

Review



# Head and Neck Cancers Are Not Alike When Tarred with the Same Brush: An Epigenetic Perspective from the Cancerization Field to Prognosis

Diego Camuzi <sup>1</sup><sup>(b)</sup>, Tatiana de Almeida Simão <sup>2</sup><sup>(b)</sup>, Fernando Dias <sup>3</sup>, Luis Felipe Ribeiro Pinto <sup>1,2</sup> and Sheila Coelho Soares-Lima <sup>1,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Molecular Carcinogenesis Program, Brazilian National Cancer Institute, Rua André Cavalcanti, 37–6 andar, Bairro de Fátima, Rio de Janeiro CEP 20231-050, Brazil; camuzi.diego@gmail.com (D.C.); lfrpinto@inca.gov.br (L.F.R.P.)
- <sup>2</sup> Departamento de Bioquímica, Instituto de Biologia Roberto Alcantara Gomes, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro CEP 20551-013, Brazil; tatiana.simao@uerj.br
- <sup>3</sup> Seção de Cirurgia de Cabeça e Pescoço, Instituto Nacional de Câncer—INCA, Praça da Cruz Vermelha, Rio de Janeiro CEP 20230-130, Brazil; fdias@inca.gov.br
- \* Correspondence: sheila.lima@inca.gov.br; Tel.: +55-21-32076520

**Simple Summary:** Squamous cell carcinomas affect different head and neck subsites and, although these tumors arise from the same epithelial lining and share risk factors, they differ in terms of clinical behavior and molecular carcinogenesis mechanisms. Differences between HPV-negative and HPV-positive tumors are those most frequently explored, but further data suggest that the molecular heterogeneity observed among head and neck subsites may go beyond HPV infection. In this review, we explore how alterations of DNA methylation and microRNA expression contribute to head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) development and progression. The association of these epigenetic alterations with risk factor exposure, early carcinogenesis steps, transformation risk, and prognosis are described. Finally, we discuss the potential application of the use of epigenetic biomarkers in HNSCC.

Abstract: Head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (HNSCC) are among the ten most frequent types of cancer worldwide and, despite all efforts, are still diagnosed at late stages and show poor overall survival. Furthermore, HNSCC patients often experience relapses and the development of second primary tumors, as a consequence of the field cancerization process. Therefore, a better comprehension of the molecular mechanisms involved in HNSCC development and progression may enable diagnosis anticipation and provide valuable tools for prediction of prognosis and response to therapy. However, the different biological behavior of these tumors depending on the affected anatomical site and risk factor exposure, as well as the high genetic heterogeneity observed in HNSCC are major obstacles in this pursue. In this context, epigenetic alterations have been shown to be common in HNSCC, to discriminate the tumor anatomical subsites, to be responsive to risk factor exposure, and show promising results in biomarker development. Based on this, this review brings together the current knowledge on alterations of DNA methylation and microRNA expression in HNSCC natural history, focusing on how they contribute to each step of the process and on their applicability as biomarkers of exposure, HNSCC development, progression, and response to therapy.

**Keywords:** head and neck cancer; DNA methylation; microRNA; field cancerization; biomarker; tobacco; alcohol; HPV

# 1. Introduction

Head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (HNSCC) are among the ten most frequent and lethal cancers among men worldwide, affecting both developed and developing



**Citation:** Camuzi, D.; Simão, T.d.A.; Dias, F.; Ribeiro Pinto, L.F.; Soares-Lima, S.C. Head and Neck Cancers Are Not Alike When Tarred with the Same Brush: An Epigenetic Perspective from the Cancerization Field to Prognosis. *Cancers* **2021**, *13*, 5630. https://doi.org/10.3390/ cancers13225630

Academic Editor: Sidharth V. Puram

Received: 20 September 2021 Accepted: 2 November 2021 Published: 11 November 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). countries [1]. It is usually associated with lifelong exposure to tobacco and alcohol [2–4], but more recently, human papillomavirus (HPV) infection has emerged as a third risk factor [4]. HPV drives oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinomas (OPSCC) with better treatment response and overall survival that led to the establishment of specific guidelines in the last TNM Classification of Malignant Tumors [5]. Nonetheless, for most HNSCC cases, the prognosis is usually poor, with a high incidence of recurrences and second primary tumors (SPT) [4,6]. Therefore, identifying the molecular mechanisms of HNSCC development and progression is of utmost relevance.

HNSCC natural history might differ according to different aspects, such as affected subsite, the treatment offered and patients' habits. In the 1950s, Slaughter and colleagues evaluated the origin and spreading of tobacco- and alcohol-associated oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC), the most frequent and most accessible HNSCC [7]. In this groundbreaking work, they showed that (i) horizontal is more common than vertical spread; (ii) the surrounding benign epithelium was usually microscopically abnormal; (iii) when tumors were  $\leq 1$  cm, other in situ cancer foci or isolated islands of invasive carcinoma were found; and (iv) 11% of the cases presented synchronous macroscopic SPT in the mucosa of the upper alimentary and respiratory tracts. These observations led to the proposal of a field of cancerization, in which carcinogens precondition the epithelial lining of these tracts to transformation.

Indeed, tobacco and alcohol-associated HNSCC arise after a lifelong exposure to these risk factors. Although their full onset may take tens of years, the entire process is not completely silent. Precursor lesions can be detected and might be associated with progression risk. For decades, white (leukoplakias) and red (erythroplakias) patches in head and neck linings have been studied, and up to now, it is still difficult to estimate how often they will progress to malignant tumors and the associated factors. Initially, these lesions were evaluated exclusively according to microscopic morphological aspects. In a study from 1987, for example, the authors showed that among 42 patients with white or red patches (or both) at the vocal cords, all presented morphological alterations, ranging from keratosis to microinvasive carcinoma [8]. Among those with keratosis, keratosis with atypia, or atypia, 43%, 42%, and 80%, progressed to carcinoma in situ or microinvasive carcinoma, respectively. The time between the diagnosis of leukoplakias and/or erythroplakias and progression to malignancy also varied between 1 and 30 months. Other relevant observations from this study were the high rate of recurrence of morphological alterations (54.5%), as well as a slightly higher risk of recurrence among patients who did not stop smoking (33.3% versus 26.6% among patients who quit smoking). In a recent meta-analysis, the prevalence of oral potentially malignant disorders was estimated to be 4.47% (95% CI = 2.43–7.08), and varied between populations, with North America showing the lowest prevalence (0.11%) and Asia the highest (10.54%) [9]. The rates of malignant transformation also vary between populations and studies (ranging from 3.2% to 50%), and the strongly associated factors include lesion size, texture, color, tumor subsite, and presence of dysplasia [10]. Nevertheless, besides morphological appearance and clinical aspects, molecular biomarkers might also be used to diagnose and estimate the risk of progression, as addressed in the following sections.

The frequency of HPV-associated HNSCC (HPV-HNSCC) varies worldwide, probably because of the also variable incidence of tobacco and alcohol-associated HNSCC [11]. However, it is undeniable that HPV-HNSCC incidence rates increased in the last years, and it preferably affects younger patients, making the disease a public health issue [12,13]. The most common affected subsites include lingual and palatine tonsils. In contrast to tobacco and alcohol-associated HNSCC, HPV-HNSCC is not associated with dysplasia, lacks keratinization, and shows basaloid morphology [14–16]. The discontinuous basement membrane of the reticulated epithelium in tonsils enables HPV assessment to basal keratinocytes in the absence of microabrasions [17], explaining the subsite preference. When these cells replicate, HPV is passed to daughter cells, and through its transcriptome program, it disturbs the differentiation process that would occur in normal conditions [17]. E6 and E7 viral oncoproteins are crucial to high-risk HPV-induced transformation by binding to p53 and Rb, respectively, facilitating their proteasomal degradation [18,19]. When HPV DNA is integrated into the host cell genome, higher levels of viral transcripts are observed [20]. Rb degradation promoted by E7 leads to E2F release, which activates *p16INK4a* transcription [21]. Although p16 immunostaining is largely applied as a highly sensitive HPV-HNSCC surrogate marker, it is moderately specific [22]. Therefore, novel biomarkers could help discriminate between the two tumor entities.

HNSCC is highly heterogeneous regarding molecular alterations. Although differences according to tumor site and associated risk factors exist, these only partially explain this heterogeneity. In this context, epigenetic alterations have gained attention due to their frequency and site-specific pattern [23–26], being promising biomarkers. In the following sections, we bring together the current knowledge on alterations of DNA methylation and microRNA expression in HNSCC natural history, focusing on how they contribute to each step of the process and on their applicability as biomarkers of exposure, HNSCC development, progression, and response to therapy.

## 2. Epigenetic Mechanisms

Epigenetics refers to molecular alterations that do not alter the nucleotide sequence but affect gene expression. These molecular mechanisms are generally stable, reversible, and are inherited during cell division [27]. DNA methylation, noncoding RNAs, and chromatin remodeling are considered epigenetic mechanisms of gene expression control, and their dysregulation is recurrently reported in cancer [28,29]. Since epigenetic marks are overly sensitive to environmental stimuli, intrinsically associated with cell phenotype, tissue-specific, and can be detected in surrogate samples, the development of epigenetic biomarkers in oncology is promising. Much progress has been made in evaluating alterations of DNA methylation and noncoding RNAs in HNSCC. Due to analytical advantages compared with chromatin remodeling, these epigenetic marks are the focus of our discussion here.

DNA methylation is probably the most extensively studied epigenetic mechanism in cancer (Figure 1). It involves the transfer of a methyl group from S-adenosyl methionine (SAM) to the carbon 5 of cytosines followed by guanines (CpG dinucleotides), a reaction catalyzed by DNA-methyltransferases (DNMTs) [30,31]. CpG islands refer to genomic regions with an observed frequency of CpG nucleotides higher than expected, and are mainly located in promoter regions [32]. Although DNA methylation may affect gene expression, both inside and outside CpG islands [33], most studies focus on the analysis of these genomic regions. The impact of this epigenetic mark on gene expression involves the alteration of transcription factor affinity to their consensus sequence in target genes, as well as the recruitment of other proteins, such as methyl-binding domain proteins and chromatin remodelers [32]. When DNA methylation affects promoter regions, the consequence is usually gene silencing, and it is not uncommon to observe their association with heterochromatin [34]. It is also an important mechanism to maintain genomic stability through the shutdown of transposable elements. More recently, due to the widespread use of genome-wide technologies to study DNA methylation, gene bodies, enhancers, and insulators have begun to be addressed and may unveil further interconnections between DNA methylation and genome usage [35].

Among noncoding RNAs, the effects of microRNAs (miRNAs) on gene expression control are probably the most well understood (Figure 1). These molecules are about 22 nucleotides in length and recognize their target mRNAs by complementarity, usually binding to the 3' untranslated region (UTR) [36–38]. MiRNA–mRNA pairing might be complete or incomplete, and the consequences are mRNA degradation and translation inhibition, respectively [37,38]. A single miRNA might have dozens of targets [38], making the impact of their dysregulation on cell phenotype quite dramatic and difficult to predict. Nonetheless, due to their tissue-specific expression pattern, frequently altered expression



in cancer, and high stability in biological fluids, miRNAs are promising biomarkers in oncology.

Figure 1. Overview of gene regulation by DNA methylation and miRNA activity. Genomic DNA, found in the nucleus of eukaryotic cells, contains millions of CpG sites (lollipops) that can be either methylated (dark blue) or demethylated (light blue). These CpG sites are located in gene promoters and bodies, miRNAs, and intergenic regions, as well as in the vicinity of transposable elements (TE). When a promoter region is demethylated (Gene A), gene transcription may take place, leading to mRNA synthesis. However, when the promoter is hypermethylated (Gene B), transcription is inhibited. TEs transcription goes through the same regulatory mechanism. The transcription of some of these elements leads to the production of the transposition machinery, which enables their retrotransposition to a new genomic region, in a "copy-paste" fashion. DNA methylation similarly regulates the expression of miRNAs. Once transcribed, the primary miRNA (Pri-miRNA) is processed still in the nucleus by the RNAse Drosha, forming the precursor miRNA (Pre-miRNA). The Pre-miRNA is exported to the cytoplasm, where it is further processed by the RNAse Dicer, forming the miRNA duplex. After the miRNA duplex unwinds and the degradation of the passenger strand takes place, the lead strand (mature miRNA) assembles to the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) and, by nucleotide complementarity, identifies their target mRNAs. A single miRNA may have several mRNA targets and will lead to their degradation (perfect annealing) or translation inhibition (partial annealing).

## 3. HNSCC Etiology and Epigenetics

All etiological factors associated with HNSCC development have been shown to affect epigenetic mechanisms in different tissues. For example, both smoking and alco-

hol consumption were associated with global hypomethylation in HNSCC [39], while HPV-positive tumors show higher global methylation levels relative to HPV-negative tumors [40]. It is intriguing that while the expression of microRNAs can be regulated by DNA methylation [41], DNA methylation machinery can also be the target of microRNAs [42,43], creating a negative feedback. Additionally, microRNA expression can be influenced by genetic factors such as single nucleotide polymorphisms and mutations [44,45]. However, given the stochasticity of HNSCC carcinogenesis, the order of events is still far from being understood.

Furthermore, specific microRNA expression and DNA methylation signatures for tobacco [46], alcohol [47,48], and HPV infection [49,50] were established and represent not only a source of exposure biomarkers, but may also add information on carcinogenic mechanisms (Figure 2).



**Figure 2.** Risk factors associated with HNSCC development affect DNA methylation and miRNA expression, showing different mechanisms by which tobacco components, alcohol, and HPV infection may lead to aberrant DNA methylation profiles and aberrant miRNA expression. NNK, 4-(methylnitro-samino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone; BaP, benzo(a)pyrene; TCA Cycle, tricarboxylic acid cycle.

# 3.1. Tobacco

Tobacco components have been shown to induce epigenetic alterations (Figure 2 and Table 1). Although the molecular mechanisms are not completely elucidated, some clues have been gathered. In HeLa cells, benzo(a)pyrene (BaP) was shown to induce the deposition of activating histone marks in the promoter of long interspersed element 1 (LINE1) [51]. This was followed by the inhibition of DNMT1 binding to these genomic regions, and by the proteasome-mediated degradation of this enzyme. As a result, BaP induced LINE1 hypomethylation [51]. By binding to nicotinic cholinergic receptors, nicotine was shown to decrease Dnmt1 mRNA and protein levels and induce demethylation of specific loci [52]. Other tobacco components may induce DNA hypermethylation. The tobacco-specific nitrosamine 4-(methylnitro-samino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone (NNK) can induce AKT activation that phosphorylates and inhibits GSK3β [53]. Once inactivated, GSK3β can no longer phosphorylate DNMT1, which is not marked for proteasomal degradation, and it is therefore stabilized. Another mechanism by which tobacco components may induce hypermethylation is via DNA damage; Dnmt1 was shown to be recruited to DNA repair sites to restore epigenetic marks [54]. In vitro experiments in HeLa and mouse embryonic stem cells corroborated these findings, showing that following double-strand breaks, half of the strands repaired by homologous recombination become hypermethylated, which is mediated by DNMT1 [55]. Cigarette smoke condensate can also induce Sp1 expression and DNA binding [56]. Since Sp1 is a transcription factor that recognizes CG-rich genomic regions [57], its binding may prevent DNA methylation in these regions [58,59]. Finally, tissue hypoxia induced by cigarette smoke carbon monoxide can induce global DNA hypomethylation. Hypoxia-inducible factor  $1\alpha$  (HIF- $1\alpha$ ) induces the expression of methionine adenosyltransferase 2A (MAT2A, involved in SAM synthesis), and as a consequence, SAM levels are decreased, impairing DNA methylation reactions [59,60].

Table 1. Epigenetic biomarkers in head and a	neck squamous cel	l carcinoma
--	-------------------	-------------

Gene/Genomic Element	HNSCC Site	Sample Type	Genomic Region	Molecular Alteration	Ref.
Risk factor exposure: TOBACCO					
p16INK4a and MYOD1	HNSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[61]
, SFRP4	HNSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[62]
CYP1A1	HNSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[63]
RBSP3, LIMD1 and CDC25A	HNSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[64]
miR-200 family	OSCC	Tissue	N.A.	Downregulation	[65]
miR-155	OSCC	Tissue	N.A.	Upregulation	[66]
miR-29c	LSCC	Tissue	N.A.	Downregulation	[67]
miR-202-3p	LSCC	Tissue	N.A.	Upregulation	[68]
miR- 4768-3p, miR-548aa and miR-3713	LSCC	Tissue	N.A.	Downregulation	[68]
miR-34 family	HNSCC	Tissue	N.A.	Upregulation	[69]
mir-133a-3p	OPSCC	Tissue	N.A.	Downregulation	[70]
Risk factor exposure: ALCOHOL					
p15INK4b	HNSCC	Oral rinse	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[71]
FUSSEL18 and SEPT9	HNSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[72]
DAPK	HNSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[73]
SFRP1	HNSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[62]
p16INK4a, RASSF1A and FANCF	HNSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[61,74]
MGMT and CHRNA3	HNSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypomethylation	[61]
MLH1	LSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypomethylation	[75]
miR-30a, miR-934, miR-3178,					
miR-675, miR-101, miR-126,	HNSCC	Tissue	N.A.	Upregulation	[48]
miR-3164 and miR-3690					
miR-375	HNSCC	Tissue	N.A.	Upregulation	[76]

