with aggressive clinical behavior (40% of cases) and that have the lower response rate to conventional treatment. Due to the heterogeneity of responses to treatment and low cure rate observed in patients, it is important to identify new therapeutic possibilities. Data from our group and our partners suggest that the 11a-N-Tosyl-5deoxiazapterocarpano compound (LQB-223) is a promising agent in the treatment of cancer. Other results also indicate that the compound has low toxicity to healthy cells. Furthermore, LQB-223 has no structural relation with the drugs used in the treatment of first or second line of DLBCL, suggesting that present mechanisms of action unrelated to these agents. Consequently, it has the potential to overcome the resistance to conventional treatment. Therefore, the objective of this study is to evaluate the potential effect of LQB-223 in two cell lines derived from DLBCL. The human cell lines derived from DLBCL SUDHL4 and Toledo were used in the study. The line cell SUDHL4 is characterized as GCB subtype and is susceptible to chemotherapy. The cell line Toledo is characterized as ABC subtype and has a profile of resistance to treatment. Both cell lines were treated with different concentrations of LQB-223 for different periods of time. Both lines were also treated with different concentrations of doxorubicin, vincristine, cisplatin or etoposide, commonly used in the clinical treatment. To evaluate cytotoxicity, the MTT assay was employed. The evaluation of cell cycle profile and cell death, the detection of drug efflux proteins ABCB1 (Pgp) and ABCC1 (MRP1) expression and the analysis of Pgp efflux activity were performed by flow cytometry. The expression of Pgp and HSC70 proteins was analyzed by Western blot. SUDHL4 cells showed greater sensitivity to doxorubicin, vincristine and etoposide than Toledo cells. At the 48h and 72h time-points, the IC50 was 7.1uM and 6.9uM for SUDHL4 cells and 5.1uM and 4.3uM for Toledo cells, respectively. The evaluation of SUDHL4 cells cell cycle profile suggested that doxorubicin and cisplatin treatment induces an accumulation of cells at G0/G1 phase, vincristine at G2/M phase and etoposide at S phase. The treatment with doxorubicin and etoposide mildly increased cell fragmentation. Treatment with vincristine and cisplatin induced a greater increase in the percentage of cells with fragmented DNA. Evaluating of the resistance mechanisms in Toledo cells, we found overexpression and overactivity of Pgp compared to SUDHL4 cells. However, by Western blot, it was not possible to detect Pgp expression in either cell lines. In conclusion, although preliminary, these results suggest that LQB-223 may have a promising effect on sensitive as well as resistant to conventional treatment DLBCL cells, which display expression of Pgp and MRP1 and Pgp activity, and stress the need for further study of its effects in these cells.

The evaluation of chemotherapy-induced changes in the cell cycle profile of SUDHL4 cells suggested that 24h doxorubicin treatment induces an accumulation of cells at the G0/G1 phase. Treatment with vincristine induced an accumulation of cells at the G2/M phase. Treatment with cisplatin induced an accumulation of cells in G0/G1 phase. Treatment with etoposide induced an accumulation of cells in S phase (Figure 3). Our collaborators have further shown that LQB-223 induces accumulation of chronic myelogenous leukemia cells at the G2/M phase of the cell cycle. To check whether this mechanism of action also occurs in DLBCL cells is a perspective of the present work.



MATERIAL AND METHODS

The human cell lines derived from DLBCL SUDHL4 and Toledo were used in the study. The cell line SUDHL4 is characterized as GCB subtype and has a sensitivity profile of treatment response. The cell line Toledo is characterized as ABC subtype and has a profile of resistance to treatment. Both cell lines were treated with different concentrations of LQB-223 for different periods of time (24h, 48h, and 72h). Both cell lines were also treated with different concentrations of doxorubicin, vincristine, cisplatin or etoposide, chemotherapeutic agents used in the clinical treatment, for different periods of time (24h and 48h). To evaluate cytotoxicity, the MTT assay was employed. The evaluation of cell cycle profile and cell death upon treatment with the LQB-223 compound and the classical chemotherapeutic agents were performed by flow cytometry. Detection of Pgp and MRP1 expression was performed by flow cytometry. Cells were incubated or not with 123-Rhodamine (Rho), a fluorescent substrate of Pgp, and cyclosporin A (CsA) and verapamil (VRP), non-fluorescent substrates, used as modulators to evaluate the activity of this efflux pump. The evaluation of the expression and activity of ABC proteins were made using the ratio between the average fluorescence intensity (RIF) of cells incubated with the antibody and the control cells (no antibody). The expression of Pgp and HSC70 proteins was analyzed by Western blot. The HSC70 protein was used as a protein loading control. The cell line KB-3-1 was used as a negative control and MCF7-DoxR as a positive control for Pgp expression.