Bisk factor coposure: HIV           pl6I/MK4         OSCC (PFCCC and PNSCC Saliva         Promoter Promoter         Hypermethylation Hypermethylation         [77,7] [79,80]           RASST 17, MR3 and PCCAM/BED15         HNSCC Saliva         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [77,7] [79,80]           RASG CTNAUG, CHSR and IICA4         ORSCC         Tissue         Premoter         Hypermethylation         [80]           metGablaoutitice         HNSCC C         Tissue         ILNEI         Hypermethylation         [81]           mail-301a, milk-476-5p         HNSCC Tissue         N.A.         Upregulation         [81]           milk-305a, milk-476-5p         HNSCC Tissue         N.A.         Upregulation         [82]           milk-305a, milk-306, m	Gene/Genomic Element	HNSCC Site	Sample Type	Genomic Region	Molecular Alteration	Ref.
plainkst         OSCC_ORSC and INSCC         Tissue and Saliva         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [72,73] [79,80]           RXSG_CTNM2, GHSR and ICCAMPEDIS         HNSCC         Soliva         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [79]           RXRG_CTNM2, GHSR and ICCAMPEDIS         HNSCC         Soliva         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [80]           mithylation/Repetitive Biomeni mitk-93-5 and mitk-476-55p         HNSCC and OVSCC         Tissue         LINE1         Hypermethylation         [81]           mitk-93-5 and mitk-93-5 and			Risk factor expos	ure: HPV		
KASSFLAT.TMP3 and ICCAPARDIDS         HNSCC         Saliva         Promoter         Hypemethylation         [79]           RXMG_CTIMMA_GH88 and ICCAPA Trend         OPSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypemethylation         [80]           methylation/Repetitive Blement         HNSCC and OPSCC         Tissue         IJNFI         Hypemethylation         [81]           miR-99a-5p and miR-92a, pr/Amile 90-5p, miR-115-5p         HNSCC Tissue         N.A.         Upregulation         [81]           miR-92a, pr/Amile 90-5p, miR-12a, and miR-92a, pr/Amile 90-5p, miR-145, pr         OPSCC         Tissue         N.A.         Upregulation         [82]           SOCS-3         HNSCC and dysplasing         OPSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [84]           PIEINK4 and MCMT         OSCC and dysplasing         OPSCC and Blood         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [84]           aniR-12a, miR-37, miR-376, and aniR-12a, miR-31, miR-377, miR-136, miR-31, miR-377, miR-376, and aniR-236, miR-31, miR-377, miR-376,	p16INK4a	OSCC, OPSCC and HNSCC	Tissue and Saliva	Promoter	Hypermethylation Hypomethylation	[77,78] [79,80]
	RASSF1A, TIMP3 and PCQAP/MED15	HNSCC	Saliva	Promoter	Hypomethylation	[79]
Global methylation, Repetitive Damant         IPSCC and OPSCC         Issue         LINE1         Hypermethylation         [20]           miR-95-print         MA-57p         HNSCC         Tissue         N.A.         Upregulation         [81]           miR-95-print         MA-225-print         HNSCC         Tissue         N.A.         Upregulation         [81]           miR-145, miR-145, and miR-145, miR-145, and miR-145, miR-145, and         OPSCC         Tissue         N.A.         Downregulation         [82]           soc 5-3         HNSCC and dybubas         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [83]           p161NK4a and MGMT         MSCC and dybubas         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [84]           ZNF52 and PAX1         OSC cavity oral squamos mitmepithelial         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [86]           miR-135,	RXRG, CTNNA2, GHSR and ITGA4	OPSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[80]
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Global methylation/Repetitive Element	HNSCC and OPSCC	Tissue	LINE1	Hypermethylation	[20]
miR-326, miR-322-59, and miR-35-59, miR-45, and miR-45-7, miR-45, and miR-45, miR-45, miR-45, and miR-45, miR-45, miR-	miR-99a-3p and miR-4746-5p miR-411-5p	HNSCC HNSCC	Tissue Tissue	N.A. N.A.	Upregulation Downregulation	[81] [81]
milk-139, milk-145, and milk-145, and milk-145, and milk-126, milk-145, milk	miR-320a, miR-222-3p, and miR-93-5p	OPSCC	Tissue	N.A.	Upregulation	[82]
Cancerization field biomarkers—alterations in premalignant lesions           SOCS-3         HNSCC and dysplasias OSCC and individuals at risk OSCC precursor lesions         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [83]           RARB         OSCC const Individuals at risk OSCC precursor lesions         Oral rinse and Diod         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [84,85]           ZAP70 and GP1BB         OSCC covity Oral squamous intracpithelial lesions         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation/ Hypermethylation/ Hypermethylation/ Hypermethylation/ Hypermethylation/ Hypermethylation/ Hypermethylation/ Hypermethylation/ Hypermethylation         [89]           miR-123, miR-31, miR-31, miR-123, miR-31, miR-31, miR-31, miR-31, miR-31, miR-31, miR-31, miR-31,	miR-199a-3p//miR-199b-3p, miR-143, miR-145, and miR-126a	OPSCC	Tissue	N.A.	Downregulation	[82]
SOCS-3     HNSCC and dyplaisa p16DNK4a and MCMT     Tissue oSCC and individuals at risk OCC precursor lesions     Tissue Tissue     Promoter     Hypermethylation     [83]       RARB     OSCC and individuals at risk OSCC acity     Tissue     Promoter     Hypermethylation     [86]       ZNF582 and PAX1     OSCC cavity     Tissue     Promoter     Hypermethylation     [87]       ZAP70 and GP1BB     Intraceptifielal intraceptifielal miR-204, miR-31, miR-31*, miR-1233     OSC precursor lesions     Tissue     Promoter     Hypermethylation     [89]       miR-204, miR-31, miR-31*, miR-1233     OSCC Concensor lesions     Tissue     Promoter     Hypermethylation     [90]       ABO     OSCC Tissue     Promoter     Hypermethylation     [91]       APC     OSCC Tissue     Promoter     Hypermethylation     [92]       CEBPa     HNSCC Tissue     Promoter     Hypermethylation     [93]       HNSCC Tissue     Promoter     Hypermethylation     [94]       CDH1     LSCC     Tissue     Promoter     Hypermethylation     [97]       CDH1     LSCC     Tissue     Promoter     Hypermethylation     [97]       CDH1     LSCC     Tissue     Promoter     Hypermethylation     [97]       CDKN2A     HNSCC Saliva     Promoter     Hypermethylatio		Cancerization f	eld biomarkers—alter	ations in premalignant	lesions	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	SOCS-3	HNSCC and dysplasias	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[83]
KARB         CSCC precursor lesions         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [86]           ZMF582 and PX1         OSCC cavity Oral squamous intraepithelial lesions         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [87]           ZMF502 and GP1BB         intraepithelial lesions         Oral brushing         Exon 3/Exon 1         Hypermethylation         [89]           miR-132, miR-31, miR-132, miR-31, miR-1293         OSCC precursor lesions         Tissue         N.A.         Upregulation         [90]           ABO         OSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [91]           ABO         OSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [92]           C/EBPa         HNSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [92]           CDH1         LSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [91,93-96]           HNSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [91,93-96,99-102]           CDH1         LSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [92,39-96,99-102]           CDKN2A         HNSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [92,39-96,99-102]	p16INK4a and MGMT	OSCC and individuals at risk	Oral rinse and Blood	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[84,85]
ZNF582 and PAX1         OSCC cavity Oral squamous intracpithelial lesions         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [87]           MR-204, miR-31, miR-31, miR-204, miR-31, miR-31, miR-1293, miR-7, miR-206, and miR-1293         OSCC procursor lesions         Tissue         N.A.         Upregulation         [89]           MBO         OSCC         Tissue         N.A.         Upregulation         [90]           ABO         OSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [91]           APC         OSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [91]           C/EBPa         HNSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [91]           C/EBPa         HNSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [91]           C/EBPa         HNSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [91]         [93]-96]           CDH1         LSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [91]-93-96,9-102]           CDKN2A         HNSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [93]-98]           CDKN2A         HNSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [95]-96]<	RARB	OSCC precursor lesions	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[86]
ZAP70 and GP1BB intracepithelial lesionsOral brushing intracepithelial lesionsExon 3/Exon 1Hypermethylation Hypomethylation[88]miR-204, miR-31, miR-31*, miR-133a, miR-17, miR-206, and miR-1293OSCC precursor lesionsTissueN.A.Upregulation[90]Diagnostic biomarkersDiagnostic biomarkersImage: Construction of the second	ZNF582 and PAX1	OSCC cavity	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[87]
mik-204, mik-31*, mik-1293         OSCC precursor lesions         Tissue         N.A.         Upregulation         [89]           Diagnostic biomarkers           ABO         OSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [90]           ABC         OSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [91]           CEBPa         HNSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [91,93–96]           CDH1         LSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [97,10]           CDH1         LSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [97,10]           CDK1         HSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [97,10]           CDK1         LSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [97,10]           CDK2A         HNSCC         Salva         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [97,10]           CDKN2A         HNSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [73,91,94,99,10]           CVGB         OSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [73,91,94,99,10],107]           CVGB <td>ZAP70 and GP1BB</td> <td>Oral squamous intraepithelial lesions</td> <td>Oral brushing</td> <td>Exon 3/Exon 1</td> <td>Hypermethylation/ Hypomethylation</td> <td>[88]</td>	ZAP70 and GP1BB	Oral squamous intraepithelial lesions	Oral brushing	Exon 3/Exon 1	Hypermethylation/ Hypomethylation	[88]
Diagnostic biomarkers           ABO         OSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [90]           APC         OSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [91]           C/EBPA         HNSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [92]           OSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [92]           HNSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [93]           CDH1         LSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [94]           MSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [95]         [97,103]           CDKN2A         HNSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [97,103]           CHD5         LSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [106]           CYCAI         OSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [106]           CDKN2A         LSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [106]           CYCAI         OSCC         Tissue         Promoter         Hypermethylation         [1	miR-204, miR-31, miR-31*, miR-133a, miR-7, miR-206, and miR-1293	OSCC precursor lesions	Tissue	N.A.	Upregulation	[89]
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Diagnostic bior	narkers		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	АВО	OSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[90]
CEBPa HNSCC Tissue Promoter Hypermethylation [92]  OSCC Tissue Promoter Hypermethylation [91,93-96]  HNSCC Tissue Promoter Hypermethylation [97]  CDH1 LSCC Tissue Promoter Hypermethylation [98]  OSCC Tissue Promoter Hypermethylation [98]  OSCC Tissue Promoter Hypermethylation [97,103]  HNSCC Saliva Promoter Hypermethylation [97,103]  CDKN2A HNSCC Saliva Promoter Hypermethylation [97,93-96,99-102]  HNSCC Tissue Promoter Hypermethylation [97,103]  CDKN2A HNSCC Saliva Promoter Hypermethylation [97,98,105]  Hypopharynx Tissue Promoter Hypermethylation [75,98,105]  Hypopharynx Tissue Promoter Hypermethylation [98]  CYGB OSCC Tissue Promoter Hypermethylation [93,96]  CYGB OSCC Tissue Promoter Hypermethylation [106]  CYCA1 OSCC Tissue Promoter Hypermethylation [106]  OSCC Tissue Promoter Hypermethylation [106]  CYCA1 OSCC Tissue Promoter Hypermethylation [107]  DAPK HNSCC Tissue Promoter Hypermethylation [107]  DAPK HNSCC Tissue Promoter Hypermethylation [107]  DAPK HNSCC Tissue Promoter Hypermethylation [102,104]  HNSCC Tissue Promoter Hypermethylation [102]  DKK3 OSCC Tissue Promoter Hypermethylation [109]  Global OSCC Oral tisse Promoter Hypermethylation [109]  Global OSCC Tissue Promoter Hypermethylation [110]  methylation/Repetitive OSCC Oral tisse Promoter Hypermethylation [111]  HNSCC Saliva Promoter Hypermethylation [102]  MGMT HNSCC Tissue Promoter Hypermethylation [103,113]  MGMT HNSCC Tissue Promoter Hypermethylation [102]  MLH1 OSCC Tissue Promoter Hypermethylation [102]  MLH1 OSCC Tissue Promoter Hypermethylation [102]  MLH1 OSCC Tissue Promoter Hypermethylation [103,115]  MLH1 OSCC Tissue Promoter Hypermethylation [103]  Hypopharynx Tissue Promoter Hypermethylation	APC	OSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[91]
$ \begin{array}{c} CDH1 & \begin{array}{c} OSCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [97] \\ HNSCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [98] \\ Hypopharynx & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [98] \\ OSCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [97] \\ HNSCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [98] \\ HNSCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [97,103] \\ CDKN2A & HNSCC & Saliva & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [102,104] \\ LSCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [102,104] \\ LSCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [102,104] \\ CYGB & OSCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [106] \\ CYCA1 & OSCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [100] \\ CYCA1 & OSCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [100] \\ CYCA1 & OSCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [100] \\ OSCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [100] \\ OSCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [102,104] \\ HNSCC & Saliva & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [102,104] \\ HNSCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [102,104] \\ USCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [102,104] \\ USCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [102,104] \\ USCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [102,104] \\ USCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [102,104] \\ USCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [102,104] \\ USCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [102,104] \\ USCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [102,104] \\ USCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [102,104] \\ MGMT & HNSCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [103,113] \\ MGMT & HNSCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [112] \\ HNSCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [103,113] \\ MGMT & HNSCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [103,113] \\ MGMT & HNSCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [103,113] \\ MGMT & HNSCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [103,113] \\ MGMT & HNSCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [103,113] \\ MLH1 & OSCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [102,102] \\ LSCC & Tissue & Promoter & Hypermethylation & [102,10$	C/EBPa	HNSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[92]
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		HNISCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[91,93-96]
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		LSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[98]
OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[91,93-96,99-102]HNSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[97,103]CDKN2AHNSCCSalivaPromoterHypermethylation[102,104]LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[102,104]LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[106]CHD5LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[106]CYGBOSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[100]CYCA1OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[73,91,94,99,101,107]OSCCBloodPromoterHypermethylation[73,97,102]DAPKHNSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[73,97,102]DAPKHNSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,98,108]HypopharynxTissuePromoterHypermethylation[107]DAPKHNSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[102]DAPKHNSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[102]GlobalOSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[101]methylation/RepetitiveOSCCOSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[110]MGMTHNSCCSisuePromoterHypermethylation[111]ElementInterventInterventIntervent[102][103,113]MGMTHNSCCSisuePromoterHypermethylation[102] </td <td>CDH1</td> <td>Hypopharynx</td> <td>Tissue</td> <td>Promoter</td> <td>Hypermethylation</td> <td>[98]</td>	CDH1	Hypopharynx	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[98]
HNSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[97,103]CDKN2AHNSCCSalivaPromoterHypermethylation[102,104]LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,98,105]HypopharynxTissuePromoterHypermethylation[98]CHD5LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[106]CYGBOSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[93,96]CYCA1OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[73,91,94,99,101,107]OSCCOSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[107]OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[107]DAPKHNSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[102,104]LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[73,97,102]DAPKHNSCCSalivaPromoterHypermethylation[102,104]LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[102,104]LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[102,104]MCK3OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[109]GlobalOSCCOSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[109]MCM3OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[101]methylation/RepetitiveOSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[102]MCM3OSCCTissue and SalivaPromoterHypermethylation[103,113]<		OSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[91,93-96,99-102]
CDKN2AHNSCCSalivaPromoterHypermethylation[102,104]LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,98,105]HypopharynxTissuePromoterHypermethylation[106]CHD5LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[100]CYGBOSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[100]CYGBOSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[107]OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[107]OSCCBloodPromoterHypermethylation[107]DAPKHNSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[73,97,102]HNSCCSalivaPromoterHypermethylation[73,97,102]LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[73,97,102]HNSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[73,97,102]LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[79,98,108]MK3OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[109]GlobalOSCCOral rinseAluHypomethylation[110]methylation/RepetitiveOSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[111]MCM3OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[112]MCH1OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[112]MGM7HNSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[102]LSCCTissuePromoterHyper		HNSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[97,103]
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	CDKN2A	HNSCC	Saliva	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[102,104]
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		LSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[75,98,105]
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	CLIDE	Hypopharynx	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[98]
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	CHD5 CYCP	LSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[106]
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	CYC A1	OSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[100]
DAPKDOSCCBloodPromoterHypermethylation[107]DAPKHNSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[102]HNSCCSalivaPromoterHypermethylation[102]LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[102]BiologicBiologicFromoterHypermethylation[102]LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[102]BiologicOSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[109]ClobalOSCCBloodLRE1/LINE1Hypermethylation[110]methylation/RepetitiveOSCCOral rinseAluHypermethylation[111]ElementInterpret ConstructInterpret Hypermethylation[112][103,113]MGMTHNSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[103,113]MGMTHNSCCSalivaPromoterHypermethylation[102]LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[102]MLH1OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[102]MLH1OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[102]MLH1OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,95]	crem	OSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[73.91.94.99.101.107]
DAPKHNSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[73,97,102]HNSCCSalivaPromoterHypermethylation[102,104]LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,98,108]HypopharynxTissuePromoterHypermethylation[98]DKK3OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[109]GlobalOSCCBloodLRE1/LINE1Hypomethylation[110]methylation/RepetitiveOSCCOral rinseAluHypermethylation[111]ElementItementItement[91,94-96,99,101]MGMTHNSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[112]MGMTHNSCCSalivaPromoterHypermethylation[102]LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[102]MLH1OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,98]HypopharynxTissuePromoterHypermethylation[102]MLH1OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,95]MLH1OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,95]MLH1OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,95]MLH1OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,95]		OSCC	Blood	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[107]
DAPKHNSCCSalivaPromoterHypermethylation[102,104]LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,98,108]HypopharynxTissuePromoterHypermethylation[98]DKK3OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[109]GlobalOSCCBloodLRE1/LINE1Hypomethylation[110]methylation/RepetitiveOSCCOral rinseAluHypomethylation[111]Element[112]MCMA9OSCCTissue and SalivaPromoterHypermethylation[112]OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[112]MGMTHNSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[103,113]MGMTHNSCCSalivaPromoterHypermethylation[102]LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[102]MLH1OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,95]MLH1OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,95]		HNSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[73,97,102]
LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,98,108]HypopharynxTissuePromoterHypermethylation[98]DKK3OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[109]GlobalOSCCBloodLRE1/LINE1Hypomethylation[110]methylation/RepetitiveOSCCOral rinseAluHypomethylation[111]Element[112]MCMA9OSCCTissue and SalivaPromoterHypermethylation[91,94–96,99,101]HNSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[103,113]MGMTHNSCCSalivaPromoterHypermethylation[102]LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[102]LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,98]MLH1OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,95]MLH1OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,95]	DAPK	HNSCC	Saliva	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[102,104]
HypopharynxTissuePromoterHypermethylation[98]DKK3OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[109]GlobalOSCCBloodLRE1/LINE1Hypomethylation[110]methylation/RepetitiveOSCCOral rinseAluHypomethylation[111]Element[112]MCMA9OSCCTissue and SalivaPromoterHypermethylation[112]OSCCTissue and SalivaPromoterHypermethylation[113]MGMTHNSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[103,113]MGMTHNSCCSalivaPromoterHypermethylation[102]LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,98]HypopharynxTissuePromoterHypermethylation[98]MLH1OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,95]		LSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[75,98,108]
DKK3OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[109]GlobalOSCCBloodLRE1/LINE1Hypomethylation[110]methylation/RepetitiveOSCCOral rinseAluHypomethylation[111]ElementIfissue and SalivaPromoterHypermethylation[112]MCMA9OSCCTissue and SalivaPromoterHypermethylation[91,94–96,99,101]MGMTHNSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[103,113]MGMTHNSCCSalivaPromoterHypermethylation[102]LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,98]HypopharynxTissuePromoterHypermethylation[98]MLH1OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,95]		Hypopharynx	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[98]
GlobalOSCCBloodLRE1/LINE1Hypomethylation[110]methylation/RepetitiveOSCCOral rinseAluHypomethylation[111]Element[112]HOXA9OSCCTissue and SalivaPromoterHypermethylation[91,94–96,99,101]OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[103,113]MGMTHNSCCSalivaPromoterHypermethylation[102]LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,98]HypopharynxTissuePromoterHypermethylation[98]MLH1OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,95]	DKK3	OSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[109]
Interfyration/RepetitiveOSCCOral rinseAluHypomethylation[111]ElementImage: Signal ConstraintsPromoterHypermethylation[112]MCMA9OSCCTissue and SalivaPromoterHypermethylation[91,94–96,99,101]MSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[103,113]MGMTHNSCCSalivaPromoterHypermethylation[102]LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,98]HypopharynxTissuePromoterHypermethylation[98]MLH1OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,95]	Global	OSCC	Blood	LRE1/LINE1	Hypomethylation	[110]
HOXA9OSCCTissue and SalivaPromoterHypermethylation[112]OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[91,94–96,99,101]HNSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[103,113]MGMTHNSCCSalivaPromoterHypermethylation[102]LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,98]HypopharynxTissuePromoterHypermethylation[98]MLH1OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,95]	Flement	USCC	Oral rinse	Alu	Hypomethylation	[111]
MCM bOSCCHow and any aPromoterHypermethylation[91,94–96,99,101]OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[103,113]MGMTHNSCCSalivaPromoterHypermethylation[102]LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[102]LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,98]HypopharynxTissuePromoterHypermethylation[98]MLH1LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,95]	HOXA9	OSCC	Tissue and Saliva	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[112]
HNSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[11,140,17,10]MGMTHNSCCSalivaPromoterHypermethylation[102]LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,98]HypopharynxTissuePromoterHypermethylation[98]MLH1LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,95]	IIOAAD	OSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[91.94–96 99 101]
MGMTHNSCCSalivaPromoterHypermethylation[10/2]LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,98]HypopharynxTissuePromoterHypermethylation[98]MLH1LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,95]OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,95]		HNSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[103,113]
LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,98]HypopharynxTissuePromoterHypermethylation[98]MLH1LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,95]OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,95]	MGMT	HNSCC	Saliva	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[102]
HypopharynxTissuePromoterHypermethylation[98]MLH1LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,95]OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,95]		LSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[75,98]
MLH1LSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation[75,95]OSCCTissuePromoterHypermethylation		Hypopharynx	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[98]
	MLH1	LSCC OSCC	Tissue Tissue	Promoter Promoter	Hypermethylation Hypermethylation	[75,95]

# Table 1. Cont.

MINT1	OSCC	<b>T</b> :			
		Issue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[114]
NID2	OSCC	Tissue and Saliva	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[112]
<i>p</i> 14	HNSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[103,115]
p15	OSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[95,116]
	OPSCC	Saliva	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[117]
PCQAP/MED15	OSCC	Saliva	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[117]
RARG	OSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[93,96]
ЮЖР	HNSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[103]
RARβ2	Salivary Gland Carcinomas	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[118]
	OSCC Salivary Gland	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[119]
RASSF1A	Carcinomas	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[118]
	HNSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[97]
	HNSCC	Saliva	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[104]
BID WA	OPSCC	Saliva	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[117]
RUNX3	OSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[94]
SFRP1	OSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypomethylation	[109]
SFRP2	OSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[109]
SFRP4	OSCC	lissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[109]
SFRP5	USCC	lissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[109]
SUCS-3	HNSCC	lissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[83]
551K2	LSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[120]
VVIF I MIDNI	OSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[109,119]
VVKIN ZNIE14	USCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[91]
ZINF14 ZNIF160	HNSCC	Tissue and Saliva	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[121]
ZNF100 ZNE420	LINSCC	Tissue and Saliva	Promotor	Hypermethylation	[121]
miR-375	ISCC	Tissue and Sanva	N A	Downrogulation	[121]
miR-375	LSCC	Tissue	IN.A.	Uprogulation	[122]
miR-204 and mir-144	OSCC	Tissue and plasma	N.A.	Upregulation	[122]
miR-193b-5p and miR-370-3p	OSCC	Tissue and plasma	N.A.	Downrogulation	[123]
miR-657	ISCC	Tissue and plasma	N.A.	Upregulation	[123]
miR-007	LSCC	Tissue	N A	Downregulation	[124]
		Relapse bioma	urkers	Downegulation	
	HNSCC surgical	T:	Durana tan	I I and a standard and a standard and	[105]
	margins HNSCC surgical	lissue	romoter	Hypermethylation	[125]
DCC, CCNA1 and p16INK4A	margins	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[126]
PAX5	margins	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[127]
CDKN2A	LSCC OSCC precursor	Tissue	Nonpromoter	Hypomethylation	[128]
miR-375	lesions	Tissue	N.A.	Downregulation	[129]
		Biomarkers of poor	prognosis		
KL	HNSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[130]
HIN1, RASSF1A and RASSF2	OSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[131]
DAPK	OSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[119]
MGMT	OSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[95,119,132,133]
MINT31	OSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[114]
p16INK4A and p14ARF	OSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[134]
PAX5 and PAX1	HNSCC	Tissue	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[135]
TIMP3	HNSCC	Saliva	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[136]
SEP19 and SHOX2	HNSCC	Plasma	Promoter	Hypermethylation	[137]
EPHX3	OSCC	Pre-operative oral brushing	Exon 1	Hypomethylation	[138]
ITGA4 and MIR193A	OSCC	Pre-operative oral brushing	Exon 2/Promoter	Hypermethylation	[138]
miR-21	LSCC	Tissue	N.A.	Upregulation	[122]
miR-375	LSCC	Tissue	N.A.	Downregulation	[122]
miR-186-5p and miR-374b-5p	HNSCC	Plasma—before treatment	N.A.	Upregulation	[139]
miR-142-3p	HNSCC	Plasma—after treatment	N.A.	Upregulation	[139]

Table 1. Cont.

HNSCC, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; LSCC, laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma; N.A., not applicable; OPSCC, oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma; OSCC, oral squamous cell carcinoma; Ref., references.

Epigenome-wide association studies (EWAS) on different tissue types were carried out to identify tobacco epigenetic signatures. Using blood samples and the HumanMethylation27 assay, Breitling and colleagues identified one CpG locus annotated to F2RL3 that was hypomethylated in heavy smokers relative to never smokers. Former smokers showed methylation patterns similar to never smokers [140]. A following study applying the HumanMethylation450 beadchip, both in lymphoblasts and alveolar macrophages, identified one differentially methylated probe in AHRR gene body when comparing smokers and nonsmokers [141]. Similar findings for AHRR methylation and additional differentially methylated CpGs in CYP1A1 and GFI1 were observed in newborns following maternal smoking [142]. Additionally, in blood-derived DNA analyzed by HumanMethylation 450 assay, a meta-analysis with 15,907 individuals from 16 cohorts identified 18,760 differentially methylated CpGs between current and never smokers, including CpGs mapped to previously identified genes, such as AHRR, RARA, F2RL3, and LRRN3 [143]. A total of 2568 CpGs were also differentially methylated between never and former smokers. The consistent association between the methylation profile of genes involved in xenobiotic metabolism and smoking adds a biological plausibility to the results obtained.

An EWAS carried out in buccal cells from 790 women showed that smoking also leaves a DNA methylation signature in epithelial cells, which can be even more prominent throughout the genome than that determined in blood cells [144]. In this study, the top-ranked CpG sites showed a hypomethylation profile in smokers and affected genes such as *AHHR*, *F2RL3*, and *CYP1A1*, previously identified in blood-based EWAS/smoking studies. While hypomethylated genes were not enriched for specific cell pathways, hypermethylated CpGs were enriched for genes bivalently marked in human embryonic stem cells, and for binding sites of transcription factors associated with stem cell differentiation. These smoking-responsive CpG sites were also differentially methylated in tobacco-associated cancers, suggesting a contribution of these alterations to tumor development.

In OPSCC patients, the blood of 106 never and 303 ever smokers were compared and revealed the differential methylation of 52 CpG sites [145]. Of these, 49 sites had lower methylation levels in smokers, including a CpG mapped to *AHRR*. A similar analysis was performed to predict survival, and 18 CpG sites annotated to three genes (*GFI1, SPEG*, and *PPT2*) were differentially methylated according to both survival and smoking history. Additionally, in OPSCC patients, a DNA methylation score for smoking based on five EWAS was applied on 364 blood samples and was able to explain 51.1% of phenotypic variance [146]. This score showed an accuracy of 0.70 to predict mortality, slightly improving the accuracy of smoking self-report (0.67).

In gene-specific studies, *p16INK4a* hypermethylation was already reported in 9.7% of oral mucosa and/or tongue of healthy smokers [147]. Similarly, higher methylation levels of *p16INK4a* and *MYOD1* were observed in HNSCC from smokers relative to nonsmokers [61]. *SFRP4* hypermethylation seems to be more common in never and former smokers than in current smokers, and it is also independently associated with HPV16 infection [62]. In a study evaluating the methylation profile of 10 genes (*p16INK4a*, *DAPK*, *GSTP1*, *RASSF1*, *BRCA1*, *ECAD*, *MLH1*, *MINT1*, *MINT2*, and *MINT31*), both the methylation index (determined by the frequency of hypermethylated promoters) and the frequency of CpG island methylator phenotype-high (CIMP-high, at least five hypermethylated genes) cases were higher among smokers relative to nonsmokers [78]. In opposition to the hypomethylation profile observed in the EWAS, *CYP1A1* hypermethylation was more frequently observed in HNSCC from smokers relative to healthy tissues from controls [63]. When promoter methylation and gene deletion of *RBSP3*, *LIMD1*, and *CDC25A* were analyzed together in HNSCC, the frequency of alterations was higher in smokers than in nonsmokers [64].