The evaluation of chemotherapy-induced cell death of SUDHL4 cells demonstrated that 24h treatment with doxorubicin and etoposide, mildly increased cell fragmentation. Treatment with vincristine and cisplatin induced a greater increase in the percentage of cells with fragmented DNA (Figure 4). Treatment with etoposide induced an increase in the percentage of apoptotic cells (Figure 5). These data need to be confirmed in further experiments with longer times of treatment.



SUDHL4 cells were sensitive to some of the evaluated concentrations of conventional chemotherapy in 24h (Figure 1). IC50 concentrations at 24h were 1.4 uM for doxorubicin, 0.25 uM for vincristine, 16 uM for cisplatin, 6.7 uM for etoposide.

Next, evaluating the resistance mechanisms in Toledo cells through flow cytometry, we found overexpression (Figure 6a)

According to the IC50 of Toledo cells previously determined by our group, SUDHL4 cells showed greater sensitivity to conventional first-line chemotherapeutic agents doxorubicin and vincristine (Table 1).



Figure 1: Sensitivity profile of cell lines derived from diffuse large B-cell lymphoma SUDHL4 and Toledo to chemotherapeutic agents used in the first (doxorubicin and vincristine) and second (carboplatin and etoposide) lines of clinical treatment (24h treatment).

The LQB-223 compound had time and dose-dependent effects on both cell lines. For SUDHL4 cells it was not possible to achieve the IC50 after 24h of treatment. At 48h, the IC50 was 7.1 uM and at 72h, the IC50 was 6.9 uM. For Toledo cells at 24h it was also not possible to achieve the IC50. At 48h, the IC50 was 5.1 uM and at 72h, the IC50 was 4.3 uM (Figure 2; Table 2).

LQB-223 Concentration



Table 2: IC50 concentrations of the LQB-223 compound in cells linesderived from diffuse large B-cell lymphoma SUDHL4 and Toledo foreach time-point studied.

 Table 1: IC50 concentrations in 24h of chemotherapeutic agents

for the cells lines derived from diffuse large B-cell lymphoma

SUDHL4 and Toledo. IC50 concentrations were calculated by four-

SUDHL4

1.4 uM

16 uM

0.25 uM

6.7 uM

IC50 concentration in 24h

Toledo

9 uM

11.2 uM

2.7 uM

10.8 uM

parameters non-logistic regression in the R software.

chemotherapeutic

agents

Doxorrubicin

Cisplatin

Vincristine

Etoposide

Time-point	IC50	
	SUDHL4	Toledo
24h	>20 uM	>20 uM
48h	7.1 uM	5.1 uM
72h	6.9 uM	4.3 uM

and overactivity (Figure 7) of efflux protein ABCB1 (P-glycoprotein or Pgp) compared to SUDHL4 cells. However, in the assessment of expression of Pgp by Western blot, it was not possible to detect protein expression in either cell lines derived from DLBCL SUDHL4 and Toledo (Figure 6b). To prove this hypothesis, we will carry out new tests to assess expression and activity of these proteins.





Figure 6: Profile of expression of P-glycoprotein (Pgp) and Multidrug Resistance-Associated Protein 1 (MRP1) of cells lines derived from diffuse large B-cell lymphoma SUDHL4 and Toledo. A) Flow cytometry B) Western blot. RIF: ratio of fluorescence intensity average.

Figure 7: Eflux activity of P-glycoprotein (Pgp) of cells derived from diffuse large B-cell lymphoma SUDHL4 and Toledo. RIF: ratio of fluorescence intensity average. CSA: cyclosporin A; VRP: verapamil; Rho-123: rhodamine-123.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, although preliminary, these results suggest that LQB-223 may have a promising effect on sensitive DLBCL cells or resistant to conventional treatment, which display expression of Pgp and MRP1 and Pgp activity, and stress the need for further study of its effects in these cells.

Financial Support: CNPq, FAPERJ, Programa de Oncobiologia – UFRJ/FAF, Ministério da saúde, INCA. Keywords: New anticancer agents; LQB-223; Diffuse large B cell Lymphoma; P-glycoprotein.

Projeto Gráfico: Serviço de Edição e Informação Técnico-Científica / INCA



LQB-223 Concentratio