MicroRNAs are also targets of dysregulation by different tobacco components, varying according to route of exposure and head and neck subsite. Immortalized human oral keratinocytes OKF6/TERT exposed to cigarette smoke condensate or chewing tobacco extract showed six and ten differentially expressed microRNAs relative to nontreated cells, respectively, with none in common between treatments [148]. After treatment with smoke

condensate, members of the miR-200 family were downregulated. These data are consistent with their downregulation observed in OSCC patients with smoking/chewing tobacco habits [65]. The exposure of oral keratinocytes OK4 to cigarette extract further showed the upregulation of miR-101, miR-181b, miR-486, and miR-1301 [149].

The exposure of HNSCC cells to NNK induced the expression of miR-21 and miR-155, while decreased miR-422a expression. Overexpression of miR-155 was also associated with the habit of chewing tobacco [66]. MiR-21 expression was further associated with *MSH2* silencing [150]. MSH2 is a member of the mismatch repair pathway, and its downregulation was associated with poor survival of HNSCC patients [151]. NNK can also induce the expression of miR-944 that targets *CISH*, a suppressor of the STAT pathway [152,153]. *CISH* inhibition by miR-944 induces STAT3 activation, modulating the inflammatory response and increasing tumor malignancy [153]. MiR-30a and miR-379 expression levels were downregulated in OSCC cells exposed to NNK. Both miRNAs are downregulated in OSCC relative to adjacent nontumor tissue and target *DNMT3B*. This can lead to the hypermethylation of *ADHFE1* and *ALDH1A2* promoters, and their consequent downregulation [154]. Since both genes are involved in alcohol metabolism, these data suggest an interesting potential mechanism for the synergy between alcohol and tobacco in HNSCC.

MiR-29c downregulation was associated with smoking and worse survival in laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma (LSCC) patients [67]. Additionally, in LSCC, smokers showed miR-202-3p upregulation and downregulation of miR- 4768-3p, miR-548aa, and miR-3713 [68]. Among HNSCC patients, miR-34 family was shown to be upregulated in tumors from smokers relative to the adjacent tissues, while no differences were observed for nonsmokers [69]. Smoking or chewing tobacco was associated with miR-429 and miR-141 downregulation in OSCC patients [148]. In OPSCC, a comparison between smokers and nonsmokers HPV-positive patients revealed 38 differentially expressed miRNAs [70]. Mir-133a-3p was among the downregulated miRNAs, and its expression was further shown to be decreased by treatment with cigarette smoke condensate and associated with EGFR and HuR expression in HNSCC cell lines [70].

## 3.2. HNSCC Etiology and Epigenetics: Alcohol

Epigenetics and metabolism are two substations in the same system, intertwined. This is probably the simplest way to understand how alcohol may induce aberrant DNA methylation (Figure 2, Table 1). Epigenetic writers and erasers are regulated, among other mechanisms, by the availability of their substrates and cofactors. Ten-eleven translocation (TET) enzymes are  $\alpha$ -ketoglutarate-dependent oxygenases involved in active DNA demethylation [155]. Due to this cofactor dependency, TET activity is regulated by different factors that affect the tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA), including hypoxia [156]. For DNMTs activity, the levels of the methyl donor SAM are determinant. SAM is produced by one-carbon metabolism, which relies on the dietary intake of folate and B vitamins. Ethanol is an inhibitor of folate absorption, besides inhibiting different steps of one-carbon metabolism, leading to a reduction of SAM levels. Therefore, alcohol consumption may impair the methylation reactions catalyzed by DNMTs. Indeed, global DNA hypomethylation was observed in the liver of ethanol-fed rats [157]. While the higher intake of folate has already been associated with a decreased risk of HNSCC development [158], the interaction between folate, alcohol, and DNA methylation has been neglected. Nevertheless, several studies have shown an association between DNA methylation patterns and alcohol consumption in different settings, and surprisingly, it is not restricted to DNA hypomethylation.

A recent EWAS, including 13,317 individuals, proposed a 144-CpG signature in whole blood able to discriminate current heavy alcohol drinkers [47]. This model was used to predict all-cause mortality among OPSCC patients, but although it explained 16.5% of phenotypic variance, it showed a similar predictive accuracy to self-reported data [146]. Additionally, in the blood of OPSCC patients, an EWAS identified three new CpG sites and 40 differentially methylated regions associated with alcohol consumption [145]. The

gene with the lowest *p*-value for both analyses was *SLC7A11*, with the methylation levels of one of its CpG decreasing 0.10% per unit increase in alcohol consumption. This gene plays a role in glutathione synthesis, an antioxidant that can be depleted by excessive ROS production during alcohol metabolism [159].

Other gene-specific studies have suggested an association between alcohol consumption and DNA methylation profiles in HNSCC. *P15INK4b* methylation was detected in the oral and throat rinses of 48% of HNSCC patients and 68% of healthy individuals who smoked and/or drank alcohol, but in only 8% of healthy nonsmokers and nondrinker individuals [71]. *FUSSEL18* and *SEPT9* methylation were also associated with alcohol and tobacco consumption history in HNSCC [72]. In a meta-analysis including 18 studies with different sample sources (tumors, liquid biopsies, and buccal scraping), *DAPK* methylation was significantly associated with alcohol consumption (OR = 1.85, 95% CI =  $1.07 \pm 3.21$ ) [73]. *SFRP1*, a member of the secreted frizzled receptor protein family, is hypermethylated in HNSCC and this event is more common in ever drinkers than in never drinkers [62]. Other genes, such as *p16INK4a*, *RASSF1A*, and *FANCF* are also more frequently hypermethylated in HNSCC associated with alcohol consumption [61,74]. On the other hand, *MLH1* methylation seems to be more common in individuals who drink less than 100 mL of alcohol/day in comparison with those who drink larger amounts [75].

Recently, alcohol consumption was associated with an aberrant DNA methylation profile in the blood of HNSCC survivors [160]. This dysregulation affected genes encoding histone and mitochondrial ribosomal proteins, as well as genes involved in toll-like receptor signaling, suggesting that alcohol intake can have a systemic effect, even after treatment.

In comparison with data showing an association between alcohol and DNA methylation in HNSCC, data for such an association with microRNA expression are still limited. As observed for DNA methylation, alcohol metabolism is also related to the expression of microRNAs. ADH1B is a key controller of alcohol metabolism, affecting acetaldehyde levels in the body [161], and its expression was shown to be regulated by miR-205-5p in HNSCC [162]. Other genes related to alcohol metabolism, such as *ADHFE1* and *ALDH1A2*, are indirectly regulated by miR-30a and miR-379-mediated *DNMT3B* downregulation in OSCC [154], as previously mentioned, revealing a crosstalk between the methylation machinery and microRNAs in the xenobiotic response. The dysregulation of genes involved in alcohol metabolism by microRNAs was also associated with aggressive phenotypes. *ADHFE1* and *ALDH1A2* higher expression, as a consequence of miR-30a and miR-379 induction, were associated with proliferation inhibition of OSCC cell lines [154]. It is important to note that ALDH1A2 does not metabolize acetaldehyde efficiently, but it is important to note that ALDH1A2 does not metabolize acetaldehyde efficiently, but it is important in the metabolism of retinoic acid [163]. In addition, *ALDH1A2* is a tumor suppressor candidate in HNSCC [164].

Tumor suppressor genes may also be targets for microRNAs upregulated in HNSCC of alcoholic patients relative to nonalcoholic patients, as shown for miR-30a and miR-934. When miR-30a is inhibited, *BNIP3L*, *PRDM9*, and *SEPT7* upregulation is observed, while miR-934 inhibition leads to *HIPK2*, *HOXA4*, and *MLL3* upregulation. MiR-30a and miR-934 inhibition were also associated with increased sensitivity to cisplatin and decreased cell invasion of HNSCC cell lines [48]. Other microRNAs upregulated in HNSCC of alcoholic patients relative to nonalcoholic patients are miR-3178, miR-675, miR-101, miR-126, miR-3164, and miR-3690 [48].

Finally, miR-375, a classically downregulated microRNA in HNSCC [165], was shown to be upregulated in alcoholic patients [76]. MiR-375 targets the *AEG-1* [166] and *LDHB* oncogenes [167] and its lower expression was previously associated with invasion and worse prognosis [168].

#### 3.3. HNSCC Etiology and Epigenetics: HPV

Regarding HPV infection, viral oncoproteins were shown to modulate levels of DN-MTs (Figure 2) [169]. E6 knockdown in HPV16 infected cervical cancer cell lines led to decreased DNMT1 expression, which was mediated by higher p53 levels [170]. Similarly, HPV16 E7 oncoprotein was also shown to stimulate Dnmt1 activity, both by promoting E2F activity through Rb downregulation [171], and by direct binding [172], with a consequent decreased E-cadherin expression [173]. Therefore, it is expected that HPV infection affects DNA methylation patterns.

Different studies addressed genome-wide DNA methylation differences between HPV-positive and HPV-negative HNSCC (reviewed in [174]). Hypermethylation was more frequent in HPV-positive HNSCC samples and cell lines relative to HPV-negative counterparts [175,176]. Furthermore, a DNA methylation signature for HPV infection composed of five CpG sites was proposed and showed high specificity to discriminate HPV-positive and HPV-negative HNSCC in different datasets, as well as a capacity to predict survival similar to HPV status, determined by viral mRNA levels [175]. In OPSCC, another DNA methylation signature composed of differentially methylated regions in the promoters of five genes (*ALDH1A2*, *OSR2*, *GATA4*, *GRIA4*, and *IRX4*) was shown to predict overall and progression-free survival, independently of HPV status [177].

Promoter methylation of genes recurrently reported altered in HNSCC also seem to differ according to HPV infection. For *p16INK4a*, results are discordant between studies, including hypermethylation [77,78], hypomethylation [79,80], and no differences found in HPV-positive relative to HPV-negative HNSCC cases [178]. This may be a consequence of both the different sample sources (tumors and saliva) and the different tumor subsites used, including oral cavity, oropharynx, both combined, and even HNSCC generally. Therefore, further studies are needed to resolve these discrepancies. *RASSF1A, TIMP3*, and *PCQAP/MED15* were found to be hypomethylated in the saliva of HPV-positive HNSCC [79], while *RXRG, CTNNA2, GHSR*, and *ITGA4* were reported hypermethylated in HPV-positive oropharyngeal tumors [80]. Global DNA methylation also differs according to HPV status, with LINE1 methylation levels being higher in HPV-positive relative to HPV-negative tumors [179–183]. DNA methylation differences in transposable elements according to HPV status were recently shown to affect gene expression, which was further associated with prognosis [183].

Interestingly, DNA methylation patterns also differ when HPV DNA is integrated or episomal, with integration-positive tumors showing a profile more similar to that of HPV-negative HNSCC [20]. *BARX2* and *IRX4* were hypermethylated and silenced, while *SIM2* and *CTSE* showed hypomethylation and higher expression in episomal HPV-HNSCC. Finally, differentially methylated genes were enriched for the cAMP response element-binding protein (CREB) pathway, suggesting different carcinogenic biological mechanisms, depending on whether HPV is integrated.

DNA methylation was further associated with miRNA dysregulation in HPV-associated HNSCC [184]. MiR-139-3p was shown to be downregulated in HPV-positive tumors and cell lines relative to their HPV-negative counterparts, and it was shown to target HPV on-coproteins. In vitro experiments with miR-139-3p mimic led to E6 and E7 downregulation, reduced p16, and increased p53 protein levels. Interestingly, miR-139-3p colocalizes with *PDE2A*, whose promoter is hypermethylated in HPV-positive HNSCC. Corroborating this coregulation, treatment of HPV-positive cell lines with a demethylating agent induced miR-139-3p expression. MiR-375, previously described as a tumor suppressor whose expression is associated with alcohol intake, also seems to be suppressed by DNA methylation in HPV-mediated carcinogenesis [185]. Since HPV E6 and E7 are among miR-375 targets, downregulation of this miRNA contributes to a higher expression of these oncoproteins and a lower expression of their cellular targets, p53 and Rb [186].

Differentiation-associated miRNAs might also take part in HPV-mediated carcinogenesis [187]. As previously mentioned, HPV disturbs the differentiation of infected cells, and this was shown to be mediated, at least in part, by miR-203 downregulation. This miRNA regulates differentiation by targeting p63 and its expression can be downregulated by E7 via the blockage of MAPK pathway [187].

Although the miRNA biogenesis machinery was found to be dysregulated in cervical cancer [188], this is yet to be investigated in HPV-associated HNSCC. Nonetheless, miRNA

signatures according to HPV status were reported in HNSCC. By comparing HPV-positive and HPV-negative tumors with adjacent tissue, 282 and 289 differentially expressed miR-NAs were identified, respectively [189]. Although these numbers were similar, when specific survival-associated miRNAs were evaluated, the expression of 39 miRNAs was associated with prognosis among HPV-positive patients, 16 among HPV-negative patients, and no miRNA was shared. The miRNA survival signatures also differed in accuracy (0.92 for HPV-positive and 0.72 for HPV-negative) and associated signaling pathways. The poor prognosis miRNA signature for HPV-positive patients was associated with immunerelated pathways. In contrast, in HPV-negative cases, it was associated with metabolism and oncogenic pathways, such as WNT and NOTCH signaling.

More recently, the direct comparison of HPV-positive and HPV-negative HNSCC revealed the differential expression of 59 miRNAs, three of which (miR-99a-3p, miR-411-5p, miR-4746-5p) were also associated with overall survival in a multivariate Cox regression analysis [81]. The Gene Set enrichment analysis performed with their potential mRNA targets showed that different pathways were likely to be dysregulated, with genes involved in epithelial–mesenchymal transition being downregulated by miR99a-3p-high/miR-411-5p-low/miR-4746-5p-high. This profile may help to explain the favorable prognosis of these patients.

Oropharyngeal tumors were also compared according to HPV status, revealing the upregulation of miR-320a, miR-222-3p, and miR-93-5p, as well as the downregulation of miR-199a-3p//miR-199b-3p, miR-143, miR-145, and miR-126a in HPV-positive tumors [82]. In tonsillar squamous cell carcinoma, 36 miRNAs were found to be differentially expressed according to HPV status [190].

When comparing HPV-positive HNSCC cell lines with HPV-negative and human foreskin keratinocytes, miR-363, miR-33, and miR-497 were upregulated while miR-155, miR-181a, miR-181b, miR-29a, miR-218, miR-221, miR-222, and miR-142-5p were down-regulated [191]. This miRNA dysregulation was associated with the expression of HPV oncoproteins E6 and E7. The analysis of exosomes further revealed that miR-205-5p and miR-1972 are exclusively detected in HPV-positive and HPV-negative HNSCC cell lines, respectively [192].

Regarding minimally invasive biopsies, a miRNA panel composed of miR-9, miR-134, miR-196b, miR-210, and miR-455 evaluated in the saliva of HNSCC patients was shown to discriminate HPV-positive and HPV-negative cases [193].

Not only previously annotated miRNAs show differential expression according to HPV status. By applying a customized in silico pipeline, Rock and colleagues identified 146 novel miRNAs in samples from HNSCC patients, with three (HNnov-miR-2, HNnov-miR-30, and HNnov-miR-125) being significantly downregulated in HPV-positive tumors [194].

Although most studies bring consistent associations between risk factor exposure and aberrant epigenetic profiles in HNSCC, causal effects were not determined. In the attempt to overcome this limitation, in vivo studies should be performed with a focus on head and neck tissues. As an example, the treatment of mice with dibenzo[def,p]chrysene (DBP), a tobacco carcinogen, was able to induce DNA methylation alterations of 30 loci in oral tissues [195]. *Fgf3*, frequently amplified in HNSCC, was one of the affected genes and showed hypomethylation accompanied by induced expression. Considering that tobacco smoke contains more than 60 different carcinogens [196], alcohol may contribute to carcinogenesis by different mechanisms [197], HPV oncoproteins regulate key epigenetic enzymes [169,170,172,173], and that how all these factors interact is largely unknown, much is yet to be learned considering the effects of risk factor exposure on epigenetic mechanisms in head and neck tissues.

#### 4. Epigenetic Biomarkers in HNSCC

As already mentioned, epigenetic changes coordinate the cellular response to environmental exposures, such as cancer risk factors [198,199]. Thus, even before the histological transformation or acquisition of driver mutations, we can have stochastic or targeted epigenetic changes, the latter sensitizing the cell to transformation, building the pre-cancer epigenetic signatures of the cancerization field (Figure 3) [200,201]. Several of these changes would not only favor the initial gain of a pre-cancer cell phenotype, but would also be maintained or altered after malignant transformation, tumor progression, and metastasis. Thus, epigenetic signatures are not just a consequence of risk factor exposure, or linked to the tissue of origin, but a unique product of these two factors combined [202]. In addition, unlike genetic changes, these signatures are plastic, and can vary from healthy tissue through the cancerization field and tumor progression in a qualitative or quantitative manner depending on the mechanism.



**Figure 3.** The natural history of HNSCC development through an epigenetic perspective. The exposure of the head and neck healthy epithelium to risk factors, such as tobacco and alcohol, may lead to the accumulation of DNA methylation alterations and miRNA aberrant expression even before the first morphological changes are observed. This characterizes a molecular cancerization field that may favor the development of pre-malignant lesions. In head and neck, the presence of leukoplakias and erythroplakias, frequently associated with dysplasia, increases the risk of tumor development, and these lesions are characterized by specific epigenetic alterations. The epigenetic aberrations observed in both the cancerization field and in the pre-malignant lesions, have the potential to be used as early markers of HNSCC carcinogenesis in screening programs. Finally, tumor onset and progression may be followed by further aberrant DNA methylation and miRNA expression. HPV-positive HNSCC epigenetic natural history is largely unknown.

Given this scenario, it is impossible not to notice the relevance and potential of epigenetic changes as biomarkers in oncology [203,204]. In the next section, we focus on epigenetic changes as potential biomarkers in clinical use without emphasizing their contribution to gene and biological regulation. Interestingly, due to the aforementioned plastic characteristic of epigenetic marks, it is possible to identify common epigenetic changes, perhaps with different levels, aimed at more than one biomarker objective (e.g., diagnosis and prognosis). Here, we seek to bring a snapshot of epigenetic changes as biomarkers, technical limitations, and advantages, together with future application prospects.

## 4.1. Biomarkers of the Cancerization Field: From Identification to Surgical Margin and Recurrence

The molecular landscape of HNSCC carcinogenesis has been unraveled, and several candidates for biomarkers to identify pre-cancer lesions in the cancerization field are emerging (Table 1). Except for HPV-positive oropharyngeal tumors, the main genetic alterations in HNSCC are *TP53* mutations, present in up to 84% of cases [23]. *TP53* mutations have become the focus of many studies (perhaps the majority) aimed at genetic characterization of the cancerization field [205–207]. Although less frequently, these changes can already be found in the adjacent nontumoral dysplastic [208,209] and nondysplastic tissues of HNSCC patients [209], becoming a frequent event in key moments of the dysplasia–carcinoma transition [210].

However, *TP53* mutations can be detected throughout the entire gene without clear hotspots [211], requiring techniques that are resourceful and time-consuming, such as next-generation sequencing. In any case, we would still have a high number of false negatives due to wild-type *TP53* tumors and the HPV-positive group, requiring an even larger gene panel to cover the high genetic heterogeneity of this group [23]. By contrast, studies on esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC), which shares the same histology, epithelium of origin and concomitant exposure to the same risk factors as HNSCC, suggest not only that epigenetic alterations such as DNA methylation are more frequent, but could even precede the genetic changes in precursor lesions [212,213].

In HNSCC precursor lesions, *p16INK4a* is one of the first genes inactivated either by deletion or DNA methylation [23,214]. *P16INK4a* promoter hypermethylation was already extensively evaluated, but results vary widely, probably due to the divergence of the target location within the promoter region, and the methodologies applied, mostly methylation-specific PCR (MSP). Despite cost-effectiveness, it is a technique with a predominant qualitative profile, and may suffer a strong bias due to primer design, for example [215]. Interestingly, the methylation profile of *p16INK4a* promoter region does not seem to be associated with HPV status assessed by p16 immunohistochemistry, whereas gene body hypermethylation does [216]. However, *p16INK4a* gene body hypermethylation is likely to occur after its overexpression [217], being more suitable as an infection marker. In addition, deletion is the most frequent *p16INK4a* inactivation mechanism in HPV-negative HNSCC (57%), and practically nonexistent in HPV-positive tumors [23], in which p16 immunostaining is used as a surrogate biomarker of HPV infection [22].

Other genes with aberrant methylation in precursor lesions include *MGMT*, *p14ARF*, *p15INK4b*, *RARB*, and *SOCS-3* [83,84,86,218]; *p16INK4a* and *MGMT* hypermethylation can already be detected in oral rinse [84] and blood [85] of individuals with precursor lesions or a history of OSCC, reducing the invasiveness of the procedure. Higher methylation levels of *p16INK4a*, *p14ARF*, and *p15INK4b* were observed in LSCC precursor lesions [218]. *RARB* is hypomethylated in 27% of normal adjacent HNSCC tissues and in 53% of oral precursor lesions [86]. For more detailed reviews on the evaluation of these genes as biomarkers of the cancerization field, please refer to [219,220].

ZNF282 and PAX1 hypermethylation were originally proposed as biomarkers of cervical carcinoma precursor lesions [221–223]. In the oral cavity, ZNF582 and PAX1 methylation increase as the epithelium goes through transformation, being able to differentiate the visually normal mucosa or with minimal histological changes (hyperplasia or mild dysplasia) from those more advanced pre-cancer changes (moderate or severe dysplasia) and the tumor itself, with sensitivities of 85% and 72% and specificities of 68% and 86%, respectively [87]. Genes involved in the WNT and the MAPK signaling pathways show a similar profile, with aberrant methylation being observed as the precursor lesions progress [224], and may be the focus of future studies.

Although, to the best of our knowledge, whole-genome bisulfite sequencing has not yet been applied to identify DNA methylation alterations throughout HNSCC natural history, next-generation sequencing (NGS) was used to assess the methylation of specific target genes in oral brushing from healthy donors, low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions (LG-SIL), high-grade SIL (HG-SIL), and OSCC patients [88]. Among the seven targets selected, *ZAP70* was hypermethylated in 100% of OSCC and HG-SIL cases, while *GP1BB* hypomethylation was detected in 90.9% of these individuals. Healthy donors did not show alterations of these genes, while LG-SIL showed an intermediate profile. Although the results were encouraging, this was a preliminary study with a limited number of samples.

In addition to DNA methylation, the expression of microRNAs can be used to identify the cancerization field. MiR-204, miR-31, miR-31\*, miR-133a, miR-7, miR-206, and miR-1293 showed overexpression in one or more types of OSCC pre-cancer lesions relative to healthy mucosa [89]. Interestingly, miR-204 is downregulated in oral submucous fibrosis and upregulated in leukoplakia and lichen planus [89]. Similarly, representing this specific pre-cancer lesion expression signature, miR-423 and miR-34b are downregulated in lichen planus, while miR-26c and miR-29a are also downregulated in lichen planus, but overexpressed in leukoplakias [225].

Besides their potential to be applied in the early diagnosis, cancerization field biomarkers can also be used to establish surgical margins, reducing the chance of recurrences. Although histopathological evaluation is widespread, 10–30% of HNSCC patients with free surgical margins will recur [226–228], which is one of the main causes of mortality among HNSCC patients [228,229]. Therefore, a molecular screening of cancerization field alterations may predict this phenomenon and, therefore, improve patients' prognosis [229]. Approximately 25% of HNSCC surgical margins contain genetic alterations [230], and *TP53* mutations were already associated with recurrence [231]. Epigenetic alterations, however, also show great potential to predict relapse.

*P16INK4A* and/or *MGMT* promoters were found hypermethylated in 32% of HNSCC surgical margins, despite all being considered negative in the histopathological analysis [232]. When evaluated together at the tumor margin, the methylation levels of *EDNRB* and *HOXA9* are predictors of locoregional recurrence-free survival, with their hypermethylation increasing the chance of recurrence approximately three-fold [125].

The analysis of a DNA methylation panel composed of three genes (*DCC*, *CCNA1*, and *p16INK4A*) in surgical margins was able to predict all recurrences in five out of 47 HNSCC patients [126]. In OSCC patients, *ZNF582* and *PAX1* methylation levels are reduced after treatment, and interestingly they rise again at the recurrence site a few months before the diagnosis [87]. In HNSCC surgical margins, the promoter methylation of another Homeobox gene, *PAX5*, increases the risk of locoregional recurrence approximately four-fold [127]. While most methylation studies focus on the promoter region of *CDKN2A* (which encodes both *p14ARF* and *p16INK4A*), its gene body hypermethylation and increased *p14ARF* expression in LSCC were associated with a lower incidence of locoregional recurrence [128]. More recently, a genome-wide DNA methylation screening identified 392 differentially methylated regions associated with recurrence when OSCC free surgical margins were analyzed. Accuracy of up to 98% was achieved to discriminate relapsed and nonrelapsed OSCC patients when a 14-CpG panel signature was applied [233].

MiRNA expression also shows recurrence predictive value. Oral precursor lesions of patients who relapsed showed miR-375 downregulation relative to those who did not relapse, presenting an accuracy of 95.7% and sensitivity of 90% to discriminate the two groups. Despite outstanding discrimination values, it is important to highlight that only six patients were included in the nonrelapsed group [129].

Although little explored in general, the oral cavity has the most well-defined and explored epigenetic cancerization field among HNSCC. This might be a consequence of the relatively easy access to the tumor site and the visual identification of precursor lesions, such as leukoplakias. In addition to the need to gather more data on other head and neck sites and to consistently validate the findings, studies on epigenetic alterations of the cancerization field should adopt more sensitive methodologies, and explore alterations in the mucosa of individuals with low and high exposure to risk factors, different types of precursor lesions, and cancer. Further, large-scale platforms may add new information and help to identify new biomarkers.

#### 4.2. Diagnostic Biomarkers

In comparison to the few common genetic alterations found in HNSCC generally, DNA methylation shows more frequent and subsite-specific changes, an important asset for molecular diagnosis [24,212,213,234]. P16INK4A is hypermethylated in 27-44% of HNSCC [93,97,98,235]; however, it has already been reported that almost half of the samples could not be analyzed due to loss of heterozygosity [98,236]. CDH1 is hypermethylated in 35–43% [93,95,235], DAPK in 43% [73], and MGMT in one-third of HNSCC cases [98,103,113,235,237], and hypermethylation of the latter is independent of HPV status. This hypermethylation profile is not exclusive to the tumor and can be observed in the cancerization field, as already mentioned. For example, adjacent tissues show DAPK hypermethylation in 20% of samples [73]. GNAT1 and SEMA3B are hypermethylated in 75% and 77% of OSCC and 56% and 38% in adjacent tissues, respectively. These and other studies emphasize the importance of applying a sensitive methodology to define and differentiate this hypermethylation status [118,238]. Aberrant methylation in other genes, such as FAM135B and ZNF610 hypermethylation and HOXA9 and DCC hypomethylation, show accuracies of 79%, 93%, 93%, and 93% in distinguishing adjacent nontumor tissues and HNSCC, respectively [239]. Table 1 brings additional candidate diagnostic biomarkers based on aberrant DNA methylation in HNSCC.

MicroRNA dysregulation was also evaluated as a diagnostic biomarker. In LSCC, miR-375 is downregulated, while miR-21 is overexpressed, and their expression ratio has a 99% accuracy to discriminate tumor and adjacent nontumor tissue [122]. In OSCC, miR-204 and mir-144 are overexpressed, while miR-193b-5p and miR-370-3p are downregulated, and have an individual accuracy of 91%, 85%, 57%, and 61%, respectively, and a combined accuracy of 92% to discriminate the tissues [123]. Combining the expression of miR-657 and miR-1287, an accuracy of 97% and sensitivity of 86% were achieved in early stage LSCC [124].

Despite the promising discrimination values mentioned above, few studies share the same findings on miRNA dysregulation in HNSCC (see Table S1 and Figure 4) [240]. This underscores the high intertumoral heterogeneity and the need for establishing subsite-specific biomarkers.



**Figure 4.** Venn diagram with the number of microRNAs differentially expressed (upregulated and downregulated) between tumors and nontumor tissues in several studies with specific subsets of HNSCC (larynx [241–244], nasopharynx [245], hypopharynx [246,247], and oral cavity [248–251]) or aggregating several sites in the analysis (Misc./HNSCC) [252–254]. The list of differentially expressed microRNAs can be found in Table S1.

DNA methylation and microRNAs expression can be sensitively analyzed in fluids, decreasing the diagnosis's invasiveness and even allowing screening programs in groups at risk. Perhaps the greatest group at risk for HNSCC development is composed of individuals with a previous HNSCC diagnosis, since 9–16% develops a SPT, and 49% in another region of the head and neck [255–257]. Noninvasive diagnosis can facilitate the follow-up and SPT early detection, increasing the chances of survival.

With the exception of the oral cavity, access to other sites of the head and neck might be challenging, and less invasive diagnostic techniques are required. The assessment of epigenetic biomarkers in body fluids, such as saliva and blood, has emerged as an alternative and may even impact the biomarker performance [258]. HNSCC patients already show a global hypomethylation in whole blood, estimated by the evaluation of LINE1 transposable elements. However, in addition to being a generic tumor biomarker [259–264], global methylation levels showed overlap between HNSCC patients and controls, jeopardizing their discrimination capacity [110]. *THF* methylation profile was able to differentiate with 93% accuracy (86% sensitivity) individuals without cancer from those with OSCC or OP-SCC using oral rinse [265]. The methylation profile of *MED15* promoter region in the saliva of HNSCC patients showed an accuracy of 63–70% [266]. In the plasma of LSCC patients and individuals without cancer, 26 differentially expressed microRNAs were identified, 17 overexpressed, and 9 downregulated in patients [243].

In addition to tumor diagnosis, the DNA methylation profile can also help to identify the risk factors associated with tumor development. By evaluating the saliva of HPV-negative HNSCC patients, *RASSF1A*, *p16INK4A*, *TIMP3*, and *PCQAP/MED15* genes were found hypermethylated relative to healthy individuals and showed a sensitivity of 71% to discriminate the groups [79]. The same genes are hypomethylated in the saliva of HPV-positive HNSCC patients relative to healthy individuals and distinguished the groups with a sensitivity of 80% [79]. A panel with the methylation profile of *p16INK4A*, *RASSF1A*, *TIMP3*, and *PCQAP/MED15* genes in saliva was able to differentiate OSCC and OPSCC patients from individuals without cancer with 91% and 92% sensitivity and 99% and 92% specificity, respectively [117].

A common feature of HNSCC is the marked disparity in the overall survival of patients diagnosed in early stages compared to the more advanced ones. Screenings widely applied in oncology, such as those for breast [267] and cervical [267] cancer early diagnosis have sensitivities of approximately 96%. Studies aimed at tumor screening in the oral cavity showed that despite a good number of pre-cancer lesions were identified (~10%), the number of carcinomas found was low [268], with a sensitivity of 71–76% [269,270]. However, even with the low frequency of tumors, reduced staging and improved overall survival were observed in patients due to screening, showing that it can be worthwhile [269].

## 4.3. Biomarkers of Treatment Response and Prognosis

Despite advances in oncology, the average five-year survival of HNSCC patients remains the same, 13–57%, varying according to the affected primary site [271,272]. The therapeutic approach depends on the location, resectability, and strategies focused on organ preservation, including surgery, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy [273]. The gold-standard treatment for the disease is surgery, which may be combined with radiotherapy and, in locally advanced cases, chemotherapy based on taxanes and platinum [273].

In HNSCC, molecular changes and etiology can drive treatment choice. Patients with HPV-positive OPSCC respond better to therapy, and treatment deintensification was already proposed [274]. By contrast, smokers and drinkers generally show a poor response to treatment [275–277]. In this context, epigenetic changes have been proposed as surrogate markers of therapeutic response and epigenetic drugs were shown to resensitize cell lines to conventional therapy.

DNA methylation alterations have been recently shown to predict locoregional recurrence after surgery, for example. By evaluating the methylation levels of 13 genes by bisulfite NGS in pre-operative OSCC samples collected by oral bushing, Gissi and colleagues showed that five CpG sites in three genes (*EPHX3*, *ITGA4*, and *MiR193A*) were able to predict adverse outcomes with high accuracy (AUC = 0.85) [138].

HNSCC cell lines resistant to irradiation showed global hypermethylation and differential methylation and expression of up to 84 genes relative to sensitive strains [278]. Among these, *CCND2*, a cell cycle controller, was hypermethylated in the promoter region [278]. *DAPK* promoter hypermethylation was detected in cell lines resistant to EGFR inhibitors, one of the few target therapies approved by the FDA to treat HNSCC patients [279,280]. Interestingly, *DAPK* knockout itself is able to induce resistance against these drugs [281]. In taxol-resistant nasopharyngeal carcinoma cell lines, global hypermethylation and 48 differentially methylated genes (30 hypermethylated and 18 hypomethylated) were observed, and treatment with demethylating agents could resensitize cells to chemotherapy [282]. Treatment with demethylating agents also sensitizes HNSCC cell lines to cisplatin [283].

Dozens of miRNAs were also found differentially expressed in HNSCC cell lines resistant to therapy [284,285]. Overexpression of let-7c, let-7d, let-7e, let-7g, and miR-20b [286], and downregulation of miR-200b, miR-15b [287], and miR-181a [288] were associated with platinum resistance.

More recently, FDA also approved immunotherapy based on PD1 and PD-L1 immune checkpoint blockade (pembrolizumab and nivolumab) for treatment of platinum-refractory metastatic HNSCC [289]. *PD-L1* and *PD-L2* promoter methylation levels are correlated with their expression and associated with HPV infection in HNSCC. Therefore, their assessment might be a promising predictive biomarker for treatment [290]. Furthermore, *PD-1* hypermethylation was already associated with a worse prognosis in HNSCC [291].

Regarding prognosis, worse overall and/or disease-free survival in HNSCC were associated with promoter hypermethylation of *KL* [130], *HIN1* [131], *RASSF1A/RASSF2* [131], *MGMT* [95,119,132,133], *DAPK* [119], *MINT31* [114], *p16INK4A*, and *p14ARF* [134]. Interestingly, *PAX5* and *PAX1* promoter methylation is associated with poorer overall survival in African-American patients compared to nonwhite Latinos [135].

A higher miR-21 expression or lower miR-375 expression was associated with a worse prognosis in LSCC [122]. In OPSCC, a panel with miR-142-3p, miR-31, miR-146a, miR-

26b, miR-24, and miR-193b can predict survival regardless of HPV status or other clinical characteristics [292]. MiRNA signatures to predict overall survival (miR-107, miR-151, miR-492), disease-free survival (miR-107, miR-182, miR-20b, miR-151, miR-361), and distant relapse-free survival (miR324-5p, miR-492, miR-151, miR-361, miR-152) among OPSCC patients were described in a different study from the same year [293].

Epigenetic biomarkers in liquid biopsies also show promising results in predicting patient prognosis. *TIMP3* promoter hypermethylation detected in HNSCC patients' saliva seems to be an independent marker of recurrence-free survival [136]. Higher *SEPT9* and *SHOX2* methylation levels in cell-free circulating DNA in the plasma of HNSCC patients were associated with worse overall survival and increased the chances of death approximately 5.2 and 2.3-fold, respectively [137]. When detected in the plasma of HNSCC patients, the high expression of miR-186-5p and miR-374b-5p before treatment and miR-142-3p after treatment were associated with reduced locoregional control. The expression of miR-142-3p was also associated with reduced disease-free progression [139].

#### 4.4. The Epilogue of Epigenetic Biomarkers in HNSCC

The acquisition of epigenetic alterations due to risk factor exposure and transformation in a plastic but stable fashion, and their independence of genetic changes frequency present good potential for epigenetic biomarker development. These changes can be detected early during tumor development, enabling screening programs and increasing survival rates. Furthermore, the same marker has the potential to be used to predict more than one endpoint, such as diagnosis and recurrence, decreasing development time and cost. However, the establishment of the biomarker must be cautious, reproducible, and apply primarily sensitive methodologies.

In the case of DNA methylation, most studies cited here applied MSP or quantitative-MSP (qMSP) for biomarker identification, either directly or after selecting targets from microarray data. Although these techniques are quite user-friendly and generally available, they are subject to amplification biases and usually interrogate single CpG sites, which may impair the interpretation of results. Additionally, MSP does not provide a quantitative measure of methylation levels. This is especially relevant when we consider that pools of cells or of circulating DNA (in liquid biopsies) are usually analyzed. Since loci methylation will vary according to cell type and exposures, DNA methylation levels should be considered as a continuous and not a qualitative variable. Thus, bisulfite pyrosequencing or bisulfite amplicon–NGS could be more suitable for identifying potential biomarkers. Both techniques provide quantitative measures of DNA methylation levels and interrogate a higher number of CpG sites, but have a higher cost and require equipment that is not available in every research or clinical laboratory. Finally, all these techniques require a prior conversion of unmethylated cytosines into uracil by DNA treatment with sodium bisulfite. Despite its widespread use, this treatment might be an additional challenge for the analysis of poor-quality DNA. When these molecules are extracted from formalin-fixed paraffinembedded (FFPE) tissues or from liquid biopsies, they are usually highly degraded, and the treatment with sodium bisulfite induces further degradation. However, the alternatives, such as the use of methylation-sensitive restriction enzymes or CpG methylation immunoprecipitation suffer from lower sensitivity. Based on these considerations, the ideal combination of techniques to evaluate DNA methylation alterations as biomarkers will depend on sample type, difference range, resources available, and biomarker sensitivity, among other factors. Nevertheless, after the establishment of methylation and discrimination levels, the construction of low-cost and more qualitative tests (such as qMSP) could be valid to decrease time and cost [294].

Although generally less explored than DNA methylation, miRNA-based biomarker development in HNSCC seems more advanced in terms of methodology. While many candidates are identified by microarray, a semiquantitative technique, their validation and assessment in other cohorts and sample sources are usually accomplished by reverse transcription followed by quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). This is a widespread quantitative approach already applied in the clinics, as recently observed in COVID-19 diagnosis. The major challenge in the development of these biomarkers, however, is probably the definition of cutoffs for distinguishing groups, although this can be overcome by reproducibility studies, necessary for the development of any biomarker.

It is important to note that some genetic factors can affect the performance of the epigenetic biomarker. For example, *MTHFR* polymorphisms can affect *p16lNK4A* and *MGMT* methylation levels in the oral cavity [295,296]. Even unexpected factors, such as pregnancy with intrauterine growth restriction, can cause aberrant methylation, such as *RASSF1A* hypermethylation [297], also observed in HNSCC [298]. In this context, the development of panels can be more sensitive and specific, avoiding interference from different factors and the generation of false negatives. The panel can be applied in minimally invasive approaches such as body fluids, where epigenetic changes are highly stable, or even in tumor biopsies, when available [299,300].

Until now, most of the proposed biomarkers are based on promoter hypermethylation of tumor suppressor genes, the mechanism generally involved in their silencing. Thus, the methylation status of these genes may also be indicative of the use of demethylating agents in the treatment, aiming for their reactivation. This bias towards promoters highlights the need for further studies characterizing the methylation profile in other genomic regions, such as intergenic regions, enhancers, and gene bodies.

Although less explored than DNA methylation and miRNAs, histone modifications have also been reported in HNSCC and have been associated with patients' outcomes and cancer phenotypes. This is another potential field for the development of biomarkers, not addressed in this review (for further information, please refer to [285,301–304]), and should be further explored. In OSCC, H3K9ac reduction was associated with the activation of epithelial–mesenchyme transition, cell proliferation, and poor prognosis [305]; also in OSCC, the activation of Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling and the consequent induction of cell proliferation and invasion were associated with increased H3K27ac through the activation of *PLAC2*, a long-noncoding RNA [306]. Finally, high H3K27me3 and low H3K4ac levels were associated with poor prognosis [307], while reduced H3K4me3 and increased H3K4me2 levels were observed in OSCC relative to normal tissues [308], suggesting that histone methylation could also be an OSCC biomarker.

As mentioned previously, most studies considered all HNSCC sites as a single entity or focused on the oral cavity, probably due to the easier access and higher tumor occurrence. This might be an additional challenge because of differences in carcinogenesis mechanisms behind each specific subsite. Thus, the epilogue of HNSCC biomarkers is still blurry, and epigenetic changes can be an eye drop.

## 5. Conclusions

As highlighted in this review, epigenetic alterations are a common feature of HNSCC, and affect all steps in their natural history. In this context, intratumor heterogeneity, a major cause of cancer treatment failure and relapse, cannot be addressed only genetically. Epigenetics confers different phenotypes to subclones and, due to its reversibility, emerges as a potential therapeutic target. Therefore, to improve clinical management, it is of utmost importance that all professionals involved in patient care come to know this somewhat unexplored field.

Thus far, most studies have focused on the methylation of promoters of tumor suppressor genes. Although this approach has already identified putative carcinogenic pathways and biomarkers, much is yet to be learned from epigenetic alterations in HNSCC. Not only the methylation of other genes and other genomic regions, but also other players, including noncoding RNAs and chromatin remodelers, should be explored. For example, global hypomethylation, associated with poor prognosis in other cancer types [189,309–311], seems to differ according to HPV status and could help explain the prognosis differences observed. Furthermore, mutations in the histone methyltransferases *NSD1* and *NSD2* define a group of good prognosis among LSCC patients, but not in other HNSCC subtypes [312]. These

data highlight the importance of painting a more detailed canvas of epigenetic landscape in HNSCC. The integration of different epigenetic mechanisms and the comprehension of both inter- and intratumoral heterogeneity may help achieve more personalized HNSCC patient care.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10 .3390/cancers13225630/s1, Table S1: MicroRNAs with altered expression in HNSCC.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, D.C., T.d.A.S., F.D., L.F.R.P. and S.C.S.-L.; writing—original draft preparation, D.C., S.C.S.-L.; writing—review and editing, T.d.A.S., F.D., L.F.R.P., S.C.S.-L.; visualization, D.C.; supervision, S.C.S.-L.; funding acquisition, S.C.S.-L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding. The APC was funded by Fundação Carlos Chagas Filho de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ). S.C.S-L. is a scholar of FAPERJ (#E-26/202.918/2019).

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

## References

- Bray, F.; Ferlay, J.; Soerjomataram, I.; Siegel, R.L.; Torre, L.A.; Jemal, A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J. Clin.* 2018, 68, 394–424. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Miranda-Galvis, M.; Loveless, R.; Kowalski, L.P.; Teng, Y. Impacts of Environmental Factors on Head and Neck Cancer Pathogenesis and Progression. *Cells* 2021, 10, 389. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Jaenisch, R.; Bird, A. Epigenetic regulation of gene expression: How the genome integrates intrinsic and environmental signals. *Nat. Genet.* **2003**, *33*, 245–254. [CrossRef]
- 4. Leemans, C.R.; Braakhuis, B.J.; Brakenhoff, R.H. The molecular biology of head and neck cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2011**, *11*, 9–22. [CrossRef]
- 5. Brierley, J.D.; Gospodarowicz, M.K.; Wittekind, C. *TNM Classification of Malignant Tumours*; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2017.
- Braakhuis, B.J.; Tabor, M.P.; Leemans, C.R.; van der Waal, I.; Snow, G.B.; Brakenhoff, R.H. Second primary tumors and field cancerization in oral and oropharyngeal cancer: Molecular techniques provide new insights and definitions. *Head Neck* 2002, 24, 198–206. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Slaughter, D.P.; Southwick, H.W.; Smejkal, W. Field cancerization in oral stratified squamous epithelium; clinical implications of multicentric origin. *Cancer* 1953, 6, 963–968. [CrossRef]
- 8. Gillis, T.M.; Incze, J.; Strong, M.S.; Vaughan, C.W.; Simpson, G.T. Natural history and management of keratosis, atypia, carcinomain situ, and microinvasive cancer of the larynx. *Am. J. Surg.* **1983**, *146*, 512–516. [CrossRef]
- 9. Mello, F.W.; Miguel, A.F.P.; Dutra, K.L.; Porporatti, A.L.; Warnakulasuriya, S.; Guerra, E.N.S.; Rivero, E.R.C. Prevalence of oral potentially malignant disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Oral. Pathol. Med.* **2018**, *47*, 633–640. [CrossRef]
- Speight, P.M.; Khurram, S.A.; Kujan, O. Oral potentially malignant disorders: Risk of progression to malignancy. *Oral Surg. Oral Med. Oral Pathol. Oral Radiol.* 2018, 125, 612–627. [CrossRef]
- 11. Marur, S.; D'Souza, G.; Westra, W.H.; Forastiere, A.A. HPV-associated head and neck cancer: A virus-related cancer epidemic. *Lancet Oncol.* 2010, *11*, 781–789. [CrossRef]
- Ryerson, A.B.; Peters, E.S.; Coughlin, S.S.; Chen, V.W.; Gillison, M.L.; Reichman, M.E.; Wu, X.; Chaturvedi, A.K.; Kawaoka, K. Burden of potentially human papillomavirus-associated cancers of the oropharynx and oral cavity in the US, 1998–2003. *Cancer* 2008, 113, 2901–2909. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mahal, B.A.; Catalano, P.J.; Haddad, R.I.; Hanna, G.J.; Kass, J.I.; Schoenfeld, J.D.; Tishler, R.B.; Margalit, D.N. Incidence and Demographic Burden of HPV-Associated Oropharyngeal Head and Neck Cancers in the United States. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev.* 2019, 28, 1660–1667. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Klussmann, J.P.; Weissenborn, S.J.; Wieland, U.; Dries, V.; Kolligs, J.; Jungehuelsing, M.; Eckel, H.E.; Dienes, H.P.; Pfister, H.J.; Fuchs, P.G. Prevalence, distribution, and viral load of human papillomavirus 16 DNA in tonsillar carcinomas. *Cancer* **2001**, *92*, 2875–2884. [CrossRef]
- 15. Begum, S.; Westra, W.H. Basaloid squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck is a mixed variant that can be further resolved by HPV status. *Am. J. Surg. Pathol.* **2008**, *32*, 1044–1050. [CrossRef]
- 16. Buexm, L.A.; Soares-Lima, S.C.; Brennan, P.; Fernandes, P.V.; de Souza Almeida Lopes, M.; Nascimento de Carvalho, F.; Santos, I.C.; Dias, L.F.; de Queiroz Chaves Lourenço, S.; Ribeiro Pinto, L.F. Hpv impact on oropharyngeal cancer patients treated at the largest cancer center from Brazil. *Cancer Lett.* **2020**, *477*, 70–75. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 17. Faraji, F.; Zaidi, M.; Fakhry, C.; Gaykalova, D.A. Molecular mechanisms of human papillomavirus-related carcinogenesis in head and neck cancer. *Microbes Infect.* 2017, 19, 464–475. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 18. Scheffner, M.; Werness, B.A.; Huibregtse, J.M.; Levine, A.J.; Howley, P.M. The E6 oncoprotein encoded by human papillomavirus types 16 and 18 promotes the degradation of p53. *Cell* **1990**, *63*, 1129–1136. [CrossRef]
- 19. Boyer, S.N.; Wazer, D.E.; Band, V. E7 protein of human papilloma virus-16 induces degradation of retinoblastoma protein through the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. *Cancer Res.* **1996**, *56*, 4620–4624.
- Parfenov, M.; Pedamallu, C.S.; Gehlenborg, N.; Freeman, S.S.; Danilova, L.; Bristow, C.A.; Lee, S.; Hadjipanayis, A.G.; Ivanova, E.V.; Wilkerson, M.D.; et al. Characterization of HPV and host genome interactions in primary head and neck cancers. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2014, 111, 15544–15549. [CrossRef]
- 21. Khleif, S.N.; DeGregori, J.; Yee, C.L.; Otterson, G.A.; Kaye, F.J.; Nevins, J.R.; Howley, P.M. Inhibition of cyclin D-CDK4/CDK6 activity is associated with an E2F-mediated induction of cyclin kinase inhibitor activity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1996**, *93*, 4350–4354. [CrossRef]
- 22. Prigge, E.S.; Arbyn, M.; von Knebel Doeberitz, M.; Reuschenbach, M. Diagnostic accuracy of p16. *Int. J. Cancer* 2017, 140, 1186–1198. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Network, C.G.A. Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. *Nature* **2015**, *517*, 576–582. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Soares-Lima, S.C.; Mehanna, H.; Camuzi, D.; de Souza-Santos, P.T.; Simão, T.A.; Nicolau-Neto, P.; Almeida Lopes, M.S.; Cuenin, C.; Talukdar, F.R.; Batis, N.; et al. Upper Aerodigestive Tract Squamous Cell Carcinomas Show Distinct Overall DNA Methylation Profiles and Different Molecular Mechanisms behind WNT Signaling Disruption. *Cancers* **2021**, *13*, 3014. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lokk, K.; Modhukur, V.; Rajashekar, B.; Märtens, K.; Mägi, R.; Kolde, R.; Koltšina, M.; Nilsson, T.K.; Vilo, J.; Salumets, A.; et al. DNA methylome profiling of human tissues identifies global and tissue-specific methylation patterns. *Genome Biol.* 2014, 15, r54. [CrossRef]
- 26. Mancarella, D.; Plass, C. Epigenetic signatures in cancer: Proper controls, current challenges and the potential for clinical translation. *Genome Med.* **2021**, *13*, 23. [CrossRef]
- 27. Berger, S.L.; Kouzarides, T.; Shiekhattar, R.; Shilatifard, A. An operational definition of epigenetics. *Genes Dev.* **2009**, *23*, 781–783. [CrossRef]
- 28. Pfister, S.X.; Ashworth, A. Marked for death: Targeting epigenetic changes in cancer. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **2017**, *16*, 241–263. [CrossRef]
- 29. Kelly, A.D.; Issa, J.J. The promise of epigenetic therapy: Reprogramming the cancer epigenome. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **2017**, *42*, 68–77. [CrossRef]
- 30. Hermann, A.; Goyal, R.; Jeltsch, A. The Dnmt1 DNA-(cytosine-C5)-methyltransferase methylates DNA processively with high preference for hemimethylated target sites. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2004**, 279, 48350–48359. [CrossRef]
- 31. Okano, M.; Bell, D.W.; Haber, D.A.; Li, E. DNA methyltransferases Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b are essential for de novo methylation and mammalian development. *Cell* **1999**, *99*, 247–257. [CrossRef]
- 32. Jones, P.A.; Baylin, S.B. The epigenomics of cancer. Cell 2007, 128, 683–692. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Neri, F.; Rapelli, S.; Krepelova, A.; Incarnato, D.; Parlato, C.; Basile, G.; Maldotti, M.; Anselmi, F.; Oliviero, S. Intragenic DNA methylation prevents spurious transcription initiation. *Nature* **2017**, *543*, 72–77. [CrossRef]
- 34. Yoo, C.B.; Jones, P.A. Epigenetic therapy of cancer: Past, present and future. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 2006, 5, 37–50. [CrossRef]
- Taberlay, P.C.; Statham, A.L.; Kelly, T.K.; Clark, S.J.; Jones, P.A. Reconfiguration of nucleosome-depleted regions at distal regulatory elements accompanies DNA methylation of enhancers and insulators in cancer. *Genome Res.* 2014, 24, 1421–1432. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Bartel, D.P. MicroRNAs: Genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function. Cell 2004, 116, 281–297. [CrossRef]
- Pu, M.; Chen, J.; Tao, Z.; Miao, L.; Qi, X.; Wang, Y.; Ren, J. Regulatory network of miRNA on its target: Coordination between transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression. *Cell Mol. Life Sci.* 2019, *76*, 441–451. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
   Bartel, D.P. MicroRNAs: Target recognition and regulatory functions. *Cell* 2009, *136*, 215–233. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Smith, I.M.; Mydlarz, W.K.; Mithani, S.K.; Califano, J.A. DNA global hypomethylation in squamous cell head and neck cancer
- associated with smoking, alcohol consumption and stage. Int. J. Cancer 2007, 121, 1724–1728. [CrossRef]
- 40. Lechner, M.; Frampton, G.M.; Fenton, T.; Feber, A.; Palmer, G.; Jay, A.; Pillay, N.; Forster, M.; Cronin, M.T.; Lipson, D.; et al. Targeted next-generation sequencing of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma identifies novel genetic alterations in HPV+ and HPV- tumors. *Genome Med.* **2013**, *5*, 49. [CrossRef]
- 41. Holubekova, V.; Mendelova, A.; Jasek, K.; Mersakova, S.; Zubor, P.; Lasabova, Z. Epigenetic regulation by DNA methylation and miRNA molecules in cancer. *Future Oncol.* **2017**, *13*, 2217–2222. [CrossRef]
- 42. Duursma, A.M.; Kedde, M.; Schrier, M.; le Sage, C.; Agami, R. miR-148 targets human DNMT3b protein coding region. *RNA* 2008, 14, 872–877. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fabbri, M.; Garzon, R.; Cimmino, A.; Liu, Z.; Zanesi, N.; Callegari, E.; Liu, S.; Alder, H.; Costinean, S.; Fernandez-Cymering, C.; et al. MicroRNA-29 family reverts aberrant methylation in lung cancer by targeting DNA methyltransferases 3A and 3B. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2007, *104*, 15805–15810. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Duan, R.; Pak, C.; Jin, P. Single nucleotide polymorphism associated with mature miR-125a alters the processing of pri-miRNA. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **2007**, *16*, 1124–1131. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 45. Yanaihara, N.; Caplen, N.; Bowman, E.; Seike, M.; Kumamoto, K.; Yi, M.; Stephens, R.M.; Okamoto, A.; Yokota, J.; Tanaka, T.; et al. Unique microRNA molecular profiles in lung cancer diagnosis and prognosis. *Cancer Cell* **2006**, *9*, 189–198. [CrossRef]
- 46. Ghantous, Y.; Schussel, J.L.; Brait, M. Tobacco and alcohol-induced epigenetic changes in oral carcinoma. *Curr. Opin. Oncol.* 2018, 30, 152–158. [CrossRef]
- 47. Liu, C.; Marioni, R.E.; Hedman, Å.; Pfeiffer, L.; Tsai, P.C.; Reynolds, L.M.; Just, A.C.; Duan, Q.; Boer, C.G.; Tanaka, T.; et al. A DNA methylation biomarker of alcohol consumption. *Mol. Psychiatry* **2018**, *23*, 422–433. [CrossRef]
- 48. Saad, M.A.; Kuo, S.Z.; Rahimy, E.; Zou, A.E.; Korrapati, A.; Rahimy, M.; Kim, E.; Zheng, H.; Yu, M.A.; Wang-Rodriguez, J.; et al. Alcohol-dysregulated miR-30a and miR-934 in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Mol. Cancer* **2015**, *14*, 181. [CrossRef]
- 49. Boscolo-Rizzo, P.; Furlan, C.; Lupato, V.; Polesel, J.; Fratta, E. Novel insights into epigenetic drivers of oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma: Role of HPV and lifestyle factors. *Clin. Epigenetics* **2017**, *9*, 124. [CrossRef]
- 50. Božinović, K.; Sabol, I.; Dediol, E.; Milutin Gašperov, N.; Manojlović, S.; Vojtechova, Z.; Tachezy, R.; Grce, M. Genome-wide miRNA profiling reinforces the importance of miR-9 in human papillomavirus associated oral and oropharyngeal head and neck cancer. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 2306. [CrossRef]
- 51. Teneng, I.; Montoya-Durango, D.E.; Quertermous, J.L.; Lacy, M.E.; Ramos, K.S. Reactivation of L1 retrotransposon by benzo(a)pyrene involves complex genetic and epigenetic regulation. *Epigenetics* **2011**, *6*, 355–367. [CrossRef]
- Satta, R.; Maloku, E.; Zhubi, A.; Pibiri, F.; Hajos, M.; Costa, E.; Guidotti, A. Nicotine decreases DNA methyltransferase 1 expression and glutamic acid decarboxylase 67 promoter methylation in GABAergic interneurons. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2008, 105, 16356–16361. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lin, R.K.; Hsieh, Y.S.; Lin, P.; Hsu, H.S.; Chen, C.Y.; Tang, Y.A.; Lee, C.F.; Wang, Y.C. The tobacco-specific carcinogen NNK induces DNA methyltransferase 1 accumulation and tumor suppressor gene hypermethylation in mice and lung cancer patients. *J. Clin. Investig.* 2010, 120, 521–532. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Mortusewicz, O.; Schermelleh, L.; Walter, J.; Cardoso, M.C.; Leonhardt, H. Recruitment of DNA methyltransferase I to DNA repair sites. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2005, 102, 8905–8909. [CrossRef]
- 55. Cuozzo, C.; Porcellini, A.; Angrisano, T.; Morano, A.; Lee, B.; Di Pardo, A.; Messina, S.; Iuliano, R.; Fusco, A.; Santillo, M.R.; et al. DNA damage, homology-directed repair, and DNA methylation. *PLoS Genet.* **2007**, *3*, e110. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Di, Y.P.; Zhao, J.; Harper, R. Cigarette smoke induces MUC5AC protein expression through the activation of Sp1. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2012**, *287*, 27948–27958. [CrossRef]
- 57. Kadonaga, J.T.; Carner, K.R.; Masiarz, F.R.; Tjian, R. Isolation of cDNA encoding transcription factor Sp1 and functional analysis of the DNA binding domain. *Cell* **1987**, *51*, 1079–1090. [CrossRef]
- 58. Han, L.; Lin, I.G.; Hsieh, C.L. Protein binding protects sites on stable episomes and in the chromosome from de novo methylation. *Mol. Cell Biol.* **2001**, *21*, 3416–3424. [CrossRef]
- 59. Lee, K.W.; Pausova, Z. Cigarette smoking and DNA methylation. Front. Genet. 2013, 4, 132. [CrossRef]
- Liu, Q.; Liu, L.; Zhao, Y.; Zhang, J.; Wang, D.; Chen, J.; He, Y.; Wu, J.; Zhang, Z.; Liu, Z. Hypoxia induces genomic DNA demethylation through the activation of HIF-1α and transcriptional upregulation of MAT2A in hepatoma cells. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* **2011**, *10*, 1113–1123. [CrossRef]
- Mani, S.; Szymańska, K.; Cuenin, C.; Zaridze, D.; Balassiano, K.; Lima, S.C.; Matos, E.; Daudt, A.; Koifman, S.; Filho, V.W.; et al. DNA methylation changes associated with risk factors in tumors of the upper aerodigestive tract. *Epigenetics* 2012, 7, 270–277. [CrossRef]
- 62. Marsit, C.J.; McClean, M.D.; Furniss, C.S.; Kelsey, K.T. Epigenetic inactivation of the SFRP genes is associated with drinking, smoking and HPV in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Int. J. Cancer* **2006**, *119*, 1761–1766. [CrossRef]
- 63. Sharma, R.; Panda, N.K.; Khullar, M. Hypermethylation of carcinogen metabolism genes, CYP1A1, CYP2A13 and GSTM1 genes in head and neck cancer. *Oral Dis.* **2010**, *16*, 668–673. [CrossRef]
- 64. Sarkar, S.; Alam, N.; Mandal, S.S.; Chatterjee, K.; Ghosh, S.; Roychoudhury, S.; Panda, C.K. Differential transmission of the molecular signature of RBSP3, LIMD1 and CDC25A in basal/ parabasal versus spinous of normal epithelium during head and neck tumorigenesis: A mechanistic study. *PLoS ONE* **2018**, *13*, e0195937. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 65. Arunkumar, G.; Deva Magendhra Rao, A.K.; Manikandan, M.; Prasanna Srinivasa Rao, H.; Subbiah, S.; Ilangovan, R.; Murugan, A.K.; Munirajan, A.K. Dysregulation of miR-200 family microRNAs and epithelial-mesenchymal transition markers in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Oncol. Lett.* **2018**, *15*, 649–657. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 66. Manikandan, M.; Deva Magendhra Rao, A.K.; Rajkumar, K.S.; Rajaraman, R.; Munirajan, A.K. Altered levels of miR-21, miR-125b-2\*, miR-138, miR-155, miR-184, and miR-205 in oral squamous cell carcinoma and association with clinicopathological characteristics. J. Oral Pathol. Med. **2015**, 44, 792–800. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 67. Fang, R.; Huang, Y.; Xie, J.; Zhang, J.; Ji, X. Downregulation of miR-29c-3p is associated with a poor prognosis in patients with laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma. *Diagn. Pathol.* **2019**, *14*, 109. [CrossRef]
- Bruzgielewicz, A.; Osuch-Wojcikiewicz, E.; Niemczyk, K.; Sieniawska-Buccella, O.; Siwak, M.; Walczak, A.; Nowak, A.; Majsterek, I. Altered Expression of miRNAs Is Related to Larynx Cancer TNM Stage and Patients' Smoking Status. DNA Cell Biol. 2017, 36, 581–588. [CrossRef]
- Metheetrairut, C.; Chotigavanich, C.; Amornpichetkul, K.; Keskool, P.; Ongard, S. Expression levels of miR-34-family microRNAs are associated with TP53 mutation status in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Eur. Arch. Otorhinolaryngol.* 2019, 276, 521–533. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 70. House, R.; Majumder, M.; Janakiraman, H.; Ogretmen, B.; Kato, M.; Erkul, E.; Hill, E.; Atkinson, C.; Barth, J.; Day, T.A.; et al. Smoking-induced control of miR-133a-3p alters the expression of EGFR and HuR in HPV-infected oropharyngeal cancer. *PLoS* ONE 2018, 13, e0205077. [CrossRef]
- Chang, H.W.; Ling, G.S.; Wei, W.I.; Yuen, A.P. Smoking and drinking can induce p15 methylation in the upper aerodigestive tract of healthy individuals and patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer* 2004, 101, 125–132. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 72. Bennett, K.L.; Lee, W.; Lamarre, E.; Zhang, X.; Seth, R.; Scharpf, J.; Hunt, J.; Eng, C. HPV status-independent association of alcohol and tobacco exposure or prior radiation therapy with promoter methylation of FUSSEL18, EBF3, IRX1, and SEPT9, but not SLC5A8, in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* **2010**, *49*, 319–326.
- 73. Cai, F.; Xiao, X.; Niu, X.; Zhong, Y. Association between promoter methylation of DAPK gene and HNSCC: A meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE* **2017**, *12*, e0173194. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. Marsit, C.J.; Liu, M.; Nelson, H.H.; Posner, M.; Suzuki, M.; Kelsey, K.T. Inactivation of the Fanconi anemia/BRCA pathway in lung and oral cancers: Implications for treatment and survival. *Oncogene* **2004**, *23*, 1000–1004. [CrossRef]
- 75. Pierini, S.; Jordanov, S.H.; Mitkova, A.V.; Chalakov, I.J.; Melnicharov, M.B.; Kunev, K.V.; Mitev, V.I.; Kaneva, R.P.; Goranova, T.E. Promoter hypermethylation of CDKN2A, MGMT, MLH1, and DAPK genes in laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma and their associations with clinical profiles of the patients. *Head Neck* 2014, *36*, 1103–1108. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 76. Avissar, M.; McClean, M.D.; Kelsey, K.T.; Marsit, C.J. MicroRNA expression in head and neck cancer associates with alcohol consumption and survival. *Carcinogenesis* **2009**, *30*, 2059–2063. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 77. Swangphon, P.; Pientong, C.; Burassakarn, A.; Vatanasapt, P.; Kleebkaow, P.; Patarapadungkit, N.; Treebupachatsakul, T.; Promthet, S.; Kongyingyoes, B.; Ekalaksananan, T. Methylation Status of P16Ink4a in Human Papillomavirus-Associated Cancer of Oral Cavity and Oropharynx in Northeastern Thailand. *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.* 2017, 18, 699–705.
- 78. Choudhury, J.H.; Ghosh, S.K. Promoter Hypermethylation Profiling Identifies Subtypes of Head and Neck Cancer with Distinct Viral, Environmental, Genetic and Survival Characteristics. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0129808. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 79. Lim, Y.; Wan, Y.; Vagenas, D.; Ovchinnikov, D.A.; Perry, C.F.; Davis, M.J.; Punyadeera, C. Salivary DNA methylation panel to diagnose HPV-positive and HPV-negative head and neck cancers. *BMC Cancer* **2016**, *16*, 749. [CrossRef]
- Nakagawa, T.; Matsusaka, K.; Misawa, K.; Ota, S.; Takane, K.; Fukuyo, M.; Rahmutulla, B.; Shinohara, K.I.; Kunii, N.; Sakurai, D.; et al. Frequent promoter hypermethylation associated with human papillomavirus infection in pharyngeal cancer. *Cancer Lett.* 2017, 407, 21–31. [CrossRef]
- 81. Zhang, Q.; Chen, Y.; Hu, S.Q.; Pu, Y.M.; Zhang, K.; Wang, Y.X. A HPV16-related prognostic indicator for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Ann. Transl. Med.* 2020, *8*, 1492. [CrossRef]
- 82. Miller, D.L.; Davis, J.W.; Taylor, K.H.; Johnson, J.; Shi, Z.; Williams, R.; Atasoy, U.; Lewis, J.S.; Stack, M.S. Identification of a human papillomavirus-associated oncogenic miRNA panel in human oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma validated by bioinformatics analysis of the Cancer Genome Atlas. *Am. J. Pathol.* **2015**, *185*, 679–692. [CrossRef]
- 83. Weber, A.; Hengge, U.R.; Bardenheuer, W.; Tischoff, I.; Sommerer, F.; Markwarth, A.; Dietz, A.; Wittekind, C.; Tannapfel, A. SOCS-3 is frequently methylated in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and its precursor lesions and causes growth inhibition. *Oncogene* **2005**, *24*, 6699–6708. [CrossRef]
- López, M.; Aguirre, J.M.; Cuevas, N.; Anzola, M.; Videgain, J.; Aguirregaviria, J.; Martínez de Pancorbo, M. Gene promoter hypermethylation in oral rinses of leukoplakia patients–a diagnostic and/or prognostic tool? *Eur. J. Cancer* 2003, *39*, 2306–2309. [CrossRef]
- 85. Bhatia, V.; Goel, M.M.; Makker, A.; Tewari, S.; Yadu, A.; Shilpi, P.; Kumar, S.; Agarwal, S.P.; Goel, S.K. Promoter region hypermethylation and mRNA expression of MGMT and p16 genes in tissue and blood samples of human premalignant oral lesions and oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Biomed. Res. Int.* **2014**, 2014, 248419. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Youssef, E.M.; Lotan, D.; Issa, J.P.; Wakasa, K.; Fan, Y.H.; Mao, L.; Hassan, K.; Feng, L.; Lee, J.J.; Lippman, S.M.; et al. Hypermethylation of the retinoic acid receptor-beta(2) gene in head and neck carcinogenesis. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2004, 10, 1733–1742. [CrossRef]
- 87. Cheng, S.J.; Chang, C.F.; Lee, J.J.; Chen, H.M.; Wang, H.J.; Liou, Y.L.; Yen, C.; Chiang, C.P. Hypermethylated ZNF582 and PAX1 are effective biomarkers for detection of oral dysplasia and oral cancer. *Oral Oncol.* **2016**, *62*, 34–43. [CrossRef]
- 88. Morandi, L.; Gissi, D.; Tarsitano, A.; Asioli, S.; Monti, V.; Del Corso, G.; Marchetti, C.; Montebugnoli, L.; Foschini, M.P. DNA methylation analysis by bisulfite next-generation sequencing for early detection of oral squamous cell carcinoma and high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion from oral brushing. *J. Craniomaxillofac. Surg.* **2015**, *43*, 1494–1500. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 89. Chattopadhyay, E.; Singh, R.; Ray, A.; Roy, R.; De Sarkar, N.; Paul, R.R.; Pal, M.; Aich, R.; Roy, B. Expression deregulation of mir31 and CXCL12 in two types of oral precancers and cancer: Importance in progression of precancer and cancer. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 32735. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gao, S.; Worm, J.; Guldberg, P.; Eiberg, H.; Krogdahl, A.; Liu, C.J.; Reibel, J.; Dabelsteen, E. Genetic and epigenetic alterations of the blood group ABO gene in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Int. J. Cancer* 2004, 109, 230–237. [CrossRef]
- 91. Supić, G.; Kozomara, R.; Branković-Magić, M.; Jović, N.; Magić, Z. Gene hypermethylation in tumor tissue of advanced oral squamous cell carcinoma patients. *Oral Oncol.* **2009**, *45*, 1051–1057. [CrossRef]

- 92. Bennett, K.L.; Hackanson, B.; Smith, L.T.; Morrison, C.D.; Lang, J.C.; Schuller, D.E.; Weber, F.; Eng, C.; Plass, C. Tumor suppressor activity of CCAAT/enhancer binding protein alpha is epigenetically down-regulated in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Res.* **2007**, *67*, 4657–4664. [CrossRef]
- Shaw, R.J.; Liloglou, T.; Rogers, S.N.; Brown, J.S.; Vaughan, E.D.; Lowe, D.; Field, J.K.; Risk, J.M. Promoter methylation of P16, RARbeta, E-cadherin, cyclin A1 and cytoglobin in oral cancer: Quantitative evaluation using pyrosequencing. *Br. J. Cancer* 2006, 94, 561–568. [CrossRef]
- 94. Supic, G.; Jovic, N.; Kozomara, R.; Zeljic, K.; Magic, Z. Interaction between the MTHFR C677T polymorphism and alcohol-impact on oral cancer risk and multiple DNA methylation of tumor-related genes. J. Dent. Res. 2011, 90, 65–70. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 95. Viswanathan, M.; Tsuchida, N.; Shanmugam, G. Promoter hypermethylation profile of tumor-associated genes p16, p15, hMLH1, MGMT and E-cadherin in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Int. J. Cancer* **2003**, *105*, 41–46. [CrossRef]
- 96. Shaw, R.J.; Hall, G.L.; Lowe, D.; Liloglou, T.; Field, J.K.; Sloan, P.; Risk, J.M. The role of pyrosequencing in head and neck cancer epigenetics: Correlation of quantitative methylation data with gene expression. *Arch. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* **2008**, *134*, 251–256. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 97. Hasegawa, M.; Nelson, H.H.; Peters, E.; Ringstrom, E.; Posner, M.; Kelsey, K.T. Patterns of gene promoter methylation in squamous cell cancer of the head and neck. *Oncogene* 2002, *21*, 4231–4236. [CrossRef]
- Dikshit, R.P.; Gillio-Tos, A.; Brennan, P.; De Marco, L.; Fiano, V.; Martinez-Peñuela, J.M.; Boffetta, P.; Merletti, F. Hypermethylation, risk factors, clinical characteristics, and survival in 235 patients with laryngeal and hypopharyngeal cancers. *Cancer* 2007, 110, 1745–1751. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Don, K.R.; Ramani, P.; Ramshankar, V.; Sherlin, H.J.; Premkumar, P.; Natesan, A. Promoter hypermethylation patterns of P16, DAPK and MGMT in oral squamous cell carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Indian J. Dent. Res.* 2014, 25, 797–805. [CrossRef]
- Shaw, R.J.; Hobkirk, A.J.; Nikolaidis, G.; Woolgar, J.A.; Triantafyllou, A.; Brown, J.S.; Liloglou, T.; Risk, J.M. Molecular staging of surgical margins in oral squamous cell carcinoma using promoter methylation of p16(INK4A), cytoglobin, E-cadherin, and TMEFF2. Ann. Surg. Oncol. 2013, 20, 2796–2802. [CrossRef]
- 101. Kulkarni, V.; Saranath, D. Concurrent hypermethylation of multiple regulatory genes in chewing tobacco associated oral squamous cell carcinomas and adjacent normal tissues. *Oral Oncol.* **2004**, *40*, 145–153. [CrossRef]
- 102. Rosas, S.L.; Koch, W.; da Costa Carvalho, M.G.; Wu, L.; Califano, J.; Westra, W.; Jen, J.; Sidransky, D. Promoter hypermethylation patterns of p16, O6-methylguanine-DNA-methyltransferase, and death-associated protein kinase in tumors and saliva of head and neck cancer patients. *Cancer Res.* **2001**, *61*, 939–942.
- 103. Maruya, S.; Issa, J.P.; Weber, R.S.; Rosenthal, D.I.; Haviland, J.C.; Lotan, R.; El-Naggar, A.K. Differential methylation status of tumor-associated genes in head and neck squamous carcinoma: Incidence and potential implications. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2004, 10, 3825–3830. [CrossRef]
- 104. Ovchinnikov, D.A.; Cooper, M.A.; Pandit, P.; Coman, W.B.; Cooper-White, J.J.; Keith, P.; Wolvetang, E.J.; Slowey, P.D.; Punyadeera, C. Tumor-suppressor Gene Promoter Hypermethylation in Saliva of Head and Neck Cancer Patients. *Transl.* Oncol. 2012, 5, 321–326. [CrossRef]
- 105. Smigiel, R.; Sasiadek, M.; Krecicki, T.; Ramsey, D.; Jagielski, J.; Blin, N. Inactivation of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A (CDKN2A) gene in squamous cell carcinoma of the larynx. *Mol. Carcinog.* **2004**, *39*, 147–154. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wang, J.; Chen, H.; Fu, S.; Xu, Z.M.; Sun, K.L.; Fu, W.N. The involvement of CHD5 hypermethylation in laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma. *Oral Oncol.* 2011, 47, 601–608. [CrossRef]
- Liu, Y.; Zhou, Z.T.; He, Q.B.; Jiang, W.W. DAPK promoter hypermethylation in tissues and body fluids of oral precancer patients. *Med. Oncol.* 2012, 29, 729–733. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kong, W.J.; Zhang, S.; Guo, C.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, D. Methylation-associated silencing of death-associated protein kinase gene in laryngeal squamous cell cancer. *Laryngoscope* 2005, 115, 1395–1401. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pannone, G.; Bufo, P.; Santoro, A.; Franco, R.; Aquino, G.; Longo, F.; Botti, G.; Serpico, R.; Cafarelli, B.; Abbruzzese, A.; et al. WNT pathway in oral cancer: Epigenetic inactivation of WNT-inhibitors. *Oncol. Rep.* 2010, 24, 1035–1041.
- 110. Hsiung, D.T.; Marsit, C.J.; Houseman, E.A.; Eddy, K.; Furniss, C.S.; McClean, M.D.; Kelsey, K.T. Global DNA methylation level in whole blood as a biomarker in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev.* 2007, 16, 108–114. [CrossRef]
- 111. Puttipanyalears, C.; Subbalekha, K.; Mutirangura, A.; Kitkumthorn, N. Alu hypomethylation in smoke-exposed epithelia and oral squamous carcinoma. *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.* 2013, 14, 5495–5501. [CrossRef]
- 112. Guerrero-Preston, R.; Soudry, E.; Acero, J.; Orera, M.; Moreno-López, L.; Macía-Colón, G.; Jaffe, A.; Berdasco, M.; Ili-Gangas, C.; Brebi-Mieville, P.; et al. NID2 and HOXA9 promoter hypermethylation as biomarkers for prevention and early detection in oral cavity squamous cell carcinoma tissues and saliva. *Cancer Prev. Res.* (*Phila*) **2011**, *4*, 1061–1072. [CrossRef]
- 113. Jhavar, S.; Fink, D.; Rao, A.; Deb, N. MGMT Hypermethylation—Missing Piece of the Puzzle in Primary Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma? *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* **2016**, *94*, 939. [CrossRef]
- 114. Ogi, K.; Toyota, M.; Ohe-Toyota, M.; Tanaka, N.; Noguchi, M.; Sonoda, T.; Kohama, G.; Tokino, T. Aberrant methylation of multiple genes and clinicopathological features in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2002**, *8*, 3164–3171. [PubMed]
- 115. Shaw, R. The epigenetics of oral cancer. Int. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg. 2006, 35, 101–108. [CrossRef]

- Yeh, K.T.; Chang, J.G.; Lin, T.H.; Wang, Y.F.; Tien, N.; Chang, J.Y.; Chen, J.C.; Shih, M.C. Epigenetic changes of tumor suppressor genes, P15, P16, VHL and P53 in oral cancer. Oncol. Rep. 2003, 10, 659–663.
- 117. Liyanage, C.; Wathupola, A.; Muraleetharan, S.; Perera, K.; Punyadeera, C.; Udagama, P. Promoter Hypermethylation of Tumor-Suppressor Genes p16INK4a, RASSF1A, TIMP3, and PCQAP/MED15 in Salivary DNA as a Quadruple Biomarker Panel for Early Detection of Oral and Oropharyngeal Cancers. *Biomolecules* 2019, 9, 148. [CrossRef]
- Lee, E.S.; Issa, J.P.; Roberts, D.B.; Williams, M.D.; Weber, R.S.; Kies, M.S.; El-Naggar, A.K. Quantitative promoter hypermethylation analysis of cancer-related genes in salivary gland carcinomas: Comparison with methylation-specific PCR technique and clinical significance. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2008, 14, 2664–2672. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 119. Supic, G.; Kozomara, R.; Jovic, N.; Zeljic, K.; Magic, Z. Prognostic significance of tumor-related genes hypermethylation detected in cancer-free surgical margins of oral squamous cell carcinomas. *Oral Oncol.* **2011**, *47*, 702–708. [CrossRef]
- 120. Shen, Z.; Chen, X.; Li, Q.; Zhou, C.; Li, J.; Ye, H.; Duan, S. SSTR2 promoter hypermethylation is associated with the risk and progression of laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma in males. *Diagn. Pathol.* **2016**, *11*, 10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 121. Gaykalova, D.A.; Vatapalli, R.; Wei, Y.; Tsai, H.L.; Wang, H.; Zhang, C.; Hennessey, P.T.; Guo, T.; Tan, M.; Li, R.; et al. Outlier Analysis Defines Zinc Finger Gene Family DNA Methylation in Tumors and Saliva of Head and Neck Cancer Patients. *PLoS ONE* 2015, 10, e0142148. [CrossRef]
- Hu, A.; Huang, J.J.; Xu, W.H.; Jin, X.J.; Li, J.P.; Tang, Y.J.; Huang, X.F.; Cui, H.J.; Sun, G.B. miR-21 and miR-375 microRNAs as candidate diagnostic biomarkers in squamous cell carcinoma of the larynx: Association with patient survival. *Am. J. Transl. Res.* 2014, *6*, 604–613. [PubMed]
- 123. Pedersen, N.J.; Jensen, D.H.; Lelkaitis, G.; Kiss, K.; Charabi, B.W.; Ullum, H.; Specht, L.; Schmidt, A.Y.; Nielsen, F.C.; von Buchwald, C. MicroRNA-based classifiers for diagnosis of oral cavity squamous cell carcinoma in tissue and plasma. *Oral Oncol.* 2018, 83, 46–52. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 124. Wang, Y.; Chen, M.; Tao, Z.; Hua, Q.; Chen, S.; Xiao, B. Identification of predictive biomarkers for early diagnosis of larynx carcinoma based on microRNA expression data. *Cancer Genet.* 2013, 206, 340–346. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 125. Hayashi, M.; Wu, G.; Roh, J.L.; Chang, X.; Li, X.; Ahn, J.; Goldsmith, M.; Khan, Z.; Bishop, J.; Zhang, Z.; et al. Correlation of gene methylation in surgical margin imprints with locoregional recurrence in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer* 2015, 121, 1957–1965. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 126. Tan, H.K.; Saulnier, P.; Auperin, A.; Lacroix, L.; Casiraghi, O.; Janot, F.; Fouret, P.; Temam, S. Quantitative methylation analyses of resection margins predict local recurrences and disease-specific deaths in patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. *Br. J. Cancer* 2008, *99*, 357–363. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 127. Hayashi, M.; Guerrero-Preston, R.; Sidransky, D.; Koch, W.M. Paired box 5 methylation detection by droplet digital PCR for ultra-sensitive deep surgical margins analysis of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Prev. Res. (Phila)* 2015, *8*, 1017–1026. [CrossRef]
- 128. Ben-Dayan, M.M.; Ow, T.J.; Belbin, T.J.; Wetzler, J.; Smith, R.V.; Childs, G.; Diergaarde, B.; Hayes, D.N.; Grandis, J.R.; Prystowsky, M.B.; et al. Nonpromoter methylation of the CDKN2A gene with active transcription is associated with improved locoregional control in laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Med.* **2017**, *6*, 397–407. [CrossRef]
- 129. Harrandah, A.M.; Fitzpatrick, S.G.; Smith, M.H.; Wang, D.; Cohen, D.M.; Chan, E.K. MicroRNA-375 as a biomarker for malignant transformation in oral lesions. *Oral Surg. Oral Med. Oral Pathol. Oral Radiol.* **2016**, *122*, 743–752.e1. [CrossRef]
- Zhu, Y.; Cao, X.; Zhang, X.; Chen, Q.; Wen, L.; Wang, P. DNA methylation-mediated Klotho silencing is an independent prognostic biomarker of head and neck squamous carcinoma. *Cancer Manag. Res.* 2019, *11*, 1383–1390. [CrossRef]
- 131. Huang, K.H.; Huang, S.F.; Chen, I.H.; Liao, C.T.; Wang, H.M.; Hsieh, L.L. Methylation of RASSF1A, RASSF2A, and HIN-1 is associated with poor outcome after radiotherapy, but not surgery, in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2009**, *15*, 4174–4180. [CrossRef]
- Kato, K.; Hara, A.; Kuno, T.; Mori, H.; Yamashita, T.; Toida, M.; Shibata, T. Aberrant promoter hypermethylation of p16 and MGMT genes in oral squamous cell carcinomas and the surrounding normal mucosa. *J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol.* 2006, 132, 735–743. [CrossRef]
- 133. Huang, S.H.; Lee, H.S.; Mar, K.; Ji, D.D.; Huang, M.S.; Hsia, K.T. Loss expression of O6-methylguanine DNA methyltransferase by promoter hypermethylation and its relationship to betel quid chewing in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Oral Surg. Oral Med. Oral Pathol. Oral Radiol. Endod.* **2010**, *109*, 883–889. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 134. Al-Kaabi, A.; van Bockel, L.W.; Pothen, A.J.; Willems, S.M. p16INK4A and p14ARF gene promoter hypermethylation as prognostic biomarker in oral and oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma: A review. *Dis. Markers* 2014, 2014, 260549. [CrossRef]
- 135. Guerrero-Preston, R.; Lawson, F.; Rodriguez-Torres, S.; Noordhuis, M.G.; Pirini, F.; Manuel, L.; Valle, B.L.; Hadar, T.; Rivera, B.; Folawiyo, O.; et al. Variant, Immune Signatures, DNA Methylation, and Social Determinants Linked to Survival Racial Disparities in Head and Neck Cancer Patients. *Cancer Prev. Res. (Phila)* **2019**, *12*, 255–270. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rettori, M.M.; de Carvalho, A.C.; Bomfim Longo, A.L.; de Oliveira, C.Z.; Kowalski, L.P.; Carvalho, A.L.; Vettore, A.L. Prognostic significance of TIMP3 hypermethylation in post-treatment salivary rinse from head and neck squamous cell carcinoma patients. *Carcinogenesis* 2013, 34, 20–27. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 137. Schröck, A.; Leisse, A.; de Vos, L.; Gevensleben, H.; Dröge, F.; Franzen, A.; Wachendörfer, M.; Schröck, F.; Ellinger, J.; Teschke, M.; et al. Free-Circulating Methylated DNA in Blood for Diagnosis, Staging, Prognosis, and Monitoring of Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma Patients: An Observational Prospective Cohort Study. *Clin. Chem.* 2017, *63*, 1288–1296. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 138. Gissi, D.B.; Fabbri, V.P.; Gabusi, A.; Lenzi, J.; Morandi, L.; Melotti, S.; Asioli, S.; Tarsitano, A.; Balbi, T.; Marchetti, C.; et al. Pre-Operative Evaluation of DNA Methylation Profile in Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma Can Predict Tumor Aggressive Potential. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, 21, 6691. [CrossRef]
- Summerer, I.; Unger, K.; Braselmann, H.; Schuettrumpf, L.; Maihoefer, C.; Baumeister, P.; Kirchner, T.; Niyazi, M.; Sage, E.; Specht, H.M.; et al. Circulating microRNAs as prognostic therapy biomarkers in head and neck cancer patients. *Br. J. Cancer* 2015, 113, 76–82. [CrossRef]
- 140. Breitling, L.P.; Yang, R.; Korn, B.; Burwinkel, B.; Brenner, H. Tobacco-smoking-related differential DNA methylation: 27K discovery and replication. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 2011, *88*, 450–457. [CrossRef]
- 141. Monick, M.M.; Beach, S.R.; Plume, J.; Sears, R.; Gerrard, M.; Brody, G.H.; Philibert, R.A. Coordinated changes in AHRR methylation in lymphoblasts and pulmonary macrophages from smokers. *Am. J. Med. Genet. B Neuropsychiatr. Genet.* **2012**, 159B, 141–151. [CrossRef]
- 142. Joubert, B.R.; Håberg, S.E.; Nilsen, R.M.; Wang, X.; Vollset, S.E.; Murphy, S.K.; Huang, Z.; Hoyo, C.; Midttun, Ø.; Cupul-Uicab, L.A.; et al. 450K epigenome-wide scan identifies differential DNA methylation in newborns related to maternal smoking during pregnancy. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 2012, 120, 1425–1431. [CrossRef]
- 143. Joehanes, R.; Just, A.C.; Marioni, R.E.; Pilling, L.C.; Reynolds, L.M.; Mandaviya, P.R.; Guan, W.; Xu, T.; Elks, C.E.; Aslibekyan, S.; et al. Epigenetic Signatures of Cigarette Smoking. *Circ. Cardiovasc. Genet.* **2016**, *9*, 436–447. [CrossRef]
- 144. Teschendorff, A.E.; Yang, Z.; Wong, A.; Pipinikas, C.P.; Jiao, Y.; Jones, A.; Anjum, S.; Hardy, R.; Salvesen, H.B.; Thirlwell, C.; et al. Correlation of Smoking-Associated DNA Methylation Changes in Buccal Cells With DNA Methylation Changes in Epithelial Cancer. *JAMA Oncol.* **2015**, *1*, 476–485. [CrossRef]
- 145. Langdon, R.; Richmond, R.; Elliott, H.R.; Dudding, T.; Kazmi, N.; Penfold, C.; Ingarfield, K.; Ho, K.; Bretherick, A.; Haley, C.; et al. Identifying epigenetic biomarkers of established prognostic factors and survival in a clinical cohort of individuals with oropharyngeal cancer. *Clin. Epigenetics* **2020**, *12*, 95. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 146. Langdon, R.J.; Beynon, R.A.; Ingarfield, K.; Marioni, R.E.; McCartney, D.L.; Martin, R.M.; Ness, A.R.; Pawlita, M.; Waterboer, T.; Relton, C.; et al. Epigenetic prediction of complex traits and mortality in a cohort of individuals with oropharyngeal cancer. *Clin. Epigenetics* 2020, *12*, 58. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 147. von Zeidler, S.V.; Miracca, E.C.; Nagai, M.A.; Birman, E.G. Hypermethylation of the p16 gene in normal oral mucosa of smokers. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* **2004**, *14*, 807–811. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 148. Bhat, M.Y.; Advani, J.; Rajagopalan, P.; Patel, K.; Nanjappa, V.; Solanki, H.S.; Patil, A.H.; Bhat, F.A.; Mathur, P.P.; Nair, B.; et al. Cigarette smoke and chewing tobacco alter expression of different sets of miRNAs in oral keratinocytes. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 7040. [CrossRef]
- Krishnan, A.R.; Zheng, H.; Kwok, J.G.; Qu, Y.; Zou, A.E.; Korrapati, A.; Li, P.X.; Califano, J.A.; Hovell, M.F.; Wang-Rodriguez, J.; et al. A comprehensive study of smoking-specific microRNA alterations in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Oral Oncol.* 2017, 72, 56–64. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Doukas, S.G.; Vageli, D.P.; Lazopoulos, G.; Spandidos, D.A.; Sasaki, C.T.; Tsatsakis, A. The Effect of NNK, A Tobacco Smoke Carcinogen, on the miRNA and Mismatch DNA Repair Expression Profiles in Lung and Head and Neck Squamous Cancer Cells. *Cells* 2020, 9, 1031. [CrossRef]
- 151. Pereira, C.S.; Oliveira, M.V.; Barros, L.O.; Bandeira, G.A.; Santos, S.H.; Basile, J.R.; Guimarães, A.L.; De Paula, A.M. Low expression of MSH2 DNA repair protein is associated with poor prognosis in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *J. Appl. Oral Sci.* 2013, *21*, 416–421. [CrossRef]
- 152. Tamiya, T.; Kashiwagi, I.; Takahashi, R.; Yasukawa, H.; Yoshimura, A. Suppressors of cytokine signaling (SOCS) proteins and JAK/STAT pathways: Regulation of T-cell inflammation by SOCS1 and SOCS3. *Arter. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* **2011**, *31*, 980–985. [CrossRef]
- 153. Peng, H.Y.; Hsiao, J.R.; Chou, S.T.; Hsu, Y.M.; Wu, G.H.; Shieh, Y.S.; Shiah, S.G. MiR-944/CISH mediated inflammation via STAT3 is involved in oral cancer malignance by cigarette smoking. *Neoplasia* 2020, 22, 554–565. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 154. Shiah, S.G.; Hsiao, J.R.; Chang, H.J.; Hsu, Y.M.; Wu, G.H.; Peng, H.Y.; Chou, S.T.; Kuo, C.C.; Chang, J.Y. MiR-30a and miR-379 modulate retinoic acid pathway by targeting DNA methyltransferase 3B in oral cancer. *J. Biomed. Sci.* 2020, 27, 46. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 155. Nabel, C.S.; Manning, S.A.; Kohli, R.M. The curious chemical biology of cytosine: Deamination, methylation, and oxidation as modulators of genomic potential. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2012**, *7*, 20–30. [CrossRef]
- 156. Camuzi, D.; de Amorim, Í.; Ribeiro Pinto, L.F.; Oliveira Trivilin, L.; Mencalha, A.L.; Soares Lima, S.C. Regulation Is in the Air: The Relationship between Hypoxia and Epigenetics in Cancer. *Cells* **2019**, *8*, 300. [CrossRef]
- 157. Lu, S.C.; Huang, Z.Z.; Yang, H.; Mato, J.M.; Avila, M.A.; Tsukamoto, H. Changes in methionine adenosyltransferase and Sadenosylmethionine homeostasis in alcoholic rat liver. *Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest Liver Physiol.* 2000, 279, G178–G185. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kawakita, D.; Lee, Y.A.; Gren, L.H.; Buys, S.S.; La Vecchia, C.; Hashibe, M. The impact of folate intake on the risk of head and neck cancer in the prostate, lung, colorectal, and ovarian cancer screening trial (PLCO) cohort. *Br. J. Cancer* 2018, 118, 299–306. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 159. Zakhari, S. Alcohol metabolism and epigenetics changes. Alcohol. Res. 2013, 35, 6–16.

- 160. Moody, L.; Crowder, S.L.; Fruge, A.D.; Locher, J.L.; Demark-Wahnefried, W.; Rogers, L.Q.; Delk-Licata, A.; Carroll, W.R.; Spencer, S.A.; Black, M.; et al. Epigenetic stratification of head and neck cancer survivors reveals differences in lycopene levels, alcohol consumption, and methylation of immune regulatory genes. *Clin. Epigenetics* **2020**, *12*, 138. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 161. Yan, Y.; Yang, J.Y.; Mou, Y.H.; Wang, L.H.; Zhang, H.; Wu, C.F. Possible metabolic pathways of ethanol responsible for oxidative DNA damage in human peripheral lymphocytes. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* **2011**, *35*, 1–9. [CrossRef]
- 162. Zhou, Z.; Liu, C.; Liu, K.; Lv, M.; Li, B.; Lan, Z.; Chen, W.; Kang, M. Expression and Possible Molecular Mechanisms of microRNA-205-5p in Patients With Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *Technol. Cancer Res. Treat.* 2020, 19, 1533033820980110. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 163. Danquah, K.O.; Gyamfi, D. Alcohol and aldehyde dehydrogenases: Molecular aspects. In *Molecular Aspects of Alcohol and Nutrition*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2016; pp. 25–43.
- 164. Seidensaal, K.; Nollert, A.; Feige, A.H.; Muller, M.; Fleming, T.; Gunkel, N.; Zaoui, K.; Grabe, N.; Weichert, W.; Weber, K.J.; et al. Impaired aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 subfamily member 2A-dependent retinoic acid signaling is related with a mesenchymal-like phenotype and an unfavorable prognosis of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Mol. Cancer* 2015, 14, 204. [CrossRef]
- 165. Kinoshita, T.; Hanazawa, T.; Nohata, N.; Okamoto, Y.; Seki, N. The functional significance of microRNA-375 in human squamous cell carcinoma: Aberrant expression and effects on cancer pathways. *J. Hum. Genet.* **2012**, *57*, 556–563. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 166. Nohata, N.; Hanazawa, T.; Kikkawa, N.; Mutallip, M.; Sakurai, D.; Fujimura, L.; Kawakami, K.; Chiyomaru, T.; Yoshino, H.; Enokida, H.; et al. Tumor suppressive microRNA-375 regulates oncogene AEG-1/MTDH in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC). J. Hum. Genet. 2011, 56, 595–601. [CrossRef]
- 167. Kinoshita, T.; Nohata, N.; Yoshino, H.; Hanazawa, T.; Kikkawa, N.; Fujimura, L.; Chiyomaru, T.; Kawakami, K.; Enokida, H.; Nakagawa, M.; et al. Tumor suppressive microRNA-375 regulates lactate dehydrogenase B in maxillary sinus squamous cell carcinoma. *Int. J. Oncol.* 2012, 40, 185–193.
- 168. Harris, T.; Jimenez, L.; Kawachi, N.; Fan, J.B.; Chen, J.; Belbin, T.; Ramnauth, A.; Loudig, O.; Keller, C.E.; Smith, R.; et al. Low-level expression of miR-375 correlates with poor outcome and metastasis while altering the invasive properties of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. *Am. J. Pathol.* 2012, *180*, 917–928. [CrossRef]
- 169. Leonard, S.M.; Wei, W.; Collins, S.I.; Pereira, M.; Diyaf, A.; Constandinou-Williams, C.; Young, L.S.; Roberts, S.; Woodman, C.B. Oncogenic human papillomavirus imposes an instructive pattern of DNA methylation changes which parallel the natural history of cervical HPV infection in young women. *Carcinogenesis* **2012**, *33*, 1286–1293. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 170. Au Yeung, C.L.; Tsang, W.P.; Tsang, T.Y.; Co, N.N.; Yau, P.L.; Kwok, T.T. HPV-16 E6 upregulation of DNMT1 through repression of tumor suppressor p53. *Oncol. Rep.* **2010**, *24*, 1599–1604.
- 171. McCabe, M.T.; Davis, J.N.; Day, M.L. Regulation of DNA methyltransferase 1 by the pRb/E2F1 pathway. *Cancer Res.* 2005, 65, 3624–3632. [CrossRef]
- 172. Burgers, W.A.; Blanchon, L.; Pradhan, S.; de Launoit, Y.; Kouzarides, T.; Fuks, F. Viral oncoproteins target the DNA methyltransferases. *Oncogene* **2007**, *26*, 1650–1655. [CrossRef]
- 173. Laurson, J.; Khan, S.; Chung, R.; Cross, K.; Raj, K. Epigenetic repression of E-cadherin by human papillomavirus 16 E7 protein. *Carcinogenesis* **2010**, *31*, 918–926. [CrossRef]
- 174. Ekanayake Weeramange, C.; Tang, K.D.; Vasani, S.; Langton-Lockton, J.; Kenny, L.; Punyadeera, C. DNA Methylation Changes in Human Papillomavirus-Driven Head and Neck Cancers. *Cells* **2020**, *9*, 1359. [CrossRef]
- 175. Degli Esposti, D.; Sklias, A.; Lima, S.C.; Beghelli-de la Forest Divonne, S.; Cahais, V.; Fernandez-Jimenez, N.; Cros, M.P.; Ecsedi, S.; Cuenin, C.; Bouaoun, L.; et al. Unique DNA methylation signature in HPV-positive head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. *Genome Med.* **2017**, *9*, 33. [CrossRef]
- 176. Sartor, M.A.; Dolinoy, D.C.; Jones, T.R.; Colacino, J.A.; Prince, M.E.; Carey, T.E.; Rozek, L.S. Genome-wide methylation and expression differences in HPV (+) and HPV (-) squamous cell carcinoma cell lines are consistent with divergent mechanisms of carcinogenesis. *Epigenetics* 2011, 6, 777–787. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 177. Kostareli, E.; Holzinger, D.; Bogatyrova, O.; Hielscher, T.; Wichmann, G.; Keck, M.; Lahrmann, B.; Grabe, N.; Flechtenmacher, C.; Schmidt, C.R.; et al. HPV-related methylation signature predicts survival in oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinomas. *J. Clin. Investig.* 2013, 123, 2488–2501. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 178. Allameh, A.; Moazeni-Roodi, A.; Harirchi, I.; Ravanshad, M.; Motiee-Langroudi, M.; Garajei, A.; Hamidavi, A.; Mesbah-Namin, S.A. Promoter DNA Methylation and mRNA Expression Level of p16 Gene in Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma: Correlation with Clinicopathological Characteristics. *Pathol. Oncol. Res.* 2019, 25, 1535–1543. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 179. Richards, K.L.; Zhang, B.; Baggerly, K.A.; Colella, S.; Lang, J.C.; Schuller, D.E.; Krahe, R. Genome-wide hypomethylation in head and neck cancer is more pronounced in HPV-negative tumors and is associated with genomic instability. *PLoS ONE* **2009**, *4*, e4941. [CrossRef]
- Poage, G.M.; Houseman, E.A.; Christensen, B.C.; Butler, R.A.; Avissar-Whiting, M.; McClean, M.D.; Waterboer, T.; Pawlita, M.; Marsit, C.J.; Kelsey, K.T. Global hypomethylation identifies Loci targeted for hypermethylation in head and neck cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2011, 17, 3579–3589. [CrossRef]
- Furniss, C.S.; Marsit, C.J.; Houseman, E.A.; Eddy, K.; Kelsey, K.T. Line region hypomethylation is associated with lifestyle and differs by human papillomavirus status in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev.* 2008, 17, 966–971. [CrossRef]

- 182. Furlan, C.; Polesel, J.; Barzan, L.; Franchin, G.; Sulfaro, S.; Romeo, S.; Colizzi, F.; Rizzo, A.; Baggio, V.; Giacomarra, V.; et al. Prognostic significance of LINE-1 hypomethylation in oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma. *Clin. Epigenetics* 2017, *9*, 58. [CrossRef]
- 183. Camuzi, D.; Buexm, L.A.; Lourenço, S.Q.C.; Esposti, D.D.; Cuenin, C.; Lopes, M.S.A.; Manara, F.; Talukdar, F.R.; Herceg, Z.; Ribeiro Pinto, L.F.; et al. HPV Infection Leaves a DNA Methylation Signature in Oropharyngeal Cancer Affecting Both Coding Genes and Transposable Elements. *Cancers* 2021, 13, 3621. [CrossRef]
- Sannigrahi, M.K.; Sharma, R.; Singh, V.; Panda, N.K.; Rattan, V.; Khullar, M. Role of Host miRNA Hsa-miR-139-3p in HPV-16-Induced Carcinomas. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2017, 23, 3884–3895. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 185. Morel, A.; Baguet, A.; Perrard, J.; Demeret, C.; Jacquin, E.; Guenat, D.; Mougin, C.; Prétet, J.L. 5azadC treatment upregulates miR-375 level and represses HPV16 E6 expression. *Oncotarget* 2017, *8*, 46163–46176. [CrossRef]
- 186. Jung, H.M.; Phillips, B.L.; Chan, E.K. miR-375 activates p21 and suppresses telomerase activity by coordinately regulating HPV E6/E7, E6AP, CIP2A, and 14-3-3ζ. *Mol. Cancer* 2014, 13, 80. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Melar-New, M.; Laimins, L.A. Human papillomaviruses modulate expression of microRNA 203 upon epithelial differentiation to control levels of p63 proteins. J. Virol. 2010, 84, 5212–5221. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 188. Snoek, B.C.; Babion, I.; Koppers-Lalic, D.; Pegtel, D.M.; Steenbergen, R.D. Altered microRNA processing proteins in HPV-induced cancers. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* 2019, *39*, 23–32. [CrossRef]
- Luo, X.J.; Zheng, M.; Cao, M.X.; Zhang, W.L.; Huang, M.C.; Dai, L.; Tang, Y.L.; Liang, X.H. Distinguishable Prognostic miRNA Signatures of Head and Neck Squamous Cell Cancer With or Without HPV Infection. Front. Oncol. 2020, 10, 614487. [CrossRef]
- 190. Lajer, C.B.; Garnæs, E.; Friis-Hansen, L.; Norrild, B.; Therkildsen, M.H.; Glud, M.; Rossing, M.; Lajer, H.; Svane, D.; Skotte, L.; et al. The role of miRNAs in human papilloma virus (HPV)-associated cancers: Bridging between HPV-related head and neck cancer and cervical cancer. *Br. J. Cancer* 2012, *106*, 1526–1534. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 191. Wald, A.I.; Hoskins, E.E.; Wells, S.I.; Ferris, R.L.; Khan, S.A. Alteration of microRNA profiles in squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck cell lines by human papillomavirus. *Head Neck* **2011**, *33*, 504–512. [CrossRef]
- 192. Ludwig, S.; Sharma, P.; Wise, P.; Sposto, R.; Hollingshead, D.; Lamb, J.; Lang, S.; Fabbri, M.; Whiteside, T.L. mRNA and miRNA Profiles of Exosomes from Cultured Tumor Cells Reveal Biomarkers Specific for HPV16-Positive and HPV16-Negative Head and Neck Cancer. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2020, 21, 8570. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 193. Wan, Y.; Vagenas, D.; Salazar, C.; Kenny, L.; Perry, C.; Calvopiña, D.; Punyadeera, C. Salivary miRNA panel to detect HPV-positive and HPV-negative head and neck cancer patients. *Oncotarget* **2017**, *8*, 99990–100001. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 194. Rock, L.D.; Minatel, B.C.; Marshall, E.A.; Guisier, F.; Sage, A.P.; Barros-Filho, M.C.; Stewart, G.L.; Garnis, C.; Lam, W.L. Expanding the Transcriptome of Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma Through Novel MicroRNA Discovery. *Front. Oncol.* 2019, 9, 1305. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 195. Sun, Y.W.; Chen, K.M.; Imamura Kawasawa, Y.; Salzberg, A.C.; Cooper, T.K.; Caruso, C.; Aliaga, C.; Zhu, J.; Gowda, K.; Amin, S.; et al. Hypomethylated Fgf3 is a potential biomarker for early detection of oral cancer in mice treated with the tobacco carcinogen dibenzo[def,p]chrysene. PLoS ONE 2017, 12, e0186873. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 196. Hecht, S.S. Tobacco carcinogens, their biomarkers and tobacco-induced cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 2003, *3*, 733–744. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 197. Seitz, H.K.; Stickel, F. Molecular mechanisms of alcohol-mediated carcinogenesis. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 2007, *7*, 599–612. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 198. Feil, R.; Fraga, M.F. Epigenetics and the environment: Emerging patterns and implications. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **2012**, *13*, 97–109. [CrossRef]
- 199. Zheng, S.C.; Widschwendter, M.; Teschendorff, A.E. Epigenetic drift, epigenetic clocks and cancer risk. *Epigenomics* **2016**, *8*, 705–719. [CrossRef]
- Takeshima, H.; Ushijima, T. Accumulation of genetic and epigenetic alterations in normal cells and cancer risk. *NPJ Precis. Oncol.* 2019, *3*, 7. [CrossRef]
- Hattori, N.; Ushijima, T. Epigenetic impact of infection on carcinogenesis: Mechanisms and applications. *Genome Med.* 2016, *8*, 10. [CrossRef]
- 202. Hoadley, K.A.; Yau, C.; Hinoue, T.; Wolf, D.M.; Lazar, A.J.; Drill, E.; Shen, R.; Taylor, A.M.; Cherniack, A.D.; Thorsson, V.; et al. Cell-of-Origin Patterns Dominate the Molecular Classification of 10,000 Tumors from 33 Types of Cancer. *Cell* 2018, 173, 291–304.e6. [CrossRef]
- 203. Asada, K.; Nakajima, T.; Shimazu, T.; Yamamichi, N.; Maekita, T.; Yokoi, C.; Oda, I.; Ando, T.; Yoshida, T.; Nanjo, S.; et al. Demonstration of the usefulness of epigenetic cancer risk prediction by a multicentre prospective cohort study. *Gut* 2015, 64, 388–396. [CrossRef]
- 204. Deng, D.; Liu, Z.; Du, Y. Epigenetic alterations as cancer diagnostic, prognostic, and predictive biomarkers. *Adv. Genet.* **2010**, *71*, 125–176.
- Tian, D.; Feng, Z.; Hanley, N.M.; Setzer, R.W.; Mumford, J.L.; DeMarini, D.M. Multifocal accumulation of p53 protein in esophageal carcinoma: Evidence for field cancerization. *Int. J. Cancer* 1998, 78, 568–575. [CrossRef]
- 206. Lavieille, J.P.; Gazzeri, S.; Riva, C.; Reyt, E.; Brambilla, C.; Brambilla, E. p53 mutations and p53, Waf-1, Bax and Bcl-2 expression in field cancerization of the head and neck. *Anticancer Res.* **1998**, *18*, 4741–4749. [PubMed]

- 207. Kanjilal, S.; Strom, S.S.; Clayman, G.L.; Weber, R.S.; el-Naggar, A.K.; Kapur, V.; Cummings, K.K.; Hill, L.A.; Spitz, M.R.; Kripke, M.L. p53 mutations in nonmelanoma skin cancer of the head and neck: Molecular evidence for field cancerization. *Cancer Res.* 1995, 55, 3604–3609.
- el-Naggar, A.K.; Lai, S.; Luna, M.A.; Zhou, X.D.; Weber, R.S.; Goepfert, H.; Batsakis, J.G. Sequential p53 mutation analysis of pre-invasive and invasive head and neck squamous carcinoma. *Int. J. Cancer* 1995, 64, 196–201. [CrossRef]
- 209. van Houten, V.M.; Tabor, M.P.; van den Brekel, M.W.; Kummer, J.A.; Denkers, F.; Dijkstra, J.; Leemans, R.; van der Waal, I.; Snow, G.B.; Brakenhoff, R.H. Mutated p53 as a molecular marker for the diagnosis of head and neck cancer. *J. Pathol.* 2002, 198, 476–486. [CrossRef]
- 210. Argiris, A.; Karamouzis, M.V.; Raben, D.; Ferris, R.L. Head and neck cancer. Lancet 2008, 371, 1695–1709. [CrossRef]
- 211. Zhou, G.; Liu, Z.; Myers, J.N. TP53 Mutations in Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma and Their Impact on Disease Progression and Treatment Response. J. Cell Biochem. 2016, 117, 2682–2692. [CrossRef]
- 212. Lee, Y.C.; Wang, H.P.; Wang, C.P.; Ko, J.Y.; Lee, J.M.; Chiu, H.M.; Lin, J.T.; Yamashita, S.; Oka, D.; Watanabe, N.; et al. Revisit of field cancerization in squamous cell carcinoma of upper aerodigestive tract: Better risk assessment with epigenetic markers. *Cancer Prev. Res. (Phila)* 2011, *4*, 1982–1992. [CrossRef]
- 213. Lima, S.C.; Hernández-Vargas, H.; Simão, T.; Durand, G.; Kruel, C.D.; Le Calvez-Kelm, F.; Ribeiro Pinto, L.F.; Herceg, Z. Identification of a DNA methylome signature of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and potential epigenetic biomarkers. *Epigenetics* 2011, 6, 1217–1227. [CrossRef]
- 214. Riese, U.; Dahse, R.; Fiedler, W.; Theuer, C.; Koscielny, S.; Ernst, G.; Beleites, E.; Claussen, U.; von Eggeling, F. Tumor suppressor gene p16 (CDKN2A) mutation status and promoter inactivation in head and neck cancer. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* **1999**, *4*, 61–65. [CrossRef]
- 215. Dahl, C.; Guldberg, P. DNA methylation analysis techniques. *Biogerontology* 2003, 4, 233–250. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 216. Schlecht, N.F.; Ben-Dayan, M.; Anayannis, N.; Lleras, R.A.; Thomas, C.; Wang, Y.; Smith, R.V.; Burk, R.D.; Harris, T.M.; Childs, G.; et al. Epigenetic changes in the CDKN2A locus are associated with differential expression of P16INK4A and P14ARF in HPV-positive oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Med.* 2015, *4*, 342–353. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 217. Ben-Dayan, M.M.; Li, D.; Kawachi, N.; Ow, T.J.; Belbin, T.J.; Childs, G.; Schletch, N.F.; Prystowsky, M.B. HPV-16 E6 and E7 expression drives transcription of p14 (ARF) and p16 (INK4a) prior to Intragenic methylation of CDKN2A. *Med. Res. Arch.* 2020, 8, 8. [CrossRef]
- 218. Takeshima, M.; Saitoh, M.; Kusano, K.; Nagayasu, H.; Kurashige, Y.; Malsantha, M.; Arakawa, T.; Takuma, T.; Chiba, I.; Kaku, T.; et al. High frequency of hypermethylation of p14, p15 and p16 in oral pre-cancerous lesions associated with betel-quid chewing in Sri Lanka. *J. Oral Pathol. Med.* **2008**, *37*, 475–479. [CrossRef]
- 219. Díez-Pérez, R.; Campo-Trapero, J.; Cano-Sánchez, J.; López-Durán, M.; Gonzalez-Moles, M.A.; Bascones-Ilundain, J.; Bascones-Martinez, A. Methylation in oral cancer and pre-cancerous lesions (Review). *Oncol. Rep.* **2011**, *25*, 1203–1209.
- 220. Mohan, M.; Jagannathan, N. Oral field cancerization: An update on current concepts. *Oncol. Rev.* 2014, *8*, 244. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kong, L.Y.; Du, W.; Wang, L.; Yang, Z.; Zhang, H.S. PAX1 Methylation Hallmarks Promising Accuracy for Cervical Cancer Screening in Asians: Results from a Meta-Analysis. *Clin. Lab.* 2015, *61*, 1471–1479. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chao, T.K.; Ke, F.Y.; Liao, Y.P.; Wang, H.C.; Yu, C.P.; Lai, H.C. Triage of cervical cytological diagnoses of atypical squamous cells by DNA methylation of paired boxed gene 1 (PAX1). *Diagn. Cytopathol.* 2013, 41, 41–46. [CrossRef]
- 223. Lin, H.; Chen, T.C.; Chang, T.C.; Cheng, Y.M.; Chen, C.H.; Chu, T.Y.; Hsu, S.T.; Liu, C.B.; Yeh, L.S.; Wen, K.C.; et al. Methylated ZNF582 gene as a marker for triage of women with Pap smear reporting low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions—A Taiwanese Gynecologic Oncology Group (TGOG) study. *Gynecol. Oncol.* 2014, 135, 64–68. [CrossRef]
- 224. Towle, R.; Truong, D.; Hogg, K.; Robinson, W.P.; Poh, C.F.; Garnis, C. Global analysis of DNA methylation changes during progression of oral cancer. *Oral Oncol.* **2013**, *49*, 1033–1042. [CrossRef]
- 225. Roy, R.; Singh, R.; Chattopadhyay, E.; Ray, A.; Sarkar, N.; Aich, R.; Paul, R.R.; Pal, M.; Roy, B. MicroRNA and target gene expression based clustering of oral cancer, precancer and normal tissues. *Gene* **2016**, *593*, 58–63. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 226. Leemans, C.R.; Tiwari, R.; Nauta, J.J.; van der Waal, I.; Snow, G.B. Recurrence at the primary site in head and neck cancer and the significance of neck lymph node metastases as a prognostic factor. *Cancer* **1994**, *73*, 187–190. [CrossRef]
- 227. Huang, T.Y.; Hsu, L.P.; Wen, Y.H.; Huang, T.T.; Chou, Y.F.; Lee, C.F.; Yang, M.C.; Chang, Y.K.; Chen, P.R. Predictors of locoregional recurrence in early stage oral cavity cancer with free surgical margins. *Oral Oncol.* **2010**, *46*, 49–55. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 228. Yanamoto, S.; Yamada, S.; Takahashi, H.; Yoshitomi, I.; Kawasaki, G.; Ikeda, H.; Minamizato, T.; Shiraishi, T.; Fujita, S.; Ikeda, T.; et al. Clinicopathological risk factors for local recurrence in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Int. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg.* 2012, 41, 1195–1200. [CrossRef]
- 229. Thomas Robbins, K.; Triantafyllou, A.; Suárez, C.; López, F.; Hunt, J.L.; Strojan, P.; Williams, M.D.; Braakhuis, B.J.M.; de Bree, R.; Hinni, M.L.; et al. Surgical margins in head and neck cancer: Intra- and postoperative considerations. *Auris Nasus Larynx* 2019, 46, 10–17. [CrossRef]
- Graveland, A.P.; Golusinski, P.J.; Buijze, M.; Douma, R.; Sons, N.; Kuik, D.J.; Bloemena, E.; Leemans, C.R.; Brakenhoff, R.H.; Braakhuis, B.J. Loss of heterozygosity at 9p and p53 immunopositivity in surgical margins predict local relapse in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Int. J. Cancer* 2011, 128, 1852–1859. [CrossRef]

- 231. van Houten, V.M.; Leemans, C.R.; Kummer, J.A.; Dijkstra, J.; Kuik, D.J.; van den Brekel, M.W.; Snow, G.B.; Brakenhoff, R.H. Molecular diagnosis of surgical margins and local recurrence in head and neck cancer patients: A prospective study. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2004, *10*, 3614–3620. [CrossRef]
- 232. Goldenberg, D.; Harden, S.; Masayesva, B.G.; Ha, P.; Benoit, N.; Westra, W.H.; Koch, W.M.; Sidransky, D.; Califano, J.A. Intraoperative molecular margin analysis in head and neck cancer. *Arch. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* 2004, 130, 39–44. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 233. Sorroche, B.P.; Talukdar, F.R.; Lima, S.C.S.; Melendez, M.E.; de Carvalho, A.C.; de Almeida, G.C.; De Marchi, P.; Lopes, M.; Ribeiro Pinto, L.F.; Carvalho, A.L.; et al. DNA Methylation Markers from Negative Surgical Margins Can Predict Recurrence of Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *Cancers* 2021, *13*, 2915. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 234. Virani, S.; Light, E.; Peterson, L.A.; Sartor, M.A.; Taylor, J.M.; McHugh, J.B.; Wolf, G.T.; Rozek, L.S.; Investigators of the University of Michigan Head and Neck Specialized Programs of Research Excellence (SPORE) Program. Stability of methylation markers in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Head Neck* 2016, *38* (Suppl. 1), E1325–E1331. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 235. Puri, S.K.; Si, L.; Fan, C.Y.; Hanna, E. Aberrant promoter hypermethylation of multiple genes in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Am. J. Otolaryngol.* 2005, *26*, 12–17. [CrossRef]
- López, F.; Sampedro, T.; Llorente, J.L.; Hermsen, M.; Álvarez-Marcos, C. Alterations of p14 ARF, p15 INK4b, and p16 INK4a genes in primary laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma. *Pathol. Oncol. Res.* 2017, 23, 63–71. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 237. Zuo, C.; Ai, L.; Ratliff, P.; Suen, J.Y.; Hanna, E.; Brent, T.P.; Fan, C.Y. O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase gene: Epigenetic silencing and prognostic value in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev.* 2004, 13, 967–975.
- 238. Wang, K.; Ling, T.; Wu, H.; Zhang, J. Screening of candidate tumor-suppressor genes in 3p21.3 and investigation of the methylation of gene promoters in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Oncol. Rep.* **2013**, *29*, 1175–1182. [CrossRef]
- 239. Zhou, C.; Ye, M.; Ni, S.; Li, Q.; Ye, D.; Li, J.; Shen, Z.; Deng, H. DNA methylation biomarkers for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Epigenetics* 2018, 13, 398–409. [CrossRef]
- 240. Koshizuka, K.; Hanazawa, T.; Fukumoto, I.; Kikkawa, N.; Okamoto, Y.; Seki, N. The microRNA signatures: Aberrantly expressed microRNAs in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *J. Hum. Genet.* **2017**, *62*, 3–13. [CrossRef]
- 241. Zhang, Y.; Chen, Y.; Yu, J.; Liu, G.; Huang, Z. Integrated transcriptome analysis reveals miRNA-mRNA crosstalk in laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma. *Genomics* **2014**, *104*, 249–256. [CrossRef]
- 242. Cao, P.; Zhou, L.; Zhang, J.; Zheng, F.; Wang, H.; Ma, D.; Tian, J. Comprehensive expression profiling of microRNAs in laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma. *Head Neck* 2013, *35*, 720–728. [CrossRef]
- Ayaz, L.; Görür, A.; Yaroğlu, H.Y.; Ozcan, C.; Tamer, L. Differential expression of microRNAs in plasma of patients with laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma. *J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol.* 2013, 139, 1499–1506. [CrossRef]
- 244. Sun, X.; Song, Y.; Tai, X.; Liu, B.; Ji, W. MicroRNA expression and its detection in human supraglottic laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma. *Biomed. Rep.* 2013, 1, 743–746. [CrossRef]
- 245. Wang, F.; Lu, J.; Peng, X.; Wang, J.; Liu, X.; Chen, X.; Jiang, Y.; Li, X.; Zhang, B. Integrated analysis of microRNA regulatory network in nasopharyngeal carcinoma with deep sequencing. *J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res.* **2016**, *35*, 17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 246. Kikkawa, N.; Hanazawa, T.; Fujimura, L.; Nohata, N.; Suzuki, H.; Chazono, H.; Sakurai, D.; Horiguchi, S.; Okamoto, Y.; Seki, N. miR-489 is a tumour-suppressive miRNA target PTPN11 in hypopharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma (HSCC). *Br. J. Cancer* 2010, 103, 877–884. [CrossRef]
- 247. Fukumoto, I.; Kinoshita, T.; Hanazawa, T.; Kikkawa, N.; Chiyomaru, T.; Enokida, H.; Yamamoto, N.; Goto, Y.; Nishikawa, R.; Nakagawa, M.; et al. Identification of tumour suppressive microRNA-451a in hypopharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma based on microRNA expression signature. *Br. J. Cancer* 2014, *111*, 386–394. [CrossRef]
- 248. Lajer, C.B.; Nielsen, F.C.; Friis-Hansen, L.; Norrild, B.; Borup, R.; Garnæs, E.; Rossing, M.; Specht, L.; Therkildsen, M.H.; Nauntofte, B.; et al. Different miRNA signatures of oral and pharyngeal squamous cell carcinomas: A prospective translational study. *Br. J. Cancer* **2011**, *104*, 830–840. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 249. Severino, P.; Brüggemann, H.; Andreghetto, F.M.; Camps, C.; Klingbeil, M.e.F.; de Pereira, W.O.; Soares, R.M.; Moyses, R.; Wünsch-Filho, V.; Mathor, M.B.; et al. MicroRNA expression profile in head and neck cancer: HOX-cluster embedded microRNA-196a and microRNA-10b dysregulation implicated in cell proliferation. *BMC Cancer* 2013, *13*, 533. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 250. Fukumoto, I.; Hanazawa, T.; Kinoshita, T.; Kikkawa, N.; Koshizuka, K.; Goto, Y.; Nishikawa, R.; Chiyomaru, T.; Enokida, H.; Nakagawa, M.; et al. MicroRNA expression signature of oral squamous cell carcinoma: Functional role of microRNA-26a/b in the modulation of novel cancer pathways. *Br. J. Cancer* **2015**, *112*, 891–900. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 251. Schneider, A.; Victoria, B.; Lopez, Y.N.; Suchorska, W.; Barczak, W.; Sobecka, A.; Golusinski, W.; Masternak, M.M.; Golusinski, P. Tissue and serum microRNA profile of oral squamous cell carcinoma patients. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 675. [CrossRef]
- Hui, A.B.; Lenarduzzi, M.; Krushel, T.; Waldron, L.; Pintilie, M.; Shi, W.; Perez-Ordonez, B.; Jurisica, I.; O'Sullivan, B.; Waldron, J.; et al. Comprehensive MicroRNA profiling for head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2010, 16, 1129–1139. [CrossRef]
- 253. Liu, C.J.; Tsai, M.M.; Hung, P.S.; Kao, S.Y.; Liu, T.Y.; Wu, K.J.; Chiou, S.H.; Lin, S.C.; Chang, K.W. miR-31 ablates expression of the HIF regulatory factor FIH to activate the HIF pathway in head and neck carcinoma. *Cancer Res.* 2010, 70, 1635–1644. [CrossRef]

- 254. Victoria Martinez, B.; Dhahbi, J.M.; Nunez Lopez, Y.O.; Lamperska, K.; Golusinski, P.; Luczewski, L.; Kolenda, T.; Atamna, H.; Spindler, S.R.; Golusinski, W.; et al. Circulating small non-coding RNA signature in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Oncotarget 2015, 6, 19246–19263. [CrossRef]
- 255. León, X.; Quer, M.; Diez, S.; Orús, C.; López-Pousa, A.; Burgués, J. Second neoplasm in patients with head and neck cancer. *Head Neck* 1999, 21, 204–210. [CrossRef]
- 256. Priante, A.V.; Carvalho, A.L.; Kowalski, L.P. Second primary tumor in patients with upper aerodigestive tract cancer. *Braz. J. Otorhinolaryngol.* **2010**, *76*, 251–256. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 257. Morris, L.G.; Sikora, A.G.; Patel, S.G.; Hayes, R.B.; Ganly, I. Second primary cancers after an index head and neck cancer: Subsite-specific trends in the era of human papillomavirus-associated oropharyngeal cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2011**, *29*, 739–746. [CrossRef]
- 258. Ji, X.; Guan, C.; Jiang, X.; Li, H. Diagnostic accuracy of DNA methylation for head and neck cancer varies by sample type and number of markers tested. *Oncotarget* 2016, 7, 80019–80032. [CrossRef]
- Ogino, S.; Nosho, K.; Kirkner, G.J.; Kawasaki, T.; Chan, A.T.; Schernhammer, E.S.; Giovannucci, E.L.; Fuchs, C.S. A cohort study of tumoral LINE-1 hypomethylation and prognosis in colon cancer. J. Natl. Cancer. Inst. 2008, 100, 1734–1738. [CrossRef]
- Pattamadilok, J.; Huapai, N.; Rattanatanyong, P.; Vasurattana, A.; Triratanachat, S.; Tresukosol, D.; Mutirangura, A. LINE-1 hypomethylation level as a potential prognostic factor for epithelial ovarian cancer. *Int. J. Gynecol. Cancer* 2008, *18*, 711–717. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 261. Imperatori, A.; Sahnane, N.; Rotolo, N.; Franzi, F.; Nardecchia, E.; Libera, L.; Romualdi, C.; Cattoni, M.; Sessa, F.; Dominioni, L.; et al. LINE-1 hypomethylation is associated to specific clinico-pathological features in Stage I non-small cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* 2017, *108*, 83–89. [CrossRef]
- Hong, J.H.; Jin, E.H.; Kim, S.; Song, K.S.; Sung, J.K. LINE-1 hypomethylation is inversely correlated with UHRF1 overexpression in gastric cancer. Oncol. Lett. 2018, 15, 6666–6670. [CrossRef]
- 263. Hossain, K.; Suzuki, T.; Hasibuzzaman, M.M.; Islam, M.S.; Rahman, A.; Paul, S.K.; Tanu, T.; Hossain, S.; Saud, Z.A.; Rahman, M.; et al. Chronic exposure to arsenic, LINE-1 hypomethylation, and blood pressure: A cross-sectional study in Bangladesh. *Environ. Health* 2017, 16, 20. [CrossRef]
- 264. Li, S.; Yang, Q.; Hou, Y.; Jiang, T.; Zong, L.; Wang, Z.; Luo, X.; Liang, W.; Zhao, H.; Ning, Y.; et al. Hypomethylation of LINE-1 elements in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* **2018**, *107*, 68–72. [CrossRef]
- 265. Puttipanyalears, C.; Arayataweegool, A.; Chalertpet, K.; Rattanachayoto, P.; Mahattanasakul, P.; Tangjaturonsasme, N.; Kerekhanjanarong, V.; Mutirangura, A.; Kitkumthorn, N. TRH site-specific methylation in oral and oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma. *BMC Cancer* 2018, *18*, 786. [CrossRef]
- 266. Ovchinnikov, D.A.; Wan, Y.; Coman, W.B.; Pandit, P.; Cooper-White, J.J.; Herman, J.G.; Punyadeera, C. DNA Methylation at the Novel CpG Sites in the Promoter of MED15/PCQAP Gene as a Biomarker for Head and Neck Cancers. *Biomark. Insights* 2014, 9, 53–60. [CrossRef]
- 267. Anderson, J.; Bourne, D.; Peterson, K.; Mackey, K. *Evidence Brief: Accuracy of Self-Report for Cervical and Breast Cancer Screening;* Department of Veterans Affairs (US): Washington, DC, USA, 2019.
- 268. Franceschi, S.; Barzan, L.; Talamini, R. Screening for cancer of the head and neck: If not now, when? *Oral Oncol.* **1997**, *33*, 313–316. [CrossRef]
- 269. Sankaranarayanan, R.; Mathew, B.; Jacob, B.J.; Thomas, G.; Somanathan, T.; Pisani, P.; Pandey, M.; Ramadas, K.; Najeeb, K.; Abraham, E. Early findings from a community-based, cluster-randomized, controlled oral cancer screening trial in Kerala, India. The Trivandrum Oral Cancer Screening Study Group. *Cancer* 2000, *88*, 664–673. [CrossRef]
- 270. Downer, M.C.; Evans, A.W.; Hughes Hallet, C.M.; Jullien, J.A.; Speight, P.M.; Zakrzewska, J.M. Evaluation of screening for oral cancer and precancer in a company headquarters. *Community Dent. Oral Epidemiol.* **1995**, *23*, 84–88. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 271. Guntinas-Lichius, O.; Wendt, T.; Buentzel, J.; Esser, D.; Lochner, P.; Mueller, A.; Schultze-Mosgau, S.; Altendorf-Hofmann, A. Head and neck cancer in Germany: A site-specific analysis of survival of the Thuringian cancer registration database. *J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol.* 2010, 136, 55–63. [CrossRef]
- 272. Pruegsanusak, K.; Peeravut, S.; Leelamanit, V.; Sinkijcharoenchai, W.; Jongsatitpaiboon, J.; Phungrassami, T.; Chuchart, K.; Thongsuksai, P. Survival and prognostic factors of different sites of head and neck cancer: An analysis from Thailand. *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.* 2012, *13*, 885–890. [CrossRef]
- 273. Galbiatti, A.L.; Padovani-Junior, J.A.; Maníglia, J.V.; Rodrigues, C.D.; Pavarino, É.; Goloni-Bertollo, E.M. Head and neck cancer: Causes, prevention and treatment. *Braz. J. Otorhinolaryngol.* 2013, 79, 239–247. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Marur, S.; Forastiere, A.A. Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma: Update on Epidemiology, Diagnosis, and Treatment. *Mayo Clin. Proc.* 2016, 91, 386–396. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 275. Fortin, A.; Wang, C.S.; Vigneault, E. Influence of smoking and alcohol drinking behaviors on treatment outcomes of patients with squamous cell carcinomas of the head and neck. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* **2009**, 74, 1062–1069. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 276. Wu, I.-C.; Wu, C.-C.; Lu, C.-Y.; Hsu, W.-H.; Wu, M.-C.; Lee, J.-Y.; Chou, S.-H.; Lee, J.-M.; Chou, Y.-P.; Wu, D.-C. Substance use (alcohol, areca nut and cigarette) is associated with poor prognosis of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e55834.

- 277. van Imhoff, L.C.; Kranenburg, G.G.; Macco, S.; Nijman, N.L.; van Overbeeke, E.J.; Wegner, I.; Grolman, W.; Pothen, A.J. Prognostic value of continued smoking on survival and recurrence rates in patients with head and neck cancer: A systematic review. *Head Neck* 2016, *38* (Suppl. 1), E2214–E2220. [CrossRef]
- 278. Chen, X.; Liu, L.; Mims, J.; Punska, E.C.; Williams, K.E.; Zhao, W.; Arcaro, K.F.; Tsang, A.W.; Zhou, X.; Furdui, C.M. Analysis of DNA methylation and gene expression in radiation-resistant head and neck tumors. *Epigenetics* **2015**, *10*, 545–561. [CrossRef]
- 279. Bonner, J.A.; Harari, P.M.; Giralt, J.; Azarnia, N.; Shin, D.M.; Cohen, R.B.; Jones, C.U.; Sur, R.; Raben, D.; Jassem, J.; et al. Radiotherapy plus cetuximab for squamous-cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2006**, 354, 567–578. [CrossRef]
- 280. Vermorken, J.B.; Mesia, R.; Rivera, F.; Remenar, E.; Kawecki, A.; Rottey, S.; Erfan, J.; Zabolotnyy, D.; Kienzer, H.R.; Cupissol, D.; et al. Platinum-based chemotherapy plus cetuximab in head and neck cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2008**, *359*, 1116–1127. [CrossRef]
- 281. Ogawa, T.; Liggett, T.E.; Melnikov, A.A.; Monitto, C.L.; Kusuke, D.; Shiga, K.; Kobayashi, T.; Horii, A.; Chatterjee, A.; Levenson, V.V.; et al. Methylation of death-associated protein kinase is associated with cetuximab and erlotinib resistance. *Cell Cycle* **2012**, *11*, 1656–1663. [CrossRef]
- 282. Zhang, X.; Li, W.; Li, H.; Ma, Y.; He, G.; Tan, G. Genomic methylation profiling combined with gene expression microarray reveals the aberrant methylation mechanism involved in nasopharyngeal carcinoma taxol resistance. *Anticancer Drugs* 2012, 23, 856–864. [CrossRef]
- 283. Viet, C.T.; Dang, D.; Achdjian, S.; Ye, Y.; Katz, S.G.; Schmidt, B.L. Decitabine rescues cisplatin resistance in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e112880.
- Yu, X.; Li, Z. MicroRNA expression and its implications for diagnosis and therapy of tongue squamous cell carcinoma. J. Cell Mol. Med. 2016, 20, 10–16. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Castilho, R.M.; Squarize, C.H.; Almeida, L.O. Epigenetic Modifications and Head and Neck Cancer: Implications for Tumor Progression and Resistance to Therapy. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2017, 18, 1506. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yu, Z.W.; Zhong, L.P.; Ji, T.; Zhang, P.; Chen, W.T.; Zhang, C.P. MicroRNAs contribute to the chemoresistance of cisplatin in tongue squamous cell carcinoma lines. *Oral Oncol.* 2010, 46, 317–322. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 287. Sun, L.; Yao, Y.; Liu, B.; Lin, Z.; Lin, L.; Yang, M.; Zhang, W.; Chen, W.; Pan, C.; Liu, Q.; et al. MiR-200b and miR-15b regulate chemotherapy-induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition in human tongue cancer cells by targeting BMI1. Oncogene 2012, 31, 432–445. [CrossRef]
- Liu, M.; Wang, J.; Huang, H.; Hou, J.; Zhang, B.; Wang, A. miR-181a-Twist1 pathway in the chemoresistance of tongue squamous cell carcinoma. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2013, 441, 364–370. [CrossRef]
- 289. Bauml, J.M.; Aggarwal, C.; Cohen, R.B. Immunotherapy for head and neck cancer: Where are we now and where are we going? *Ann. Transl. Med.* **2019**, *7*, S75. [CrossRef]
- 290. Franzen, A.; Vogt, T.J.; Müller, T.; Dietrich, J.; Schröck, A.; Golletz, C.; Brossart, P.; Bootz, F.; Landsberg, J.; Kristiansen, G.; et al. PD-L1 (CD274) and PD-L2 (PDCD1LG2) promoter methylation is associated with HPV infection and transcriptional repression in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. *Oncotarget* **2018**, *9*, 641–650. [CrossRef]
- 291. Goltz, D.; Gevensleben, H.; Dietrich, J.; Schroeck, F.; de Vos, L.; Droege, F.; Kristiansen, G.; Schroeck, A.; Landsberg, J.; Bootz, F.; et al. PDCD1 (PD-1) promoter methylation predicts outcome in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma patients. *Oncotarget* 2017, *8*, 41011–41020. [CrossRef]
- 292. Gao, G.; Gay, H.A.; Chernock, R.D.; Zhang, T.R.; Luo, J.; Thorstad, W.L.; Lewis, J.S.; Wang, X. A microRNA expression signature for the prognosis of oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer* **2013**, *119*, 72–80. [CrossRef]
- 293. Hui, A.B.; Lin, A.; Xu, W.; Waldron, L.; Perez-Ordonez, B.; Weinreb, I.; Shi, W.; Bruce, J.; Huang, S.H.; O'Sullivan, B.; et al. Potentially prognostic miRNAs in HPV-associated oropharyngeal carcinoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2013**, *19*, 2154–2162. [CrossRef]
- 294. Consortium, B. Quantitative comparison of DNA methylation assays for biomarker development and clinical applications. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2016**, *34*, 726–737. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 295. Ferlazzo, N.; Currò, M.; Zinellu, A.; Caccamo, D.; Isola, G.; Ventura, V.; Carru, C.; Matarese, G.; Ientile, R. Influence of MTHFR Genetic Background on p16 and MGMT Methylation in Oral Squamous Cell Cancer. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2017, 18, 724. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kruszyna, Ł.; Lianeri, M.; Rydzanicz, M.; Gajecka, M.; Szyfter, K.; Jagodziński, P.P. Polymorphic variants of folate metabolism genes and the risk of laryngeal cancer. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 2010, *37*, 241–247. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 297. Iglesias-Platas, I.; Martín Trujillo, A.; Court, F.; Monk, D. Distinct promoter methylation and isoform-specific expression of RASFF1A in placental biopsies from complicated pregnancies. *Placenta* **2015**, *36*, 397–402. [CrossRef]
- 298. Meng, R.W.; Li, Y.C.; Chen, X.; Huang, Y.X.; Shi, H.; Du, D.D.; Niu, X.; Lu, C.; Lu, M.X. Aberrant Methylation of RASSF1A Closely Associated with HNSCC, a Meta-Analysis. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 20756. [CrossRef]
- 299. Kang, S.; Li, Q.; Chen, Q.; Zhou, Y.; Park, S.; Lee, G.; Grimes, B.; Krysan, K.; Yu, M.; Wang, W.; et al. CancerLocator: Non-invasive cancer diagnosis and tissue-of-origin prediction using methylation profiles of cell-free DNA. *Genome Biol.* 2017, 18, 53. [CrossRef]
- 300. Moran, S.; Martínez-Cardús, A.; Sayols, S.; Musulén, E.; Balañá, C.; Estival-Gonzalez, A.; Moutinho, C.; Heyn, H.; Diaz-Lagares, A.; de Moura, M.C.; et al. Epigenetic profiling to classify cancer of unknown primary: A multicentre, retrospective analysis. *Lancet Oncol.* 2016, 17, 1386–1395. [CrossRef]
- Romanowska, K.; Sobecka, A.; Rawłuszko-Wieczorek, A.A.; Suchorska, W.M.; Golusiński, W. Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma: Epigenetic Landscape. *Diagnostics* 2020, 11, 34. [CrossRef]

- 302. Gaździcka, J.; Gołabek, K.; Strzelczyk, J.K.; Ostrowska, Z. Epigenetic Modifications in Head and Neck Cancer. *Biochem. Genet.* 2020, *58*, 213–244. [CrossRef]
- 303. Le, J.M.; Squarize, C.H.; Castilho, R.M. Histone modifications: Targeting head and neck cancer stem cells. *World J. Stem Cells* 2014, 6, 511–525. [CrossRef]
- Irimie, A.I.; Ciocan, C.; Gulei, D.; Mehterov, N.; Atanasov, A.G.; Dudea, D.; Berindan-Neagoe, I. Current Insights into Oral Cancer Epigenetics. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2018, 19, 670. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 305. Webber, L.P.; Wagner, V.P.; Curra, M.; Vargas, P.A.; Meurer, L.; Carrard, V.C.; Squarize, C.H.; Castilho, R.M.; Martins, M.D. Hypoacetylation of acetyl-histone H3 (H3K9ac) as marker of poor prognosis in oral cancer. *Histopathology* 2017, 71, 278–286. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 306. Chen, F.; Qi, S.; Zhang, X.; Wu, J.; Yang, X.; Wang, R. IncRNA PLAC2 activated by H3K27 acetylation promotes cell proliferation and invasion via the activation of Wnt/β-catenin pathway in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Int. J. Oncol.* 2019, 54, 1183–1194. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chen, Y.W.; Kao, S.Y.; Wang, H.J.; Yang, M.H. Histone modification patterns correlate with patient outcome in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer* 2013, 119, 4259–4267. [CrossRef]
- 308. Mancuso, M.; Matassa, D.S.; Conte, M.; Colella, G.; Rana, G.; Fucci, L.; Piscopo, M. H3K4 histone methylation in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Acta Biochim. Pol.* **2009**, *56*, 405–410. [CrossRef]
- 309. Chen, H.C.; Yang, C.M.; Cheng, J.T.; Tsai, K.W.; Fu, T.Y.; Liou, H.H.; Tseng, H.H.; Lee, J.H.; Li, G.C.; Wang, J.S.; et al. Global DNA hypomethylation is associated with the development and poor prognosis of tongue squamous cell carcinoma. *J. Oral Pathol. Med.* 2016, 45, 409–417. [CrossRef]
- 310. Zelic, R.; Fiano, V.; Grasso, C.; Zugna, D.; Pettersson, A.; Gillio-Tos, A.; Merletti, F.; Richiardi, L. Global DNA hypomethylation in prostate cancer development and progression: A systematic review. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis.* **2015**, *18*, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- 311. Kitahara, H.; Okamoto, T.; Shimamatsu, S.; Kohno, M.; Morodomi, Y.; Tagawa, T.; Kitao, H.; Okano, S.; Oda, Y.; Maehara, Y.; et al. Hypomethylation Is Associated With Malignant Traits and Cell Proliferation in Lung Adenocarcinoma. *Anticancer Res.* 2020, 40, 5659–5666. [CrossRef]
- 312. Peri, S.; Izumchenko, E.; Schubert, A.D.; Slifker, M.J.; Ruth, K.; Serebriiskii, I.G.; Guo, T.; Burtness, B.A.; Mehra, R.; Ross, E.A.; et al. NSD1- and NSD2-damaging mutations define a subset of laryngeal tumors with favorable prognosis. *Nat. Commun.* 2017, *8*, 1772. [CrossRef]